IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF NATRONA COUNTY, WYOMING

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 19548-B

THE STATE OF WYOMING,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JOHN HENRY KNOSPLER, JR.,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS VOLUME VI of VII

Page 1513 through Page 1842

9:03 a.m., Monday December 22, 2014

Proceedings before the Honorable W. Thomas Sullins, a Judge of the Seventh Judicial District of Wyoming, and a Jury of Twelve at the Natrona County Townsend Justice Center, Casper, Wyoming.

\underline{A} \underline{P} \underline{P} \underline{E} \underline{A} \underline{R} \underline{A} \underline{N} \underline{C} \underline{E} \underline{S}

FOR THE STATE: MR. MICHAEL A. BLONIGEN

District Attorney

and

MR. DANIEL J. ITZEN

Assistant District Attorney 201 North David, Suite 400

Casper, Wyoming 82601

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MR. JOSEPH H. LOW, IV

Attorney at Law

1 World Trade Center

Suite 2320

Long Beach, California 90831

and

MR. TIMOTHY K. NEWCOMB

Attorney at Law

170 North 5th Street Laramie, Wyoming 82072

ALSO PRESENT: The Defendant

INDEX	
	PAGE
Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Blonigen	4 4
Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Low	94
Voll Bile Enamination by III. How	<i>J</i> 1
Opening Statement by Mr. Blonigen	184
Opening Statement by Mr. Low	214
INDEX TO WITNESSES	
FOR THE STATE:	PAGE
ZACK MILLER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	257
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	264
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	280
MARK DAIGLE	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	311
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	315
SEAN ELLIS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	317
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	337
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	385
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	388
SONNY PILCHER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	405
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	417
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	460
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	467
ASHLEE LOGAN	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	475
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	496
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	551
AARON SHATTO	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	579
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	625
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	671
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	673
DR. JOHN CARVER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	676
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	712
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	732
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	736

ERVIN ANDUJAR	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	744
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	774
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	812
WESTY GUILL	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	816
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	831
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	8 4 4
AMBER HUDSON	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	882
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	889
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	890
JOHNNY TAYLOR	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	892
FOR THE DEFENDANT:	PAGE
DR. JUDY MELINEK	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	915
Cross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	937
Redirect Examination by Mr. Low	961
Recross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	970
<u>.</u>	
FOR THE STATE:	PAGE
JOHNNY TAYLOR	
Direct Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Blonigen	979
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	984
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1000
CRYSTAL MIZE	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1009
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1020
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	1047
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1049
ELIZABETH TATTON	
	1051
ELIZABETH TATTON Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1051
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1056
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen Cross-Examination by Mr. Low Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	1056

CHRIS SYVERSON	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1080
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1089
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	1096
JENNIFER CORMIER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1100
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1107
MITCHELL BAKER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1109
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1149
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1181
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1185
DAVID HULSHIZER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1214
JENNIFER MALONE	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1229
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1243
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1249
KEVIN NORCROSS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1251
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1306
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1340
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1346
KATIE PRECIADO	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1350
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1359
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1370
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1372
Re-redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1373
JESUS HERMOSILLO	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1374
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1388
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1395
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	1396
AMANDA HERRON	
	1 2 0 0
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1398

Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1402
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	140
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	142
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	142
LEAH INNOCCI	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	143
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	145
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	145
JENNIFER BRAMMEIER	1 4 5
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	145
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	147
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	147
STEVEN NORRIS	1 4 0
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	148
Direct Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Blonigen	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	156
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	163
Recross-Examination by Mr. Low	164
DR. JOHN CARVER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	165
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	165
State Rests	165
Defense Motion by Mr. Newcomb	165
Response by Mr. Blonigen	165
Rebuttal by Mr. Newcomb	165
The Court	165
FOR THE DEFENDANT:	PAG
DIA ENCEDDEMCEN	
RIA ENGEBRETSEN Direct Examination by Mr. Low	166
Cross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	167
Redirect Examination by Mr. Low	167
JOHN DAILY	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	167
Cross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	176
	170
Redirect Examination by Mr. Low	179

KEVIN ELKIN	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	1809
Cross-Examination by Mr. Itzen	1816
SCOTT LEHMAN	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	1821
Cross-Examination by Mr. Itzen	1879
Redirect Examination by Mr. Low	1886
SEAN ELLIS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Low	1890
Cross-Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1894
Defense Rests	1897
REBUTTAL EVIDENCE	
FOR THE STATE:	PAGE
SEAN ELLIS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1898
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1901
Redirect Examination by Mr. Blonigen	1902
BRAD HALTER	
Direct Examination by Mr. Itzen	1904
Cross-Examination by Mr. Low	1909
Redirect Examination by Mr. Itzen	1910
Jury Instruction Conference	1913
-	1000
Closing Argument by Mr. Blonigen	1926
Closing Argument by Mr. Low Rebuttal Argument by Mr. Blonigen	1953 1983
REDUCTAL AROUMENT DV WY BLODIGED	1983
Reputed: Migament by III. Dionigen	

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

FOR	Τŀ	HE STATE:	:	IDENTIFIED	RECEIVED	
100	-	Known Di	NA Sample	146	1 1462	
101	_	Baldwin'	's T-Shirt	60	5 607	
102	_	Photo:	Contents of Walle	et 58	1 582	
103	_	Photo:	Baldwin Car	60	1 601	
104	_	Photo:	Baldwin Car	60	1 601	
105	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Upper Ton	rso 58	3 584	
106	-	Photo: Hole	T-Shirt with Bull		3 584	
107	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Palm	5 9	1 591	
108	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Back of B	Hand 59	1 591	
109	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Forearm/V	Vrist 59	1 591	
110	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Forearm	5 9	1 591	
111	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Hand and	Wrist 59	6 597	
112	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Arm	5 9	6 597	
113	_	Photo:	Baldwin-Exit Hole	e 59	6 597	
114	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Lower Ton	rso 59	6 597	
115	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Entry Woo	ınd 60	9 609	
116	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Right Har	nd 62	0 620	
117	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Upper Ton	cso 62	0 620	
118	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Exit Wour	nd 62	0 620	
119	-	Autopsy	Photo	62	0 620	
120	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Upper Arm	n 62	0 620	
121	-	Photo:	Baldwin-Right Har	nd 62	0 620	
122	_	Photo:	Baldwin	62	0 620	

124 - Phot	to: Baldwin and Body Bag	672	673
200 - Know	wn DNA Sample	1461	1462
201 - Gray	y Jacket	1217	1217
202 - Guns	shot Residue Kit	1233	1243
203 - Guns	shot Residue Kit	1470	1470
204 - Fire	earm	1284	1285
205 - Clip	o from Firearm	1285	1286
206 - Spar		1291	1291
	eo of Stop	900	900
	to: Knospler Car at Stop		
	to: Knospler Car at Stop		
	to: Knospler Car-Door Frame		
	to: Knospler Car-Interior		
	<u>-</u>	1110	1117
	to: Knospler Car-Interior n Backpack	1123	1123
213 - Phot	to: Knospler Car-Backpack	1123	1123
214 - Phot	to: Knospler Car	1127	1128
215 - Phot	to: Knospler Car	1127	1128
216 - Phot	to: Knospler-Front	335	336
217 - Phot	to: Knospler-Head	1131	1133
218 - Phot	to: Knospler-Front	1131	1133
219 - Phot	to: Knospler-Back	1135	1135
220 - Phot	to: Knospler-Left Side	1135	1135
221 - Phot	to: Knospler-Right Side	1135	1135
222 - Phot	to: Knospler-Left Side	1138	1138
223 - Phot	to: Knospler-Left Hand	1138	1138

	1126	1125
	1136	
225 - Photo: Knospler-Right Palm	1140	1148
226 - Photo: Knospler-Right Hand	1140	1148
227 - Photo: Knospler-Left Palm	1138	1138
228 - Photo: Knospler-Left Hand	1138	1138
229 - Photo: Knospler-Back	1140	1141
230 - Photo: Knospler-Front	1140	1141
232 - Photo: Knospler	1131	1133
233 - Spent Cartridges	1287	1287
234 - Photo: Gun in Packaging	610	611
300 - CD: Officer Miller Video	262	263
301 - CD: Racks Video	320	320
302 - Racks Photo: East End	1342	1343
303 - Racks Photo: Front	1258	1259
304 - Racks Photo: West End	1257	1259
305 - Racks Photo: Front Door	1257	1259
306 - Racks Photo: Front Door	1258	1259
307 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1353	1353
308 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1353	1353
309 - Racks Photo: Scene without Body and Car	1254	1255
310 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1353	1353
311 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1258	1259
312 - Racks Photo: Parking Spot	1272	1272
313 - Racks Photo: Body at Scene	1253	1253

314 -	Racks Photo: Lifted	Body with Tarp	1269	1269
315 -	Racks Photo:	Body without	827	
316 -	Racks Photo:	Hand	1269	1269
317 -	Racks Photo:	Forearm	1269	1269
318 -	Racks Photo:	Scene	1342	1343
319 -	Racks Photo: and Footprints		1357	1358
320 -	Racks Photo:	Glass	1266	1266
321 -	Racks Photo: Footprints	Glass and	1266	1266
322 -	Racks Photo: Glass	Shovel with	1266	1266
323 -	Racks Photo: Glass	Shovel with	1266	1266
324 -	Racks Photo:	Front of Truck	1381	1381
325 -	Racks Photo:	Dent in Truck	1381	1381
	Racks Photo: with Measureme		1381	1381
327 -	Racks Photo: with Measureme		1381	1381
328 -	Racks Photo: with Measureme		1381	1381
329 -	CD: 911 Call	# 1	490	492
330 -	CD: 911 Call	# 2	887	888
331 -	Daytime Racks Exterior	Photo:	406	406
332 -	Daytime Racks Exterior	Photo:	406	406

333 -	Daytime Racks Photo:		
	Parking Lot	406	406
334 -	Daytime Racks Photo: Exit	406	406
335 -	Daytime Racks Photo: West View of Parking Lot	406	406
336 -	Daytime Racks Photo: East View of Parking Lot	406	406
337 -	Racks Photo: Bar Area	1011	1011
338 -	Racks Photo: Pool Table Area	482	483
341 -	Racks Photo: Pool Table Area	761	761
343 -	Racks Photo: Bar Area	7 4 5	7 4 6
3 4 4 -	Racks Photo: Front Bar Area	750	751
345 -	Racks Photo: Body with Tarp	1342	1343
346 -	Racks Photo: Footprints and Glass	1780	1781
400 -	Gunshot Residue Kit: Headliner	1238	1243
401 -	Gunshot Residue Kit: Weather Stripping	1238	1243
	Gunshot Residue Kit: Window Frame	1238	1243
403 -	Pill Bottle	1302	1303
404 -	Rolling Papers	1303	1303
405 -	Photo: Knospler Car	492	493
406 -	Photo: Knospler Car Passenger Side	1274	1275
407 -	Photo: Knospler Car Driver Side	1274	1275
408 -	Photo: Knospler Car Back Seat	1277	1278

409 -	Photo: Knospler Car		
103		1277	1278
410 -	Photo: Knospler Car Driver Seat with Glass	1277	1278
411 -	Photo: Knospler Car Interior Door	1277	1278
412 -	Photo: Knospler Car Interior Front Seats	1277	1278
413 -	Photo: Knospler Car Gun in Backpack	1281	1282
414 -	Photo: Knospler Car Gun in Backpack	1281	1282
415 -	Photo: Gun as Found	1281	1282
416 -	Photo: Gun	1288	1288
417 -	Photo: Gun Close-up	1288	1288
418 -	Photo: Gun Barrel Close-up	1288	1288
419 -	Photo: Clips in Place in Backpack	1290	1290
420 -	Photo: Extra Clips	1290	1290
421 -	Photo: Knospler Car Front Seat without Backpack	1292	1293
422 -	Photo: Knospler Car Console	1292	1293
423 -	Photo: Knospler Car	1295	1295
424 -	Photo: Knospler Car Glass in Driver Door Window	1295	1295
425 -	Photo: Knospler Car Window Frame with Marker	1295	1295
426 -	Photo: Knospler Car Collection of GSR	1298	1299
427 -	Photo: Knospler Car Collection of GSR	1298	1299
L			

	428 -	Photo: Knospler Car		
	120 -	Collection of GSR Photo: Knospler Car	1298	1299
	429 -	Collection of GSR	1298	1299
	430 -	Photo: Knospler Car Collection of GSR	1298	1299
	431 -	Photo: Rolling Papers	1304	1304
	432 -	Photo: Knospler Car Driver Side Door	1305	1305
		Photo: Knospler Car Inside Driver Door	1305	1305
		Photo: Knospler Car Interior	1385	1385
	435 -	Photo: Knospler Car Areas of Swabs	1385	1385
	436 -	Photo: Areas with Lumalight	1385	1385
	437 -	Photo: Knospler Car Swabbing	1385	1385
	438 -	Photo: Knospler Car Pill Bottle	1304	1304
		Racks Photo: Parking Space with Footprints	1261	1261
	440 -	Photo: Reenactment	1777	1778
	441 -	Photo: Reenactment	1777	1778
	442 -	Photo: Reenactment	1777	1778
	443 -	Photo: Reenactment	1777	1778
	444 -	Photo: Reenactment	1777	1778
	445 -	Photo: Reenactment	1777	1778
	446 -	Photo: Reenactment	1777	1778
	447 -	Photo: Reenactment	1777	1778
L				

448 - Photo: Knospler Car with Measurement	1777 1778
449 - Photo: Finger with Measurement	1777 1778
502 - Photo: Firearm	1490 1490
503 - Photo: Firearm	1490 1490
504 - Photo: Front of T-S	Shirt 1500 1500
505 - Photo: Back of T-Sh	irt 1500 1500
506 - Photo: T-Shirt Entr	y Hole 1500 1500
507 - Photo: T-Shirt Entr	y Hole 1500 1500
508 - Photo: Contact Test	Firing 1549 1549
509 - Fabric: 6" Test Fir	ring 1549 1549
510 - Photo: 6" Test Fire	1549 1549
511 - Fabric: 6" Test Pat	tern 1549 1549
512 - Photo: 18" Test Fir	ing 1549 1549
513 - Fabric: 18" Test Fi	re 1549 1549
514 - Photo: 24" Test Fir	ring 1549 1549
515 - Fabric: 24" Test Fi	re 1549 1549
517 - Photo: Back of Jack	et 1435 1436
518 - Photo: Jacket with	Markers 1437 1438
519 - Photo: Jacket with	Markers 1437 1438
520 - Photo: Location of	LI4 1444 1445
521 - Photo: Location of and LI6	LI5 1444 1445
522 - Photo: Jacket with	Markers 1439 1440
523 - Photo: Close-up of	LI9 1446 1453

524 - Photo: Back of Jacket with	1 4 4 6	1 4 4 7
Markers 525 - Photo: Deputy Taylor's	1446	1447
Shirt with Markers	1448	1449
526 - Photo: Deputy Taylor's Shirt with Markers	1448	1449
527 - Photo: Close-up of Shirt	1448	1449
528 - Photo: Close-up of Shirt	1448	1449
528 - Griess Test - Contact	1545	1546
529 - Griess Test - 6"	1545	1546
530 - Griess Test - 18"	1545	1546
531 - Griess Test - 24"	1545	1546
533 - Griess Test	1543	1543
FOR THE DEFENSE:		
FOR THE DEFENSE.		
A - Diagram	365	366
B - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	369	370
C - Racks Photo: Parking Lot Front Doors	370	370
D - Photo: Body Bag and Seal	649	650
E - Photo: Body Bag	649	650
F - Photo: Body Bag	649	650
G - Photo: Body Bag and Seal	649	650
H - Photo: Body Bag and Seal	649	650
I - Photo: Body Bag and Seal	649	650
J - Photo: Placard	650	651
K - Photo: Baldwin Vehicle Trunk	661	662

L - Photo: Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
M - Photo: Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
N - Photo: Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
O - Photo: Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
P - Photo: Baldwin Vehicle Interior	661	662
Q - Photo: Baldwin-Forearm and Hand	722	722
R - Photo: Baldwin-Hand and Forearm	722	722
S - Photo: Baldwin-Hand	725	725
T - Photo: Baldwin-Arm	730	730
U - Photo: Reenactment	913	914
V - Photo: Baldwin-Exit Wound	913	914
W - Photo: Baldwin-Entry Wound	913	914
X - Photo: Baldwin-Exit Wound	913	914
Y - Photo: Baldwin-Entry Wound	913	914
Z - Photo: Baldwin	913	914
AA - Photo: Knospler Car Interior	1162	1309
BB - Photo: Knospler Car Interior	1162	1309
CC - Photo: Knospler Car Interior	1162	1309
DD - Photo: Knospler Car Back Seat	1162	1309
EE - Photo: Knospler Car Back Seat	1162	1309
FF - Photo: Knospler Car Interior Front	1162	1309
GG - Photo: Knospler Car Interior Rear	1162	1309
HH - Photo: Knospler-Feet	1172	1173
II - Photo: Knospler-Shoe	1172	1173

JJ - Photo			1172	1173
	: Knospler-S -up with Glas		1172	1173
LL - Photo	: Money		1172	1173
	: ID Cards, Cash, Lip B		1172	1173
NN - Photo	: Glass on T	able	1172	1173
00 - Photo	: Glass on T	able	1172	1173
PP - Photo	: Glass on T	able	1172	1173
QQ - Photo	: Glass on T	able	1172	1173
RR - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1309
SS - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1309
TT - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1309
UU - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1309
VV - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1309
WW - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1309
XX - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1309
YY - Racks	Photo: Tire	Tracks	1309	1309
ZZ - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1309
A1 - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1310
A2 - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1310
A3 - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1310
A4 - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1310
A5 - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1310
A6 - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1310
A7 - Racks	Photo: Outs	ide	1309	1310

A8 - Racks Photo: Outside	1309	1310
A9 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1309	1310
A10 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1309	1310
All - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1309	1310
A12 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot	1309	1310
A13 - Racks Photo: Parking Lot and Front Doors	1309	1310
A14 - Racks Photo: Shovel with Glass	1309	1310
A15 - Racks Photo: Baldwin at Scene	1309	1310
A16 - Racks Photo: Baldwin at Scene	1309	1310
A17 - Racks Photo: Baldwin at Scene	1309	1310
A18 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks with Measurement	1309	1310
A19 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks with Measurement		1310
A20 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks with Measurement	1309	1310
A21 - Racks Photo: Parking Space and Footprints	1309	1310
A22 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks	1309	1310
A23 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks	1309	1310
A24 - Racks Photo: Tire Tracks	1309	1310
A25 - Photo: Knospler Car Interior	1310	1310
A26 - Photo: Knospler Car	1310	1310
A27 - Photo: Knospler Car Driver Door	1310	1310
A28 - Photo: Knospler Car Interior Front	1310	1310

7. 0. 0			1 2 1 0	1 2 1 0
	Photo: Knospler			
A30 -	Photo: Knospler	Car Console	1310	1310
A31 -	Photo: Knospler Passenger Seat	Car	1310	1310
A32 -	Photo: Knospler	Car		
	Passenger Side		1310	1310
A33 -	Photo: Backpack	with Laptop	1310	1310
A34 -	Photo: Knospler	Car Floor	1310	1310
A35 -	Photo: Knospler	Car Console	1310	1310
A36 -	Photo: Knospler	Car Console	1310	1310
A37 -	Photo: Knospler	Car - Towel	1310	1310
A38 -	Photo: Knospler	Car Interior	1310	1310
A39 -	Photo: Knospler Console, Part of		1310	1310
A40 -	Photo: Knospler Emergency Brake,		1310	1310
A41 -	Photo: Knospler Driver Seat with		1310	1310
A42 -	Photo: Knospler Driver Seat with		1310	1310
A43 -	Photo: Knospler Driver Seat with		1310	1310
A44 -	Photo: Knospler Driver Seat with		1310	1310
A45 -	Photo: Knospler Interior	Car	1310	1310
A46 -	Photo: Knospler Glass in Door	Car	1310	1310
A47 -	Photo: Knospler Glass in Door	Car	1310	1310
A48 -	Photo: Knospler	Car Interior	1310	1310

B1 - Glass in Bag	1711	1712
F1 - Photos: Reenactment	1719	1807
LB2 - Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310
LB3 - Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310
LB4 - Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310
LB5 - Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310
LB6 - Photo: Knospler Car Glass with Hair	1310	1310

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be
3	seated and court will come to order. We convene
4	in day six in the jury trial proceedings in the
5	case of the State of Wyoming versus John Henry
6	Knospler, Jr., Criminal Action Number 19548-B.
7	The Court notes the presence of the
8	entire jury panel; Mr. Blonigen; Mr. Itzen;
9	counsel for the Defense, Mr. Newcomb and Mr. Low;
10	as well as the defendant.
11	The parties ready to proceed here with
12	further trial proceedings, the State?
13	MR. BLONIGEN: We are, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: And the Defense?
15	MR. LOW: Yes, sir, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very
17	much.
18	I believe we were still in the direct
19	examination of Mr. Norris.
20	MR. BLONIGEN: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Please come forward and
22	be sworn. You understand you're still under oath
23	from last week; is that correct?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very

1 much.

2.2

2.5

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. BLONIGEN:

- Q. Mr. Norris, when we broke last week, you had just shown us some photographs and the T-shirt and an indication of bullet wipe around the entry hole into the T-shirt; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And did you -- we also began to talk about range of fire, and you began to describe how you did that. Would you explain range of fire testing to us.
- A. Absolutely. If you remember, I used the analogy like throwing a handful of sand and how -- and how as it moves further and further away from the hand, it's going to spread out. And that's very similar to what happens with a firearm, that as the firearm is fired, the bullet is going to come out of the barrel moving downrange. But in addition to the bullet, then you're going to have gases that are escaping, you're going to have partially burned and unburned gunpowder particles, and you're also going to have lead residues. And these are all things that are going to be coming out of the muzzle in addition to the bullet.

The gases, the burned and partially unburned gunpowder particles, the lead, those things are going to drop off fairly quickly. It's not set in stone, but a good kind of rule of thumb would be that you would expect to see these types of residues deposited on materials at about 36 inches or maybe an arm's length away.

2.2

2.5

Beyond arm's length, then those residues are going to dissipate, and they're going to drop off. The bullet, of course, will keep going; but there won't be any residues that are deposited.

And so when you see a bullet hole from a distance greater than, again, like I say, about 36 inches, then it'll be very common just to see nothing but a hole with a little bit of what I explained to you is lead wipe. Okay.

So lead wipe is just what it sounds like, it's lead particles that are on the surface of the bullet that are placed there through the -through it being fired. And so then as it comes into contact with some sort of target or some sort of surface, those materials just directly on the surface of the bullet are just going to be wiped off as it penetrates and then perforates whatever the target may be. And so you'll see a small ring

directly around the periphery, darkened ring, and those can be visible to the naked eye or it could also be visualized using chemical testing.

2.2

- Q. Now, is there a standard within your scientific community of what you use -- what materials you use for these test firings?
- A. When I create test firings at known distances, a very commonly accepted test material would be chemically uncontaminated jean twill cloth. Okay. So this is just a cotton cloth that is -- we purchase it from a chemical laboratory supply vendor, and it's known to be chemically uncontaminated so we're not introducing some unknown variable into the testing. And it's just a jean, kind of like a lighter jean twill. And it's been tested, and it's a suitable testing medium for a wide variety of different types of fabrics, and even in some instances skin, even though that wasn't a factor in this case.
- Q. And will it make a difference whether that's a wet or dry cloth on your distance testing?
- A. It won't make a difference. We're looking at the deposition of materials on a surface. Wet or dry, the materials are still

going to be deposited from a gunshot.

2.2

2.5

- Q. And as far as the deposition of that material, would the fact that it's a white cotton T-shirt versus the fabric you used make any difference?
- A. No. Again, like I say, the jean twill is a suitable testing medium for a wide variety of fabrics. There are probably some types, maybe like a leather or a real loose weave or plastic or rubber or some kind of odd material that it probably would not be suitable for. But for a wide variety of common fabric types, including a white cotton T-shirt, jean twill is suitable as a testing medium.
- Q. Okay. Are these the same tests that are used throughout the relevant scientific community?
- A. Yes, they are. The association that I'm a member of -- an international association called the Association of Firearm and Tool Mark Examiners comprised of over 500 firearms and tool marks examiners from all around the world -- has devised a procedures manual and a training manual indicative of standard practices in the community.

And so my -- the standard operating procedures and the training manual that I have

implemented at the crime lab in Cheyenne are consistent with what is the procedure laid out by the association.

- Q. And are you certified by that association to do this sort of testing?
- A. Yes. I received the certification from that association.
- Q. In addition to that, when you completed your work in this case, was all your work reviewed by an independent examiner?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And did he confirm all your testing and results?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. So explain to us what you're going to do in this particular instance to test range of fire.
- A. In this particular instance, I receive the evidence garment. I review the medical examiner's report and confirm that the entry hole is indeed the one in the front of the -- of the garment. And so I'm going to go ahead and test the garment itself. And there's two tests that I'm going to use, okay. The first one is called the Modified Griess Test for nitrites. When gunpowder burns, it produces a compound, NO2,

nitrogen, oxygen, okay. And so a nitrate would be NO3. The burned form, NO2, is a nitrite. And so this test is chemically specific for the presence of nitrites.

2.2

2.5

And so it's a colorimetric test. And so when -- when applied to the garment, what you have is you'll have a color change that will happen in the presence of these nitrites. And so basically what we do is we take a treated piece of photo paper, and so it's treated with the chemicals that are designed to change color; and then we steam the garment on top of this photo paper. And what you're left with, then, when you take the garment away is a mirror image showing if there were any nitrites present.

And what you'll have is you'll have these bright orange pinpricks which will actually show that this is the location of a piece of burned or partially burned gunpowder. And it makes it very nice -- very nice for viewing because you've got this photo paper, and then on it you'll have these very nice bright orange pinpricks that will show the location of gunpowder particles. The reason we do this is because these particles can be obscured from viewing with the naked eye. They

can be hard to see due to the color of the fabric or perhaps from blood or soiling that's present.

And so by transferring it to this treated piece of photo paper, then we have a nice contrasting view of where the bullet hole is and then any surrounding burned or partially burned gunpowder particles that might be there.

2.2

2.5

The second test I'm going to perform is called the sodium rhodizonate test for lead. And so this is where I'm going to actually look for the presence of either small particles of lead or else vaporous lead. And so when you fire a gun, you'll oftentimes in the movies and in real life, too, you'll see a puff of smoke that might come out. Well, this smoke is containing vaporized lead. This is lead that is heated up and is moved from a solid into more of a vapor. And that smoke itself is very lead rich.

And so I'm looking for these lead clouds, if you will, and these deposits of lead that would come from the soot and from the smoke at a close range gun firing. And also, I'll be able to see lead wipe, like I told you, the ring around the periphery of the bullet hole. And if there's any particulate lead, sometimes you'll have small

chunks of the bullet itself that are becoming dislodged, jacket material that's beginning to come loose or whatever, especially if it's been through some sort of intervening object in the way of the bullet path. And so you'll see these things as well.

Q. Now, when you did the test on the T-shirt, you said it was a Griess test?

2.2

- A. A Modified Griess Test and a sodium rhodizonate test for lead.
- Q. What did you find when you did the first test, the Griess test -- G-R-I-E-S-S by the way -- what did you find on testing the T-shirt?
- A. The Modified Griess Test on the T-shirt showed positive for lead reaction on that area directly surrounding the bullet hole at the periphery of what I would call bullet wipe. What I did not see was any form of vaporized lead or particulate lead that I would expect to see at a close range.
 - Q. Did you preserve that in any way?
 - A. I'm sorry. I'm --
- Q. You're going back? Okay. Did you have something to add to the previous answer?
 - A. I answered for the wrong test. The

```
1
      Modified Griess Test is the test for the
 2
      gunpowder, and I apologize. I was explaining the
 3
      sodium rhodizonate test for lead.
                                          The Modified
      Griess Test is the test where I look for the --
 4
 5
      the gunpowder particles. And when I tested the
 6
      garment using the Modified Griess Test for
 7
      nitrites, I found very minimal or close to none.
 8
          Q. Okay. I'm going to show you an item,
 9
            Is this the actual test you're talking about
10
      in this instance?
11
               Yes. This is the test from the evidence
          Α.
12
      garment, the Modified Griess Test looking for
13
      gunpowder particles.
14
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Move for the
      introduction of 533, Your Honor.
15
16
                    THE COURT: Any objection?
17
                    MR. LOW: No, Your Honor.
18
                     THE COURT: Exhibit 533 is received.
                    MR. BLONIGEN: See if we can't do
19
20
      this by --
2.1
          Q. (BY MR. BLONIGEN) Can you see that well
2.2
      enough or should I hand the item back to you, Mr.
23
      Norris?
24
               I think I can see it.
          Α.
2.5
               So we see some little blue circles on
          Q.
```

there below where you actually had the items.
What are these?

2.2

- A. Those are possible positive reactions.

 I'm not able to confirm, but I circled them as being possible. The whole -- up above where it says entrance is where I indexed the actual -- the hole on the garment, and then the small circles are possible positive point reactions, which may be gunpowder particles.
 - Q. Again, very few and scattered?
- A. Very few, no discernable pattern, and certainly not enough to make a determination as to range of fire distance.
- Q. Do you then do anything to confirm deposition from this firearm at similar distances?
- A. Yes. So this is where I will take and I will use the firearm that was submitted and the ammunition like what was used in the original incident, and I will then make test shots at known distances starting at contact and then moving back known distances, again, looking at the pattern of gunpowder particles that's deposited that starts off very small, but then as the gun moves further and further back, you begin to see that pattern growing. And so that's what helps me to determine

1 about how far away the gun was from the target at 2 the time. 3 Now, you used the very same firearm; is Ο. that correct? 4 5 Α. Yes. And, in fact, in some of your testing 6 7 here, did you use ammunition that was left in that 8 gun? 9 Α. Yes. 10 If you don't use ammunition left in the Q. 11 gun, if you use laboratory stock, is it the 12 precise same type of ammunition? 13 I will try to match it exactly. In this 14 case, I did have enough ammunition submitted with 15 the firearm that I had enough to test with. 16 Okay. I'm going to hand you, then, four Q. 17 exhibits, 528, 529, 530, and 531. Are these the 18 same tests conducted by you after having a known firing that indicated distance? 19 20 Α. Yes, they are. 21 MR. BLONIGEN: Move for the 2.2 introduction of 528 through 531. 23 THE COURT: Any objection? 24 MR. LOW: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibits 528 through 531

1 inclusive are received.

- Q. (BY MR. BLONIGEN) On the other hand, sir, this is at contact?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

2.5

- Q. And again, how do you define contact?
- A. This would be where the muzzle of the firearm is actually held touching the target.
- Q. Okay. And at contact, did we see quite a different picture?
- A. You see a little bit different picture.

 Okay. And so if you can see the orange -- the orange dots, the blue dot in the center is where I've indexed the actual bullet hole, and then you're beginning to see some orange reactions.

 And so there's, you know, and you've got it kind of scattered around. At contact, the fabric is kind of -- is blowing kind of in over itself, so you may have some particles that are showing up in kind of random locations.

But also at contact, it's important to remember that a lot of these materials are blowing right through and right into, so you're not seeing just a whole lot of gunpowder particle deposition. Lead will be a different story, and we'll see that in a minute, I believe. But the gunpowder

particles were so close to the fabric that a lot of the material that comes from the muzzle of the gun, the gunshot residue, is just simply blowing right through the back, and we're not seeing as heavy a deposition of gunpowder particles in this instance.

Q. Then from six inches?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Α. Okay. So here now we're beginning to see -- we're beginning to see the gunpowder particles. So, you know, again, these are orange color reactions that the chemicals will react in the presence of these nitrites or these partially burned and unburned gunpowder particles. And so you see all the little pinpricks, these are all the little individual point reactions. And so each of these is indicative of an actual particle of gunpowder. And so you see a pretty strong concentration directly around the bullet hole, and then you can kind of see that it has a circular overall pattern to it. And, again, it's going to be that diameter of that circle that I'm going to be looking at to determine a distance.
 - Q. Then at 18 inches?
- A. So now we've moved the gun back from 6 to 18, so quite a ways, and you see the pattern has

grown. Density wise, the particles are more spread out and overall diameter is greater than what you saw at six inches. So this is showing that those particles, they're spreading outwards as they move further and further away from the muzzle of the firearm.

Q. Then finally from 24 inches?

2.2

- A. Then again, diameter, less concentrated, overall -- I'm sorry, density is less concentrated and overall diameter is -- is, again, getting bigger. But even at 24 inches, you still have a very significant amount of gunpowder particles deposited on the target material.
- Q. We'll talk about intervening targets a little bit more here in a minute, but if you have an intervening target like a window between the fired bullet or the fired gun and the person it strikes, will that prevent the deposition of these materials?
- A. Yes, it will. A window or a curtain, anything that perhaps could be hanging or, you know, placed in between the final -- the final target of the bullet and the muzzle of the firearm, these particles, the gases, the lead, that will all hit the window and it would be

```
deposited on the window, the curtain, whatever the intervening object may be. And the bullet, of course, is going to punch through and continue on downrange; but these residues are going to be stopped by the first surface that they come into contact with.
```

- Q. I'm going to hand you, then, a total of eight exhibits. Are these the test firings you did on the second test you performed as well as the photographs of those items right after they were tested?
 - A. Yes, they are.

2.2

2.5

MR. BLONIGEN: Move to introduce 508 through 515, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. LOW: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: State's Exhibits 508 through 515 inclusive are received.

- Q. (BY MR. BLONIGEN) By the way, we talked about intervening target just a moment ago. Would bullet wipe be present even if there was an intervening target?
- A. It very well could be. As the bullet is passing through and going downrange, there could very easily be lead materials that are retained on

the surface of the bullet which could then be wiped off subsequently.

- Q. Because bullet wipe is caused by the entry of the bullet itself?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

2.5

- Q. This isn't the debris from the end of the qun?
 - A. Bullet wipe is material coming off of the bullet directly and not additional material.
 - Q. And let's begin here. Again, would you describe this, exactly how these tests are performed.
 - A. This is the sodium rhodizonate test for lead, which I mistakenly described earlier. So what you have is the dark reddish purple color. And this is also a colorimetric test, so it will turn colors with a positive reaction. So everywhere where you see this dark purple color, that is lead. What you're looking at is a positive for the presence of lead residues.

And so -- and even if you look in the bottom left-hand corner, you'll see a "T," and that -- that's a positive control that I use where I take a known standard, okay, and so this has been tested to be 99.9 percent pure lead. And

I'll just draw right on the fabric. Before I test, I'll just draw this little shape of a "T." And so then when I test it using the chemicals, it's going to turn positive, and I know for a fact that it is lead. And then when I see that it's turning and then I've got a very similar reaction happening with my test shot as well, I know that I've got -- that my tests are working properly.

2.2

know, what you see is, you kind of see -- you don't see a very clean punched hole like a bullet hole like you might imagine, but rather you see this "X" shaped or "T" shaped tearing. I call that a stellate tear. And that's very, very common, indicative of a contact shot. You'll see that in fabric, you'll also see that in skin, perhaps a contact shot to skin. But you'll see this "X" or star-shaped tear very often.

And then, you know, directly surrounding the area where all these gases are being expelled from the muzzle at contact, then you've got, as you can see, this very heavy, concentrated, and thick deposit of lead residues all around where the stellate tear is. And so this would be very typical of a contact shot both in how the fabric

- is torn in this manner and also in the amount of residues that are deposited.
 - Q. Also sometimes the imprint of a muzzle or a sight or something like that at contact?
 - A. There are times where you could see that.
 - Q. Now, on the T-shirt, we see a similar mark like this "T" is. Was that your control mark on that T-shirt?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. So it's the same test you were using on the T-shirt?
 - A. Yes. It's a positive control used to verify that the test is working properly, the chemicals are performing the way they're expected to.
 - Q. And then this is the actual contact, the piece of cloth you used?
 - A. This is the actual piece of jean twill cloth, yes. So what you saw before was just a picture of this and showing the -- the stellate tearing and the heavy concentrated deposit of lead.
 - Q. Then, again, on this test, you're using the exact same firearm and the exact same ammunition; correct?

1 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. In this case, the ammunition came from the suspect weapon?
 - A. The ammunition that was submitted, yes.
 - Q. And it's the very same weapon, not a weapon like it?
 - A. It's the very same weapon.
 - Q. Now, in addition to that, on contact or close contact, do we sometimes have tissue, hair, things like that deposited upon the firearm?
 - A. There can be what we call blow back, yes.
 - Q. Did you observe anything like that in this instance?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Then, again, from six inches, does this show -- that's upside down perhaps. Does this show the lead and vaporous lead depositions from about six inches?
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. So this is the actual, you know, or a

 photo of the jean twill that I shot, test fired at

 six inches. And so, I mean, here you can even see

 with your -- you know, you don't necessarily need

 chemical testing to be able to see the small

speckles of gunpowder that's deposited. And so, you know, this would be the -- a photo of the same piece of fabric that I initially -- that we looked at, the orange reactions from the Griess test; but here you can even see the gunpowder particles just by looking at it. It's very clear that you've got these small speckles. And what those are is, like I say, partially burned and unburned gunpowder that are ejected from the muzzle and then the purplish pink reaction for lead. And you can kind of see the halo effect, and that's just from the -- how that smoke is coming out. And it's in a -- it's in a circular shape because it's just come out of the muzzle, and it's been deposited in kind of that circular or halo look. But, again, these gases are very lead rich, and so they're going to test positive when they're -- for lead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And so you have, again, a very heavy concentration directly around the hole itself.

You have the gases kind of spreading out. And then if you look at the actual bullet hole, you can see the dark purple ring around it. That's the lead wipe. And you're going see that at any distance, it doesn't matter, 6 inches, 12 inches, you know, yards downrange, you're going to see

that lead wipe appearing again. That's just the surface being -- of the bullet wiping off on the material it's going through.

But no stellate tearing. It looks like what you would imagine a bullet hole would look like. It looks likes a hole, not an "X" shaped rip. And so, you know, this would -- certainly, if I were to see this, I would say definitely close range but greater than contact.

- Q. So this is actually chemical penetrating the surface that shows at least part of this reaction, that is, this is a chemical reaction, the vaporous lead actually being present and into the surface of the fabric itself?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Then if we look at the actual test firing from that, this is the actual test firing that we just took a photograph of; is that correct?
- A. Yes. And -- well, I'm sorry. That's 18 inches, I believe.
- Q. Oh, excuse me. I placed the wrong one.

 I had that one in the other hand. This is the six inch; is that correct?
- A. Yes. And that's simply what we just saw a photograph of.

Q. Okay. Now, at 18 inches, still quite a bit of material present?

2.2

2.5

A. Absolutely. You're beginning to see that vaporous lead starting to drop off. You don't see that halo look around it where the smoke is being deposited, but you're still seeing all these -- all these specks are the actual particles of gunpowder themselves. This is gunpowder that's being deposited.

And still at 18 inches, you've got a very strong concentration of gunpowder being visible all around the bullet hole. And you see the very dark ring around the hole itself is the bullet wipe, but you don't see that halo look, that -- where you've got the vapor lead rich smoke coming out that's beginning to dissipate already at 18 inches.

- Q. And this is the actual test firing?
- A. It's the actual, and the reason I photograph these is that bright purple reaction, it can be a little bit -- it may fade over time. And so I photograph it directly after the test because that's when it's the most visible. Here it's still visible, but you can have a little bit of fading, so that's the reason why I photograph.

- Q. Finally at 24 inches, obviously the bullet wipe still very much present?
 - A. Bullet wipe still very present. We still have a little bit of gunpowder even being deposited at 24 inches, not a whole lot. No vaporous lead deposits, no smoke, so you're beginning to see that pattern dropping off quite significantly at 24 inches.
 - Q. And again, preserved in the actual test firing itself?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Now, in the earlier test, you said an intervening target could prevent these materials except the bullet wipe from reaching the first surface entered by the bullet, in this case white T-shirt. Is that true of this testing and these matters as well?
- A. Yes. Gunshot residue is going to be deposited on the first surface that it comes into contact with and not subsequent surfaces.
- Q. As you reviewed the materials, was there an intervening target that seemed to be raised by those materials? That's a very poor question.

 Let me ask it this way. You said you had Dr.

A. Yes. I reviewed the medical examiner's report.

2.2

2.5

- Q. And did it suggest an intervening target?
- A. There was -- he did mention that there was refractile material consistent with glass present on the epidermal surface of the bullet wound. And in talking with the investigator and trying to ascertain some of the facts that were known about the case, I came to understand that there -- there was a window in question.

And so my final, you know, my -- my results would be that the evidence, the bullet hole on the evidence was created by a firearm at a distance greater than from which these residues would be deposited because I didn't see any residues. So my conclusion is that, well, it either was fired from a distance, you know, greater than that approximate arm's length or a distance greater from which you would expect to see gunshot residues deposited; or else there could have been an intervening object. Again, those residues being deposited on the intervening object, the first surface they come into contact to.

So in answer to the question, yes, there

- was the possibility of an intervening object in
 this case. And in my mind, the reasonable
 explanation could have been that there might have
 been a window in between the -- the garment and
 the muzzle of the firearm.
 - Q. Now, you stated in addition to your laboratory work, you are charged with investigating actual crime scenes; is that correct?
 - A. Yes. I respond to crime scenes throughout the state.
 - Q. Is it unusual to have a crime scene where a firearm has been discharged through a car window?
 - A. Not necessarily, no.
- Q. Okay. And even through a side car window?
- 18 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

2.2

- 19 Q. Have you ever observed a side car window 20 shot with a firearm?
 - A. Yes. In fact, I've shot them myself.
 - Q. And when that occurs, does the same thing happen each time the window is shot?
- A. Not necessarily. The sides and the rear window of most vehicles are made out of what's

called tempered glass or double strength glass. And so this would be different than your glass that you have in your regular windows or, you know, doors and whatnot, which would be single strength glass or pane glass.

2.2

2.5

Tempered glass, which is in vehicles, is often referred to as safety glass because of how it breaks. And when it breaks, it will immediately fracture into hundreds, maybe thousands of very small square and rectangular shaped pieces, so these have somewhat dull edges. And the reason for them using it in vehicles is because when it breaks, you're not having those large shards of irregularly shaped glass flying all over the occupants of the vehicle. It will break into these very small pieces, and they're relatively dull. And so it's much safer for the occupants if they're involved in some kind of vehicle crash.

When these windows are struck by a bullet, as soon as the bullet perforates the window, instantly it's going to fracture and break into all these very small, square, rectangular shaped piece. That's often -- in the industry, that's often referred to as dicing, the window dices.

And so but it may or may not, at that point, behave like you would expect a window to behave. You might have seen from movies or whatever, you know, the glass being blown out or whatever. As the bullet perforates the window, the force is very concentrated. It's right there about -- the amount of surface area about as big as a .45-caliber bullet in this case.

2.2

2.5

So you'll have a little bit of glass
flying outwards right where the bullet is, but
then what happens to the window, it could be
anybody's guess. I mean, I've shot these windows
where they've remained hung into the frame so
they're completely broken, shattered; but they're
hanging in the window frame. They haven't
dropped. And these are very fragile at this
point. And if I were to approach one of these at
a crime scene, what I would try to do would be to
try to use tape and very, very carefully tape the
glass in place so to preserve the bullet holes
that may or may not be there.

But I've seen it to where you just walk up and touch it and gravity will just take effect, and you'll have the whole window just drop. If the vehicle were moved in some manner -- if we're

at a crime scene and we want to pack it, take the vehicle and load it up on a flatbed and take it into the lab for further processing or whatever, that would be a consideration because I'm sure as soon as we were to move that vehicle, then any kind of safety glass that was remained hanging in the precarious balance of the frame would probably drop.

2.2

2.5

And it's not uncommon that even in the literature, it's been published that oftentimes glass struck by high velocity bullets will behave somewhat counterintuitively, and they actually break inward towards the direction of the gunshot. And that's -- that's been documented in the literature, and that is something that I've been trained to look for as well.

- Q. Did you find that where the glass was found was a very good indicator of the direction of fire?
- A. In speaking with the investigators in the case, I came to understand that there was a large amount of glass deposited on the inside of the vehicle and some glass deposited on the outside.

 I was asked whether or not anything could be determined from this, and my answer was that I

really don't place a whole lot of evidentiary value on the location of the glass at all simply because there's just so many ways that it could have happened.

2.2

2.5

The glass could have remained hanging in the window and then perhaps later subsequently when the vehicle was driven and turned or maybe it hit a curb or anything, that glass could have been then knocked loose. The glass could have been knocked loose right at the -- right at -- in the location of the incident. It just -- there's just so many different ways that that glass could have been displaced that I just don't feel that the location of the glass is a very strong indication of the actual event sequences that could have happened.

- Q. Now, in your observation, what -- you said the results can be very, very different from -- on different scenes, but what was the most com -- when you fired a bullet through a tempered glass window, what was the most common damage that would have occurred from the bullet shot itself? What did you see most often?
- A. Generally, well, the glass will generally behave most commonly in one of two ways. The

first being that when the bullet goes through, the bullet goes through, and then the glass will just react to the force of gravity, and you'll see it just drop. And this has nothing to do with the direction of the bullet or anything else. It's just that the glass is now suddenly diced or fractured. And at that point, gravity just takes a hold and it just drops.

2.2

The second -- and this is still fairly common, would be that the bullet passes through and the glass remains hanging, fully fractured, but hanging in the frame. And then -- and then it can be, you know, sometimes even just the wind or just gravity, just whatever; and you'll see it drop at some point whenever it's acted on by some sort of outside force.

- Q. Okay. And once it shatters or breaks, whatever, there are edges present that can cause injury?
- A. The glass is designed to have edges that are more dull than what you would see in like a pane glass or window glass. But yes, it's glass, and of course the edges are still going to be relatively sharp.
 - Q. So do you believe that you'd be able to

predict a direction of fire from where glass was found given your testimony here today?

- A. In this instance, no.
- Q. Okay. Did you complete a report in this case on February 25th, 2014?
 - A. Yes, I did.

2.2

- Q. And did you place your conclusions in that report to a degree of reasonable scientific certainty?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what was your opinion in this case as to range of fire or distance of the muzzle to the target?
- A. Because in my testing I -- I went ahead and stopped testing -- test firing at 24 inches, what we saw on the screen was as far out as I went to where we saw a significant decrease in gunshot residues being deposited. And so with that, my -- my report stated that the T-shirt was likely struck from a distance greater than 24 inches, assuming no intervening object was present.
- Q. If there was an intervening object, that would account for the nature of the wound also?
- A. It could be. It could be less than 24 inches if there was an intervening object present.

```
1
          Q.
                And just one final question to follow up
 2
      to that. It could be both more than 24 inches and
 3
      an intervening target, too, couldn't it?
 4
          Α.
                Could be, yes.
 5
                     MR. BLONIGEN: Thank you, Mr.
 6
                That's all the questions I have.
 7
                     THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
 8
                Cross-examination on behalf of the
 9
      Defense, Mr. Low.
10
                     MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
      BY MR. LOW:
13
                Good morning, sir.
          Q.
14
          Α.
                Good morning.
15
          Q.
                How are you today?
16
          Α.
                Doing very well. Thank you.
17
                How was your weekend?
          Q.
18
                Very good.
          Α.
19
                How was Mr. Blonigen's?
          Q.
20
                I don't know.
          Α.
21
                You didn't talk to him?
          Ο.
2.2
          Α.
                No, sir.
23
          Q.
                What about before the weekend?
24
                Yes, sir.
          Α.
25
                How many times did you talk to him?
          Q.
```

```
1
           Α.
                We had a pretrial meeting one time.
 2
           Q.
                One time?
 3
                And perhaps shortly before trial.
           Α.
                Perhaps? You're not sure?
 4
           Ο.
 5
                I discussed -- I did talk to him before
           Α.
 6
      trial.
 7
                So you know how many times you have met
           Q.
 8
      with Mr. Blonigen, you're sure about that; right?
 9
      I mean, you were there; right?
10
           Α.
                Yes.
11
           Ο.
                You were participating; right?
12
           Α.
                Yes.
13
                So how many times was it?
           Q.
14
           Α.
                Maybe two.
                You're not sure?
15
           Q.
16
                Not exactly sure, no.
           Α.
17
                What happened? How could you be so
           Q.
18
      unsure now? Let them know, how could you be so
19
      unsure?
20
           Α.
                I met with Mr. Blonigen before trial,
21
      yes, I did.
2.2
           Q.
                When?
23
           Α.
                More than once.
24
                How many times?
           Q.
25
           Α.
                I'm not sure how many times.
```

```
1
          Q.
               Why?
 2
                I don't recall.
          Α.
 3
                Well, what -- what caused your memory to
          Q.
      fade so you don't recall?
 4
 5
                I don't believe anything caused it, just
 6
      simply the way it is.
 7
               The way your memory is?
          Q.
 8
          Α.
               Yes.
 9
          Q.
               Okay. And did Mr. Blonigen ask you some
10
      questions?
11
          Α.
                Yes.
12
                What did he ask you?
          Q.
13
                We discussed my report.
          Α.
14
          Q.
                Yes. Anything -- did you discuss
      anything that was not in your report?
15
16
          Α.
               I'm not sure exactly what you're trying
17
      to get to here. I --
18
               Here's what I'm getting to. Did you
          Q.
19
      discuss anything that was not in your report?
20
                I don't believe anything of consequence,
          Α.
21
      no.
2.2
          Q.
                So you discussed nothing of consequence;
23
      correct?
24
                I don't believe so.
          Α.
25
                Did you all discuss this whole story
          Q.
```

1 about glass breaking inward from a bullet shot?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

- Q. But that was of no consequence; right?
- A. That was part of what was -- that stemmed from my report.
- Q. Oh, you believe that's in your report, do you?
- A. I believe that that is -- my report is relevant to that question.
- Q. Nice and easy. Let's not -- let's make sure we choose our words here. I want to make sure I'm real precise about this. You mean to tell us that in your report, you fully discussed this whole story about shooting windows with bullets and glass breaking inward from bullets and how it cracks and what it could mean? You're going to tell us you discussed that in your report; is that your testimony?
 - A. That was not in my report.
 - Q. Yeah, it's not, is it?
- 21 A. No.
 - Q. So you just had a private conversation with Mr. Blonigen himself about that; right?
- A. I had conversations with the investigator with the DA's Office, yes.

```
1
           Q.
                But I'm not asking you about the
 2
      investigator right now. I asked you about Mr.
 3
      Blonigen. And my question is still the same,
 4
      isn't it true that you had conversations, detailed
 5
      conversations with Mr. Blonigen about how glass
 6
      breaks; isn't that right?
 7
           Α.
                Yes.
 8
           Q.
                And it's nowhere in your report, is it?
 9
           Α.
                No.
10
                Well, do you realize that you have the
           Q.
      ability to amend your report?
11
12
           Α.
                Yes.
13
                Supplement your report?
           Q.
14
           Α.
                Yes.
15
           Q.
                Add to your report?
16
           Α.
                Yes.
17
                Did you do that in this case?
           Q.
18
                No.
           Α.
19
                Why not?
           Q.
20
                I didn't see a need to.
           Α.
21
                Why not?
           Q.
2.2
           Α.
                There was -- I was never -- it never
23
      became an issue that I needed to address.
24
                Are you saying that that evidence where
           Q.
2.5
      you claim that you can shoot a bullet at a window
```

- and all of a sudden the window glass is going to come back your way is completely irrelevant?
 - A. That's just experience that I've encountered.
 - Q. Well, he asked you to testify to it in this case as a possibility, didn't he?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Don't you think that's something that you're usually asked to put in your report to tell people about?
 - A. That wasn't part of my testing.
- Q. I understand. But don't you think that opinion is important to tell people about if you believe that may have happened?
- A. The report is simply the results of my testing.
- Q. Do you believe, sir, that if you believe that could have happened, one of the possibilities, that that is something you're supposed to put in your report; yes or no?
- A. No. I'm only going to put in the results of my testing. Now, if I'm asked something about my prior experience, then I'm going to -- I can explain that.
 - Q. So you only believe that you should only

```
1
      put in your report results of testing; is that
 2
              Is that your answer, sir?
      riaht?
 3
          Α.
                Yes.
 4
                Well, let's see if we can do this, then.
           Ο.
      Here's page 2 of your report; correct?
 5
 6
          Α.
                Yes.
 7
                And these are the --
          Ο.
 8
          Α.
                Copy of it.
 9
          Q.
                This is the result section; correct?
10
          Α.
                Yes.
11
                And here you write: Minimal gunpowder
          Ο.
12
      and/or lead residues were observed, however;
13
      correct?
14
          Α.
                Yes.
15
           Q.
                And that means that you actually did find
16
      qunshot residue on the T-shirt itself; correct?
17
                Very small amounts.
          Α.
18
                Is that a "yes" or a "no"?
          Q.
19
          Α.
                Yes.
20
                Well, sir, if there is a big window
           Q.
21
      between the T-shirt and the gun, how do you
2.2
      account for finding gunshot residue on the T-shirt
23
      if you've said that it would stop it from going
24
      through?
2.5
                The majority would be stopped.
                                                  There
          Α.
```

- 1 possibly could be some that might make it through.
- Q. Well, it would have to go through a really small hole, wouldn't it?
 - A. Possibly.

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Well, you think it can go through a bigger hole, like maybe when the window has been busted out? Huh? Is that a possibility also, sir?
- 9 A. I would expect there would be a large amount that would go through a bigger hole.
 - Q. Well, let's look at the pattern that you preserved for us. Do you remember what exhibit number that was?
- 14 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Here, I've got it right here. I believe it's been received as 533. Is that it there, sir?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You think that that pattern represents gunshot residue on a T-shirt that's gone through a bullet hole? Let's make sure I get this close -- well, you've got it here. The best way to do it is pull the T-shirt up.
- Is that fair, sir? I'll give you the T-shirt if you'd like to compare.
 - A. That might be fair.

- Q. And that hole represents the size of the bullet that went through the T-shirt?
 - A. The hole in the glass may or may not have been the exact size.
 - Q. Nice and slow.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. We're talking approximately, yes.
- Q. I didn't ask you that question. That hole would represent the size of the bullet that went through the T-shirt, "yes" or "no"?
- A. The hole was approximately the size of a bullet, so yes.
- Q. Well, do you believe that the hole in the glass was bigger than the hole in the T-shirt?
 - A. It could have been.
- Q. Do you believe that the bullet when it hit the glass was one size and then it, like, shrunk miraculously and got really small before it hit the T-shirt?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you think that the bullet was pretty much the exact same size when it hit the glass as it would when it hit the T-shirt?
- A. The glass may or may not break out in the exact same size, but approximately, yes.
 - Q. I'm not asking you what the glass does if

it breaks. Very specific here. I'm asking you that the size of the hole that the bullet would cause in the glass should be the same size as the hole that it causes in the T-shirt; isn't that right?

A. Approximately speaking.

2.2

2.5

Q. Yes. And then if we look at the pattern of the gunshot residue, oh, we got to zoom out a little bit here because it turns out there's a -- there's another one up here, too; right?

MR. LOW: Pointing to the upper left-hand corner of Exhibit 553 or 533, pardon me. Judge.

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) Correct?
- A. Yes. And, again, these are possible.

 These were not strong at all. So just in an effort to be conservative, anything that looks even remotely like it could be a positive reaction I've circled. But these weren't like the heavy, very visible point reactions that you were able to see before on the known shots. These are very small, almost -- almost invisible. But I circled them to be conservative.
- Q. You detected it and you used a test and these things came up; correct?

- A. There were some very small pinprick orange discolorations, yes.
 - Q. And you can see the pattern here, I mean, that's a large circle, isn't it, sir?
 - A. Eight and a half by eleven --
 - Q. Well --

2.2

- A. -- is the size of the paper, so you can ascertain for yourself how large.
- Q. -- I don't understand. If you say it's possible that the bullet went through the glass first, how could that disperse of a pattern going through a tiny hole all of a sudden then open up onto a T-shirt?
- A. I don't necessarily believe that all of that had to go through the tiny hole.
- Q. Well, let me see if can I ask it this way then, sir. Are you telling this jury that you know for a fact that that window was up and the bullet got shot through the window glass?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why aren't you telling them that?
- A. I'm not infallible. I can't state -- I
 can offer a reasonable explanation of the evidence
 based on what I was able to observe. But I don't
 know all things, and I'm not about to try to say

that I do.

2.2

- Q. Well, let's see if you tried to figure out if it happened or not. Did you take a piece of automobile glass and put it in front of you with the gun used in this case behind it and the T-shirt on the other side of the glass and shoot the bullet through the glass and at the T-shirt?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. Well, as we discussed earlier, the -- the glass breaking may or may not happen the same way every time, so therefore, I wouldn't see how it would tell me very much usable information about how the actual sequence of events occurred.
- Q. So let me see if I can get this straight.

 No usable info about shooting it through the glass. So you're telling this jury that you had the ability to shoot it through the glass and at the T-shirt had you wanted to; correct? Correct?
- A. It's really not in the standard operating procedures that I use; however, I guess, correct.
- Q. Well, did somebody tell you not to do that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did somebody tell you how and how you

- should not test so that you can try and reach the truth?
- 3 A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

- Q. Is it your goal to reach the truth?
- A. It's my goal, yes.
 - Q. If you recreate the scene as close to how it's supposed to have happened as possible, are you more likely to be able to closer approximate how and how it could not have happened? Yes?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Yeah. Sir, if I asked you whether or not that bullet was shot through the window, would you have to guess as to the answer as to whether it actually happened or not?
 - A. No.
- Q. So you know what happened; is that what you're saying?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. So you would have to guess as to how it happened, wouldn't you?
 - A. No.
- Q. Well, if you don't know and I asked you
 to answer it and you wouldn't have to guess, how
 do you resolve those two?
 - A. A guess would be just a blind assumption

- based on no facts. I would offer you a reasonable
 explanation based on testing I performed.
 - Q. That's true. But you've offered us three reasonable explanations, haven't you? Haven't you, sir?
 - A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. Well, let's see. Let's put this back on here. Minimal gunpowder and lead residues were observed; however, a negative finding -- but you didn't find a negative finding, you have a positive finding -- for discharge residues could be -- could. Does that mean could or could not be, you don't know which it is? Is that correct?
 - A. It means it could be.
 - Q. Could also mean could not be? It's one or the other; right?
 - A. It means it could be.
 - Q. Well, let me see if I could do it this way. Who picked the words that went in that sentence?
 - A. That would be me.
 - Q. Did anybody tell you to change them?
- 23 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Could you have picked words like
- absolutely?

```
1
           Α.
                Yes.
 2
                And you didn't pick that one, though, did
           Q.
 3
      you?
 4
           Α.
                No.
 5
                Could you have picked definitely?
           Q.
 6
                Yes.
           Α.
 7
                But you didn't pick that one, did you?
           Q.
 8
           Α.
                No.
 9
           Q.
                Could you have picked may be?
10
           Α.
                Yes.
11
                Is may be a synonym for could be?
           Ο.
12
                Possibly.
           Α.
13
                And may be means we don't know one way or
           Q.
14
      the other; right?
15
           Α.
                Correct.
16
                Could be means the same thing, one way or
           Q.
17
      the other, doesn't it?
18
           Α.
                Yes.
19
                Okay. So now we know that it could be
20
      one way or not. The result of, number one,
21
      intervening objects in the bullet's flight path;
2.2
      right? Is that what it says there, sir?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
24
                And there's a comma right after that.
           Q.
25
      Flight path, comma. You see that?
```

```
1
           Α.
                Yes.
 2
                Which means now you've got another thing
           Q.
 3
      coming; right?
 4
           Α.
                Yes.
 5
                You put that comma in there?
           Q.
 6
           Α.
                Yes.
 7
           Q.
                A loss or reduction of particles due to
 8
      outside forces.
 9
                You put that in there; correct?
10
           Α.
                Yes.
11
                You got a comma there again; right?
           Ο.
12
                Yes.
           Α.
13
                And then you chose this word, or, from
           Q.
14
      the firearm being fired from a distance beyond
15
      which residue is deposited on the target material.
16
                So it could be intervening objects or
17
      outside forces or fired from a distance; correct,
      sir?
18
19
           Α.
                Yes.
20
                Well, which one of the three is it?
           Q.
21
                I believe the most probable would be
2.2
      number one.
23
           Q.
                Well, did you put that in your report?
24
           Α.
                No.
25
                See, that's what I don't understand.
                                                         Ιf
           Q.
```

you thought that was the most probable, that's a significant fact; right? Right?

A. Yes.

2.2

2.5

Q. So why did you decide not to put that in your report and say for the very first time ever in your entire history today --

MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, I'm going to object. That assumes he never said any such thing before, which there is no evidence of that.

Q. (BY MR. LOW) In this case, have you said that before, sir?

THE COURT: I'll overrule. You may answer.

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) Why the first time today?
- A. I don't believe this is the first time I've ever said that before ever.
 - Q. Oh. So who did you say that to before?
- A. I've discussed that with colleagues, with the investigator, with the DA's Office.
- Q. Any reason why you would discuss that with so many people, but since this is being reviewed by your peers, I guess, or a supervisor, why would you withhold it from that person?
 - A. I don't believe I'm withholding anything.

```
1
      It's in there. It says intervening object.
 2
               Oh, whoa, nice and slow. You know the
 3
      part where you said the probable explanation is,
      number one, and then you have this review like Mr.
 4
 5
      Blonigen said so that somebody other than you can
 6
      review it for accuracy. Why would you withhold it
 7
      from that person? Because probable is not in here
 8
      as to which one it was. Why would you withhold
 9
      that?
10
                I don't believe I withheld it.
          Α.
11
          Ο.
               Well, it's not in here, is it?
               I --
12
          Α.
13
                Is it?
          Q.
14
          Α.
               No, it's not.
15
          0.
               So why would you withhold it, then?
16
          Α.
               I didn't withhold it.
17
                It's not in your report. Why would you
          Ο.
18
      withhold it from the report?
19
                     MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, he's
20
      answered this question at least three times.
                (BY MR. LOW) Why would you withhold it
21
          Ο.
2.2
      from your report?
23
          Α.
               There was no --
24
                     THE COURT: Hold up if you would.
25
      It was directly responded to, so I'll sustain.
```

```
1
          Q.
             (BY MR. LOW) Well, I quess we'll -- I
 2
      quess we'll have to quess why you withheld it
 3
      then.
                     MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor,
 4
 5
      that's an inappropriate comment and an
      inappropriate suggestion.
 6
 7
                     THE COURT: I'll strike the comment.
 8
          Q.
                (BY MR. LOW) Well, let's see what you do
 9
      say in here. Oh, by the way, when you wrote this
10
      report, were you trying to put all relevant
11
      information in it?
               I was trying to put the results of
12
          Α.
13
      testing.
14
          Q.
               And your conclusions on the testing;
15
      right?
16
          Α.
               Yes.
17
               Okay. Well, let's see what you put in
          Ο.
18
      here for your conclusions, then.
               Using the pistol, submitted cartridges
19
20
      therefor, substantial lead and/or gunpowder
      residue patterns were deposited at distances out
21
2.2
      to at least 24 inches. Therefore, the T-shirt was
23
      likely struck from a distance greater than 24
24
      inches assuming no intervening object was present.
2.5
               Assuming; correct?
```

1 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Well, how come nowhere in that report does it say it was 36 inches?
 - A. I stopped at 24.
 - Q. Right. But why today did you say that the distance was 36 inches?
 - A. I did not say that.
 - Q. You didn't say that when Mr. Blonigen was asking you those questions?
 - A. No, sir, I did not.
 - Q. Okay. So if we heard that, you didn't mean to say that; is that right?
- A. I did not say anything about this firearm and 36 inches.
 - Q. Okay. What about arm's length? We heard that several times, too. Why did you say that because that's nowhere in your report about arm's length, is it?
 - A. What I was explaining was that is a very common rule of thumb, but I did not say that was the case with this particular firearm, this particular ammunition. I said that from an investigator's standpoint, a common rule of thumb would be that residues are typically deposited out to about a distance of 36 inches or perhaps arm's

1 length. 2 Typical is nice, but you had the exact 0. 3 qun in this case; right? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Why didn't you go out to 36 inches? 0. 6 24 inches was sufficient for what I was 7 looking at. 8 Q. So you didn't go out to 36 inches because 9 you didn't think it was important? 10 Because I didn't think it was necessary. Α. 11 Ο. Right. So how long is John Knospler's 12 arm? 13 I do not know. Α. You'd have to guess, wouldn't you? 14 Q. 15 Α. I don't know how long his arm is. 16 If I asked you to tell us, you'd have to Q. 17 quess; right? 18 I would say that I don't know. Α. 19 You don't want to say the word quess Q. 20 today, do you? 21 I don't know how long his arm is, sir. 2.2 Q. Did Mr. Blonigen tell you, whatever you 23 do, don't answer my question by saying yes, you'd 24 have to guess? Did he tell you that?

No, sir.

Α.

25

- 1 Q. You sure about that? 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 Okay. Well, would you like to know the Q. Would it help you in your analysis if you 4 5 had facts? Would it? I believe that I had facts in my 6 7 analysis. 8 Would it also help you if you had 9 additional facts? 10 Α. Perhaps. 11 Let's have Mr. Knospler come up, and 12 we'll measure his arm right now; okay? Stand 13 here. And I want you to hold that, and I want you 14 to put your left hand out, palm up. I want you to pull this back here, straight up, and put the 15 16 button down. 17 What does that say, sir? 18 I'm sorry. Am I supposed to? Α. 19 What does the distance on the tape Q. 20 measure show, sir? 21 Approximately 40 inches. Α. 2.2 Q. And how many feet is that? 23 Α. A little bit more than three feet.
 - that is three feet, four inches?

25

Ο.

Is that exactly what it says here, and

1 Α. Approximately. 2 What does the gunshot residue pattern 0. 3 look like on a T-shirt with this particular gun and this particular ammo at three feet four 4 5 inches? What does it look like, sir? 6 I can't say for sure. Α. 7 Ο. You'd have to guess; right? 8 Α. I would have to offer you a reasonable 9 explanation. 10 So let's see if we can do this, then. Q. 11 Outside forces. Before we go to the outside 12 forces, you mentioned a Griess test. Do you remember that? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And the Griess test is a way that you can 16 measure to see if there's gunshot residue present; 17 right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Is there any other test that somebody can 20 do to measure gunshot residue? 21 Α. Yes. What is it? 2.2 Q. 23 Α. There's another test called the Walker 24 test.

Anything else?

Q.

- A. I assume there's probably a wide variety of tests that may or may not be possible.
 - Q. When you say you assume, you're not familiar with those in your field as a --

2.2

- A. I -- I don't purport to say that I'm familiar with every test that could be devised to detect the presence of gunshot residue, no.
- Q. Is there a test that's more accurate than the Griess test, more precise, finer, smaller particles?
 - A. Perhaps. I'm not sure.
- Q. Well, did you ask the DA or the investigator if they took any gunshot residue samples of Mr. Baldwin's body?
- A. You need to define what you mean by gunshot residue because there's two different types. So which kind are you referring to?
- Q. Before we get to the two kinds, I'm just asking you if you asked them if they took any samples.
- A. I don't recall if I asked that specific question or not.
- Q. Well, did they give you any information that they took any samples?
 - A. I believe they did, yes.

Q. Well then, I don't understand. If -you're aware they took samples; right?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. Sir, I don't know. Samples of what?
- Q. Of Mr. Baldwin's body for gunshot residue.
- A. And I need you to explain the question and tell me what kind of gunshot residue you're referring to.
- Q. Let me see if I can do it this way.

 Here's an easier way to do it. Tell us all the materials that you were given to review in preparation for making your testing. What did they give you to review?
 - A. I reviewed the medical examiner's report.
 - Q. Right. What else? Anything else?
- A. I received information from the investigator as far as statements he'd received from witnesses and crime scene investigation, and I reviewed the evidence.
 - O. What evidence?
- A. The T-shirt, the firearm, the ammunition.
 - Q. Anything else?
- A. That's all I can think of.
- Q. And the witness statements and the crime scene that you just mentioned, were you given

- reports or did someone just tell you what they wanted to tell you?
 - A. I was given verbal information.
 - Q. Right. They just told you some stuff; right?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.2

- Q. Tell us exactly what you were told, then.
- A. I don't recall exactly what I was told.
- Q. Well then, what do you think you were told?
- A. I was told that there could be some question as to whether or not the window was up or down. I was told that it happened in the parking lot of the bar. I was told that it was snowing that night. I was told that the vehicle had moved, that perhaps there might have been impact on a separate vehicle. These are all things I was told.
- Q. And, therefore, you were not told anything about some samples being taken for testing for gunshot residue? They didn't tell you or show you anything about that?
- A. I know some samples that were taken for gunshot residue, but I don't know what kind of gunshot residue you're talking to. There are at

1 least two different types, and they're very
2 different.

2.2

- Q. I understand that. But before we get to what the results were, I'm just asking you if you were made aware that samples were taken.
- A. I was made aware that there were some samples taken, yes.
- Q. And what did you find out when you must have asked what the results were? What did they tell you?
- A. The samples that were taken would have no bearing on anything that I do because it would be a different kind of gunshot residue entirely.
- Q. Okay. So are you under the impression that any tests that would have been done for gunshot residue on Mr. Baldwin's body are irrelevant to this case?
- A. I don't believe I understand your question.
- Q. I'm asking you if somebody took samples of Mr. Baldwin's body for gunshot residue, you think that's -- those are irrelevant to this case?
 - A. That's not what I said at all.
- Q. I'm asking you, do you think that's irrelevant?

- 1 Α. I do not think that would be irrelevant. 2 Do you think it would be relevant? 0. 3 I can't say whether it would be relevant. Α. You'd have to guess? 4 Ο. 5 I can't say. Α. Did they tell you why they never tested 6 Q. 7 those samples? 8 Α. No, sir. 9 Did you ask them why? Q. 10 I don't even know that they never tested Α. 11 those samples. I have no knowledge of this. 12 That's right. They didn't tell you Q. 13 anything about them, did they? 14 Α. I don't -- I really don't know what 15 you're talking about. 16 That's okay. Unfortunately, you weren't Q. 17 given that information; correct? 18 A. Okay. I don't know what you're referring 19 to right now. 20 And, sir, they didn't give you any of the crime scene pictures either, did they? 21
 - A. I don't believe I reviewed crime scene photos in this case, no.

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q. So let's now talk to you a little bit about the Griess test. And tell us, when testing

with the Griess test, is understanding the outside conditions, outside forces important for you to understand to help you make a conclusion in this case?

A. Could be, yes.

2.2

- Q. Yeah. Because that might explain why there's no particulate matter on the T-shirt you looked at; correct?
 - A. It could be important in this case.
- Q. Uh-huh. Well, tell us the detailed experiments that you did so you could fully appreciate what the outside forces were so that allows us to understand your conclusion that you've made. What exactly did you do to test for the outside forces?
- A. I've already explained exactly what I did.
 - Q. So you did nothing is what you're going to tell us, you just don't want to say that; right? You did nothing; right?
 - A. Again -- the testing that I did, we take into account every variable that we can. Some variables are going to have an influence on the testing; some are not.
 - Q. You did nothing to test and ascertain the

1 outside forces; isn't that true, sir? 2 Well, could you explain which outside 3 forces you're referring to? 4 You're the one who put the word down Ο. 5 there as a possibility or a could be. What did you mean? You're not sure? 6 7 Α. Not sure. I'm not sure what you're 8 asking is what I'm saying. 9 Q. All right. I understand. This is 10 difficult. We'll see if we can do it this way. 11 Is one outside force the weather? 12 It could be. Α. 13 And tell us exactly how the weather in Q. 14 this case with this T-shirt affected what you found? 15 16 Α. I can't say that it did or did not affect 17 what I found. 18 Can't say one way or the other, can you? Ο. 19 Can you? 20 Α. No. And what was the weather at the time that 21 Q. 2.2 the bullet hit the T-shirt exactly? 23 Α. I was told that it was snowing. 24 Anything else? Q.

That's what I was told.

25

Α.

- Q. Yeah. Were you told anything else?
- 2 A. No.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. What about the wind? Were you told anything about that?
 - A. No.
- 6 Q. Did you look it up?
- 7 A. No.
 - Q. Did you get on one of the geological surveys that's recorded every single day to find out what the wind was that day?
 - A. No.
 - Q. If the wind blows into the window because someone just punched it out and then the shot comes and there's wind blowing in, could that have affected whether the fine, misty, microscopic particles can travel all the way to the T-shirt?
 - A. They're not microscopic. And it may affect it, but it shouldn't affect it too much at close range like that.
 - Q. Oh. Oh, close range.
 - A. Less than about arm's length.
 - Q. Let's not put that word in there. Hold on. Let's go nice and slow. If these particles are in a gas in a cloud and a wind blows in, could that affect how far they travel?

1 Α. Possibly. 2 You're not sure? 0. 3 It could possibly -- I'm sure it could Α. possibly affect it. 4 5 So the answer is yes, it could affect them; right? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 So you don't know what the wind speed 9 was, you don't know what direction it was blowing, 10 and you did nothing to try and figure it out; 11 isn't that right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. What about water? Could that Q. 14 affect the particles on the T-shirt? 15 Α. I don't believe so, but possibly. 16 Well, let's see if we can do it this way. Q. 17 You're aware that if somebody uses the Griess 18 test, that they have to be aware if the T-shirt --19 or I'm sorry -- the material you're going to test 20 was subjected to water; isn't that correct, sir? 21 That's not a typical consideration, no. Α. 2.2 Q. No? 23 Α. No. 24 You think water has nothing to do with Q.

whether the particles could be present or not?

25

- A. I think particles are going to be deposited on the surface whether it's wet or dry.
 - Q. Right. That's not my point. Let's suppose they're on the surface, you're with me so far? Yes?
- A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Just like you're saying, you throw the sand up there, and it's clinging to the material; right? You with me so far?
 - A. I'm with you.
- Q. And then all of a sudden, I put water on it. Could water wash some of those particles away?
- A. It might cause some to be removed. I don't believe it will cause all of it.
- Q. But all of them weren't removed. There were particles on the T-shirt, you found them; right?
 - A. Possibly.
- Q. So water is a consideration for you to figure out whether there could be something else that would have removed some particles that could have been there; right?
- A. Water could be an outside force, yes.
 - Q. And how much time did you spend trying to

```
1
      figure that out, if there was any water that
 2
      washed these particles away? How much, sir, out
 3
      of your entire time, what, 30 minutes, 15 minutes,
      how much time did you spend? You can say it.
 4
 5
      It's all right. We already know. Zero; right?
      Zero minutes spent trying to figure that out;
 6
 7
      right?
 8
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, he didn't
 9
      let the witness respond to the question.
10
                    THE COURT: I think I agree.
11
      ahead and conclude your answer. Go ahead.
12
          Α.
               When I examined the shirt, I'm going to
13
      look for -- for evidence of blood or washing or
14
      something like that. And I didn't see -- I saw
15
      blood evidence present on the shirt. And so, you
16
      know, did I consider it, yes; but is it something
17
      that I spent a definite amount of time on, I can't
18
      necessarily say.
19
               (BY MR. LOW) So did they show you any
          Q.
20
      pictures of how soaking wet the T-shirt was?
21
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
2.2
          Q.
              They did?
23
          Α.
               Yes.
24
               See, we went through it earlier, and I
          Q.
```

specifically asked you exactly what it was that

25

you were shown. Do you remember that? We spent 1 2 time doing that just a couple minutes ago. 3 Remember that? Α. 4 Yes. 5 And I even asked you, did they show you Ο. any pictures, and you said what? 6 7 Α. You asked me about crime scene photos, 8 and I didn't see crime scene photos. 9 Q. You didn't see a wet, soaking T-shirt? 10 I saw photos from the hospital. Α. 11 Oh, but did you see any wet soaking Ο. 12 T-shirt pictures at the crime scene? I didn't see crime scene photos. 13 Α. 14 So you have no way of knowing how wet the Q. 15 T-shirt was at the crime scene, do you? 16 Α. No. 17 Well, did they show you any reports about Q. 18 how long the body sat out in the snow? 19 Α. No. 20 Did they tell you how hard it snowed that Q. night? 21 2.2 Α. It was a big snowstorm. 23 Q. Pretty heavy; right? 24 From what I understand, yes. Α. 25 Did they tell you that body sat out there Q.

1 for about four and a half hours getting snowed on? 2 I don't know if I remember four and a 3 half hours, but I do recall hearing that it had set out there for a while. 4 5 Uh-huh. And what about the way the body Ο. 6 falls in the snow? Could that brush off some of 7 those particles? 8 A. Possibly. 9 Did they tell you that the body fell Q. 10 facedown? 11 A. I don't believe it would, again, remove all. 12 13 I didn't ask you that. Did they tell you Q. 14 that the body fell facedown? 15 Α. No. 16 That might affect your conclusions in Q. 17 your report; right? 18 Possibly, but I don't really believe it Α. 19 would. 20 Possibly? Q. 21 Possibly. Α. 2.2 Q. So you mean to tell me that if you are 23 considering ways that particles could be removed

from the T-shirt, that's not going to possibly

change your mind at all?

24

- A. I would expect there to possibly be a reduction in the overall density, but I don't think I would expect there to be a reduction to the point that a discernable pattern would no longer be visible.
 - Q. But there was a pattern visible; right?
- 7 A. No, sir.

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. No, sir?
- 9 A. There was not sufficient particles there
 10 for a pattern.
- 12 Q. We've got -- we got four dots there;
 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. But you decide you don't want to call that a pattern; right?
 - A. No. That's insufficient for determination of a qunshot residue powder pattern.
 - Q. I'm not asking you to determine the distance from it. I'm just asking you to determine whether there's particles there, and they're clearly there; right?
 - A. Again, potential.
 - Q. So water is a consideration as to why particles are gone, you already said it, and blood is another consideration as to why particles are

1 gone; correct?

- 2 A. Why there would be a reduction.
- Q. Yes, sir. And there was plenty of blood on this T-shirt; correct?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Another one is intervening contact with other things on the T-shirt. In other words, things that are touching it and can remove the particles; right?
 - A. I'm not sure what you mean.
- Q. Well, for example, if I have the T-shirt here, and I take a rag and I go up and down the T-shirt like that, will that remove some of the particles?
 - A. It could.
 - Q. And it would; right?
- A. Again, there could be a reduction. I

 don't believe it would remove deposits of vaporous

 gas, but there may be some reduction, yes.
 - Q. Yes. And did they tell you if any rags has been used to wipe up and down the T-shirt?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Were you aware that there was three bar rags out there that the people trying to resuscitate him used to press up and down on the

1 T-shirt and move all around the chest? 2 Α. No. 3 That was withheld from you, wasn't it? Q. I was not aware of it. 4 Α. 5 Okay. What about somebody with gloves on Q. 6 pushing on the T-shirt and moving it around in the 7 chest? Could those gloves remove or reduce the 8 particles like you say? 9 Α. Could. 10 What about the T-shirt being inside of a Q. 11 bag and the bag rubbing on the T-shirt? Could that brush the particles off? 12 13 Potentially. Α. 14 Q. Did you test the body bag? 15 Α. No, sir. 16 And when the T-shirt came to you, was it Q. 17 sealed in a plastic bag? 18 I believe it was -- may have been Α. 19 plastic. I think it was a paper bag. 20 Yeah. It was wadded up in a paper bag; Q. right? 21 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Did you test the inside of the paper bag? 24 No, sir. Α. 25 Okay. So could have been fired through Q.

```
1
      glass you say; right?
 2
          Α.
                Yes.
 3
                Because that would stop some of the
          Q.
      particulate matter from ending up on the T-shirt;
 4
 5
      right?
 6
          Α.
                Yes.
 7
           Q.
                Are you aware, sir, that there was
 8
      chemical testing done on the inside of the car
 9
      door?
10
          Α.
                No.
11
                They didn't tell you that?
           Ο.
12
                I might have heard about some testing,
          Α.
13
      but I'm not aware of results or anything else.
14
          Q.
                You didn't follow up on that anyway;
15
      right?
16
          Α.
                Not particularly, no.
17
                Well, let me see if can I ask it this
          Ο.
18
      way. Let's pretend there is a car door right
19
      here, and we're going to put the windowsill right
20
      here. You with me?
21
          Α.
                Yes.
2.2
           Q.
                And let's suppose that the window is
23
      down, okay, or gone. You with me?
24
          Α.
                Yes.
25
                And let's suppose there's some broken
           Q.
```

glass on the ledge of the window. You with me?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And let's suppose now I'm leaning inside the window, and now the ledge of the car -- I'm just showing you -- is right here, right at my chest level. And suppose now that gun is three feet four inches away or more, and it shoots this way and the particles are coming this way. Could that door panel block some of those materials from winding up on my T-shirt?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. How much time did you spend trying to figure out if a car door prevented the particles from landing on the T-shirt? How much time?
 - A. Zero minutes.
- Q. Would that be one of these intervening objects that Mr. Blonigen asked you about?
 - A. Could be.
- Q. Could that prove that, in fact, not all of the particles that might be there couldn't make it there; but the window was, in fact, already busted out?
- A. A car door could definitely be an intervening object.
 - Q. So which one is it? Was the window

- busted out and the car door got some of the
 materials or was the glass out? Which one?
 - A. That's not for me to say.

2.2

- Q. I understand that but you're the scientist here. You'd have to guess; isn't that the truth?
 - A. Well, I'm certainly not going to embellish.
- Q. You'd have to guess, though, wouldn't you, if I asked you to tell us which one it was; right?
 - A. That's not for me to say.
 - Q. You don't want to say?
 - A. I don't want to say.
 - Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. What if there was some pictures of that car door and up on the ledge -- like you said, the glass doesn't always just fall out and crumble, a lot of times it stays around the rim. You've seen that before; right?
 - A. Some of the glass may be retained, yes.
 - Q. Yes. And what if the person is bending over, the window is already busted out, and there's glass sitting up on the frame like this and you're leaning over, and then the bullet

```
1
              Just before it crosses the threshold, it
 2
      hits some of that broken glass and then goes in
 3
      the wound. Isn't that just as possible, sir?
                That is one of many possibilities.
 4
          Α.
 5
          Ο.
                So which is it? Was the window up and he
      shot through a fresh glass window or did it hit
 6
 7
      broken glass that was sitting on the ledge because
 8
      we know the guy was down and his chest and the
 9
      bullet hole was right there at that entry level.
10
      Which one of the two was it, sir?
11
               That's not for me to say.
          Α.
12
               You'd have to guess, wouldn't you?
          Q.
13
                There could have been an intervening
          Α.
14
      object.
15
          Q.
               You don't know?
16
          Α.
               That's what I can say.
17
               You don't know for sure, do you?
          Ο.
18
               Nobody does.
          Α.
19
               Well, don't you think the Government
20
      ought to know that before they bring charges of
      murder against somebody?
21
2.2
                     MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, that's an
      improper argumentative question. Counsel knows
23
```

THE COURT: I'll sustain and strike

24

25

it.

```
1
      and admonish counsel to stay away from those type
 2
      of questions.
 3
                     MR. LOW: Yes, Your Honor.
          Ο.
                (BY MR. LOW) Nobody knows that.
 4
 5
                Distance of 24 inches. Man is in the
      window, his chest is at the ledge sticking
 6
 7
      through, somebody else slides over to the
 8
      passenger side, arm out, away from, gun to the
 9
      side, what's that distance, sir?
10
          Α.
               T don't know.
11
               Well, we can narrow it down, though,
      can't we? It's three feet four inches or greater;
12
13
      isn't that right?
14
          Α.
               Possibly.
15
          Q.
               But more than possibly. There is a fact
16
      in this case that clears that up for you, isn't
17
      there? Did you consider that?
18
          Α.
               I'm not sure where you're going.
19
               Well, let me see if I can help you, then.
20
      You testified that the gun in this case
      stovepiped; right?
21
2.2
          Α.
               Yes.
23
               And that the cartridge was sticking out
          Q.
24
      of the top of it; right?
```

That's how I received it, yes.

2.5

Α.

- Q. Yes. And that means that when the gun was fired, it was limp wristed; correct?
 - A. I didn't -- I don't know.

2.2

- Q. You said that it was indicative of something being limp wristed. Didn't you say that?
- A. I said that was one reason or possible explanation for why a cartridge would be stovepiped, but there are other explanations.
- Q. Oh, I understand. But that's at least one you said. You did use the word limp wristed; right?
 - A. I did say the word limp wristed.
- Q. Now, let's see if we get this right. In order for that gun to fire right, let's make sure I'm accurate here. I'm going to go down to the ground. If I want that discharging mechanism to work properly and I hold a steady arm like you're trained to shoot it, like someone who's been trained to shoot a firearm would, when that gun recoils, I've got a stiff back behind it and now the mechanism can work and it won't limp wrist; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And did anybody tell you what John's

1 military background was of shooting this weapon? 2 Α. No. 3 They didn't tell you that? Q. 4 Α. No. 5 So now if you're holding it single hand Ο. and you've got it off to the side and you've got 6 7 your hand out here, isn't that the position that 8 could easily cause a limp-wristed shot? 9 Α. Possibly. 10 Oh, you know, a few other things about Q. this gun, I thought they're worth commenting on. 11 12 I'd like to show you this again, 204. Now, 13 quickly, you're familiar with glocks, that's a 14 type of gun; correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And it's a kind of gun that oftentimes is Q. 17 carried by law enforcement? 18 There are some law enforcement agencies Α. 19 that carry glocks, yes. 20 And there's a lot of gun manufacturers Ο. who make guns that they're called semi-automatic? 21 2.2 Α. Yes.

> That means you have to squeeze the Q. trigger every single time you want a round to come out?

23

24

1 Α. Yes. 2 Just like that one? Q. 3 Α. Yes. It's a standard pistol; correct? 4 Ο. Yes. 5 Α. Okay. Some of these handguns don't have 6 Q. 7 a safety on them, though, do they? 8 A. Perhaps. Yeah, there could be a gun with 9 no safety, yes. 10 You don't know? Ο. 11 It depends on how you're going to describe safety. I don't really understand where 12 13 you're going with this either. 14 Ο. I know but nice and slow. Some guns 15 don't have a safety on them, do they? 16 Α. It's possible that a gun might not have a 17 safety feature. 18 For example, a glock, it has no safety Ο. mechanism on it, does it? 19 20 That's incorrect. Α. Well, if I squeeze the trigger -- if 21 Q. 2.2 there's a round in a glock and I squeeze the 23 trigger, what's going to happen? 24 It will fire. Α. 25 Okay. If there's a round in the chamber Q.

```
on that one, will it -- and I don't squeeze the
 1
 2
      handle and I don't hit the thumb release, will it
 3
      fire?
          Α.
 4
                No.
 5
                That's what's unique about this qun
           Ο.
      unlike, say, the Freedom Arms gun that's made here
 6
 7
      in Wyoming, where if I squeeze the trigger on a
 8
      Freedom Arms gun, it'll fire; correct?
 9
          Α.
                Yes.
10
                Yes. But on this gun, you see this
           Q.
      leather here on the handle? See that little --
11
12
      that leather right there that goes in and out?
13
                Grip safety, yes.
          Α.
14
                Yes, it's a grip safety, which means you
          Q.
15
      have to be squeezing the grip in order for it to
16
      fire; right?
17
          Α.
                Yes.
18
                Will not fire unless you're squeezing it;
           Q.
19
      correct?
20
          Α.
                Correct.
                That's a safety mechanism; right?
21
          Q.
2.2
          Α.
                Yes.
23
          Q.
                To keep from false firing it; right?
24
                It could possibly prevent an accidental
          Α.
25
      discharge, yes.
```

1 Q. And then you also notice that it has 2 another safety on the side of it. Now, this is 3 the thumb safety; correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And when the thumb safety is in place, in 6 order to fire it, you have to actually click the 7 safety off with your thumb? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. You have to do them both before it'll 10 fire; correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 There's actually a third safety on this 13 gun, isn't there, and it's the hammer in the back? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. You have to have the hammer pulled all 16 the way back before it'll fire; right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 So in order to fire that gun, you have to Ο. 19 hold it in your palm and squeeze it; correct? 20 Α. Yes. You have to release the thumb trigger or 21 Q. 2.2 safety; correct? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And the hammer has to be back; correct? Q. 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. And then you can pull the trigger and fire?
- 3 A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. How long does it take to do that?
- 5 A. I don't know.
 - Q. You didn't try it?
 - A. I don't know how long it takes someone to do that.
 - Q. So isn't it fair to say that somebody could put a magazine in this gun, chamber a round, and still have the gun be very safe because as long as you don't pull the handle or squeeze the handle, hit the thumb safety, and pull the trigger, you're going to be fine; isn't that right?
 - A. Repeat the question again.
 - Q. I will. It's a long one. Somebody could chamber a round with this pistol and the way it's designed is that you can carry it around and still have it be safe because it will not fire unless you squeeze the handle, hit the thumb safety, and have the hammer back; isn't that correct, sir?
 - A. Are you asking if it's safe to carry it with a round in the chamber?
 - Q. With this particular model, yes, sir.

1 Α. Yeah. 2 Yes. 0. 3 THE COURT: Counsel, why don't we take our morning break at this juncture. We're a 4 5 little past 10:30. Ladies and gentlemen of the 6 jury, we'll take a break until 10:45. Keep in 7 mind the admonitions not to discuss the case with 8 anyone, including with one another; not to permit 9 anyone to discuss the case with you; do not try to 10 learn anything about the case from outside the 11 courtroom; to avoid all publicity and accounts 12 there may be concerning the matter; and keep your 13 minds open until you've heard all the evidence. 14 We'll go ahead and take our morning break 15 at this time and reconvene a little over ten 16 minutes at 10:45. Court is in recess. 17 (The following proceedings were 18 held in open court, out of the presence of the 19 jury:) 20 THE COURT: Mr. Low, you wish to be 21 heard? 2.2 MR. LOW: Yes, sir, briefly. Topic 23 is exactly what we spoke about on Friday. I told 24 you that everywhere I go, on the last witness you

hear something brand new that's nowhere anywhere.

1 And in this particular case, that new information 2 is all this information with regards to windows 3 being shot out and the glass coming backwards and literature on that. That is an absolutely brand 4 5 new opinion, nowhere contained in his report. never got discovery of that, we never got 6 7 disclosure, and we never got that scientific data. 8 That is in direct violation of not only the 9 rulings, but the motion that I filed Friday. 10 Somehow I knew it was coming. Submit. 11 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Blonigen. 13 MR. BLONIGEN: The finding of the 14 intervening target is his -- all underlying 15 materials were provided that were produced at the 16 He is simply talking about his experiences 17 as an examiner. There's no piece of paper that 18 hasn't been provided. If you look at Rule 16 --19 because I quess all of a sudden Rule 16 applies 20 now -- it says that -- it talks about his 21 conclusions and his testing. There is nothing --2.2 if he gets into experience, why he's saying what 23 he's saying, there is absolutely no violation of 24 Rule 16 or that motion or any order of this Court. 2.5 THE COURT: Well, to the extent

there's a request to strike this witness or strike a portion of his testimony, I'll deny any request.

2.2

2.5

Once again, from the outset, discovery in this case was problematic. The Defense expressly refused the Court's proposal to enter a Rule 16 discovery order in this case. I know there was a follow up Brady versus Maryland order that was requested by the Defense, but we have that problem; and once the Defense declined that standard order of discovery, I think we needed to take up any discovery requests independent of that and by separate motion, and we didn't have any motion.

Additionally, one of the ways that a case can be managed, much like a civil case, is to have a proposal for discovery that would require the identification of expert opinions, require disclosure of reports, structure time lines for discovery; and we did not have that in this case, once again, because, I think, of the declination of the Defense to have Rule 16 discovery and because there was no specific request of the Court.

So it looks like to me that the parties were stuck with coming into the trial with some

room for there to be opinions that may not have been precisely and specifically delineated, for matters to be discussed outside the scope of the reports. And Rule 26.2 only is -- which the Court did order, only applies to witness statements.

And I think that's a little bit different than what's proposed here.

2.2

2.5

In any event, I think with those comments, I'll just note I don't think I can strike a witness or strike an opinion. And I'll surely give leeway. There has been substantial cross-examination and challenge that can be interjected by that means.

MR. LOW: If I may, Your Honor, quickly, then. I'm sorry. If I may.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. LOW: Thank you. Well, since we're saying that the discovery rules don't apply, then I'd like to have my experts back that you ruled could not testify because we did not disclose the evidence. And I will remind you that we did disclose the evidence. And I will remind you that experts do not give evidence; they simply comment on it.

And since you're now saying that this

evidence gets to stand because Mr. Blonigen didn't have to give it to me, I want my experts back.

And I want my evidence about Mr. Baldwin's aggressive attitudes before this happened to come into evidence because I have experts who are going to comment on that evidence. We disclosed them.

And since we don't have to say what they're going to say and we don't have to produce reports because you said we refused and everybody was happy with that, well then, what's good for the goose is good for the gander. And I'd like to have that back.

2.2

2.5

And lastly -- and then I'm going to shut up, which I know will make you happy -- I would like to remind you that on Friday, we did file a motion asking for additional discovery and materials turned over because I suspected we were going to hear this. And I was assured by Mr. Blonigen that that would not happen, and it did. So I want to make sure we remind you that we did ask, and now you're saying we didn't. And so that motion, I think, clearly said we did ask.

He had the entire weekend to provide that to us. He had the conversation with the gentleman, he knew it was coming, and he

1 purposefully, intentionally withheld it. And now 2 I'm at a disadvantage because I do not have an 3 opportunity to call an expert and refute that junk science I just heard about because it's junk 4 5 science, Your Honor. And I have no way to respond to it because as soon as I try to, he's going to 6 7 say, Oh, I can't get an expert because he's not on 8 the witness stand. So what -- what are we going 9 to do about that because I'm prejudiced now? 10 MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, 11 first, he asked me if the witness would give an 12 opinion, to the exclusion of all other reasons,

opinion, to the exclusion of all other reasons, was that -- was that bullet fired through the window. I said he's not going to talk about that. He's going to talk about the possibility that it happened. I think that's what we heard.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Secondly, the other rulings the Court has made in those other two instances have nothing to do with this issue, Your Honor. The Court -- well, first there was a late disclosure of the CVs; but the Court ruled on the type of evidence his experts wanted to present.

Secondly, Your Honor, as to also the character issue, the Court has, again, ruled on that; and the two have nothing to do with what

we're discussing here right now. 1 2 THE COURT: And I'd agree with you, 3 those other prior rulings were made independent of discovery problems. They were based upon the 4 5 requirements of Wyoming law as to the evaluation of that particular type of evidence independent of 6 7 discovery. So the positions of both parties are 8 noted. I think the Court would stand by its 9 ruling, and we'll go from there. Court will stand 10 in recess. (At 10:39 a.m., a recess was 11 12 taken until 10:49 a.m.) 13 (The following proceedings 14 were held in open court, in the presence of the 15 jury:) 16 THE COURT: Thank you much. Please 17 be seated. Court will reconvene after the morning 18 break. And I purposely kept it short hoping we 19 can keep on track to get the evidence concluded 20 and the case presented to the jury in the next two days, so thank you for your understanding in that 21 2.2 regard. The Court notes that the entire jury 23 24 panel, defendant, Defense counsel, counsel for the 2.5 State are present. And is Mr. Norris still here?

```
1
               Mr. Blonigen, is your witness, Mr.
 2
      Norris, still here?
 3
                    MR. BLONIGEN: He was there two
 4
      seconds ago. I'm sorry.
 5
                    THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I
 6
      was --
 7
                    MR. BLONIGEN: I thought he was
 8
      coming in. He was here just five seconds ago.
 9
                    THE COURT: Thank you for checking.
10
      I just didn't see him and knew that we needed to
11
      have him back on the witness stand.
12
                    MR. BLONIGEN: He was just there a
13
      second ago, Judge. I don't understand where he
14
      went.
                    THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Norris.
15
16
      Continued, cross-examination, Mr. Low.
17
                    MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
      Appreciate it.
19
               (BY MR. LOW) And, sir, you said you
20
      inspected the T-shirt?
21
          Α.
               Yes.
2.2
          Q.
             Visually?
23
          Α.
               Yes.
24
               And did you note anything about the
          Q.
25
      T-shirt other than the blood stains and the -- and
```

```
1
      the bullet hole in the front?
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I
 3
      refer to my notes to refresh my memory?
                     THE COURT: Any objection?
 4
 5
                     MR. LOW: No, sir.
                     THE COURT: You may do so.
 6
 7
                (BY MR. LOW) And while you're looking,
          Q.
 8
      sir, does that mean you don't remember?
 9
               It means I'm refreshing my memory.
10
                I guess we can interpret that to mean you
          Q.
      don't remember. Is that fair if we did that?
11
      Sir, is it fair if we did that?
12
13
                I'm sorry. I was --
          Α.
14
               Yeah. If you're refreshing your memory,
          Q.
15
      is it fair for us to assume that you don't
16
      remember the answer to my question?
17
                I didn't answer your question yet.
          Α.
18
               Because you don't remember what the
          Ο.
      answer is; correct?
19
20
                I'm refreshing my memory.
          Α.
21
          Q.
               Okay.
2.2
          Α.
                So I note visual appearance as received,
23
      lead wipe present, no stellate tearing. And there
24
      were -- I have blood staining noted. I have some
2.5
      markings showing unidentified defects.
                                                Entrance
```

hole, approximately one and a quarter inches down
from the neckline, approximately seven inches over
from the right seam. Exit hole approximately 11
inches down from the neckline and approximately
nine and a half inches over from the -- the right
side of the shirt. So that was what I noted in my
notes.

- Q. Anything else?
- A. No.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. So the defects were the entrance wound in the front and the exit wound in the back?
 - A. Those were some of the defects.
 - Q. What were the other ones?
 - A. I have noted some unidentified defects.
- Q. What are they?
- 16 A. Small holes.
 - Q. Where were they on the T-shirt?
 - A. On the lower right side and a little bit on the front right side. So kind of lower rear and a little bit to the lower side, lower front.
 - Q. Well, let's take a look at that T-shirt now and see what we can see there. Did you notice if there are any defects on the right sleeve?
 - A. No. Didn't note it.
 - Q. Would it surprise you to know that there

1 are, that there's cuts in the T-shirt there? 2 Α. No. 3 Why not? Q. There could be. 4 Α. 5 Okay. What about on the -- is this the Ο. 6 right side of the T-shirt you're talking about? 7 Yes. Α. 8 And as we look at these, these are all 9 holes here; is that correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 What were those caused from? Ο. I don't know. 12 Α. 13 Anywhere else? Are there holes anywhere Q. 14 else? 15 Α. Little bit to the kind of the right rear 16 and maybe a little to the side. I -- they may or 17 may not all be part of the same general group, 18 but. 19 What about the right front? Are there 20 some holes there as well? 21 A. A small amount. The front, slash, side. 2.2 It's hard to say when the shirt is laying flat 23 versus if it was on a person, but. 24 Q. Well, if you count them up, is it your 2.5 memory that there's one, two, three, four holes on

- the right side of the lower part of the T-shirt;

 is that right, sir?
 - A. I have five noted.
- 4 Q. You have five holes? Where did you note 5 that, sir?
 - A. Five small arrows pointing to.
 - Q. Okay. Now, if we have pictures of the holes in the right, you can see the holes right here, can you not?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 11 Q. How did those escape your attention, sir?
- 12 A. Which holes?
 - Q. The ones right here where my finger is pointing, the right sleeve. See that hole right there? Here. Don't take my word for it. Go ahead and look at it. Tell me what you see right there.
 - A. Possibly a small defect. I don't know what I'm really looking at there.
 - Q. What does it look like you're looking at?
 - A. I don't know what it looks like.
- Q. I mean, you had this T-shirt out of the bag; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And you were able to inspect it for

```
1
      material closely; right?
 2
                I inspected it visually, yes.
 3
                Because you're looking for evidence;
          Q.
 4
      right?
 5
          Α.
                Yes.
                And you have a keen eye for evidence;
 6
 7
      isn't that right?
 8
                Basically, I'm -- after reading the
 9
      medical examiner's report and then looking for the
10
      wounds that are associated with his report is what
11
      I'm looking for.
12
                I understand. You have a keen eye for
13
      evidence; right?
14
          Α.
               I inspect evidence. I inspect for
15
      evidence, yes.
16
                And are you any good at it?
          Q.
17
          Α.
                I've been trained to do it.
18
                So you don't miss a thing; right?
          Q.
19
                I didn't say that.
          Α.
20
                Do you miss some things?
          Q.
21
                It's possible.
          Α.
2.2
          Q.
                Is it possible or probable?
23
          Α.
                It's possible.
24
                Did you note any tears in the T-shirt on
           Q.
25
      your report on the -- from the right sleeve?
```

1 Α. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 2 Since you saw some pictures of the --Ο. 3 what does that look like to you, sir?
 - That looks like a tear. Α.
 - And can't you actually confirm now by Ο. looking at the T-shirt, now that someone pointed it out to you, that that's actually in the T-shirt itself?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Why didn't you put it in your report? 0.
- 11 This is not my report. Α.
- 12 Yeah. Why didn't you note it in your Q. 13 report?
 - Α. I didn't note any of the other defects in my report either.
 - That's right, you didn't. But when I Q. asked you about that and you said you wanted to refer to your report, you didn't actually look at your report, you looked at someone else's, didn't you?
- This is my -- this is not my report. 2.2 This is my examination record, case notes. And 23 no, it's not somebody else's. This is mine.
- 24 Okay. So if it's yours, why did you not Ο. 25 put the defect in the right sleeve into it?

- 1 Α. I don't know. 2 Could it be because you missed it and you 3 never saw it? It could be. 4 Α. 5 It's not just could be, it's probably, isn't it? 6 7 Α. And also because it really doesn't have 8 any bearing on the examination that I was 9 performing. 10 Your testimony had no bearing on your Q. examination? 11 12 Α. My testimony? 13 Are you saying that that tear in the 14 T-shirt has no bearing on your testing; is that 15 what you're saying? 16 I really didn't know -- I mean, the 17 unidentified defects, I made note of them, but 18 then that's all. 19 Well, you didn't make note of this one, 20 did you? Α. 21 No. 2.2 And you said because it had no bearing;
 - A. It doesn't really have any bearing over the test that I was asked to perform, no.

24

25

correct?

- 1 Well, here's my question to you. Q. 2 you're going to sit in front of this jury and take 3 a solemn oath as a scientist to tell us that you believe it's probably the result of it going 4 5 through an object, which is what you said, and you don't consider all of the evidence, just a few 6 7 pieces that would probably clear that up for you, 8 don't you think that's something that you ought to 9 consider before you make these conclusions?
 - A. I don't believe I made those conclusions.
 - Q. I mean before you wrote this report that we have and before you testified on the stand, nobody ever told you that this person had a blunt trauma force to the right hand; isn't that correct?
 - A. I heard there was some injuries to the hand.
 - Q. And they didn't show you pictures of it, did they?
 - A. No.
 - Q. They didn't show you pictures of the dicing and the cutting to the back of the hand, did they?
- 24 A. No.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

25

Q. They didn't show you the injury to the

front or to the bottom of the arm and the dicing that the coroner or the medical examiner testified to, they didn't show you the pictures, did they?

A. No.

2.2

2.5

Q. They didn't show you this picture either of the injury to the upper shoulder or arm area? Sorry. Let me zoom out.

THE COURT: Counsel, since you referred to it a couple times, let's have the exhibit number, if you wouldn't mind. Thank you.

MR. LOW: Your Honor, sorry. Your Honor, this is Defense Exhibit Z, as in Zebra, for evidence.

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) And they didn't show you this picture either?
 - A. No.
- Q. So when trying to figure out what could have caused that glass to break, isn't it reasonable to consider that somebody may have punched the glass to the point where it gave them a blunt force trauma to the right hand, glass stuck in the right hand, injuries to the right wrist, injuries to the right forearm, and injuries

- to the upper right arm as to what may have caused
 that glass to break?
 - A. That may be a probable explanation.
 - Q. May be?

2.2

- A. May be, yes.
- Q. And they didn't give you any of that before they asked you to write this conclusion, did they?
 - A. No. I heard that there could be a possibility that the window was punched out.
- Q. They didn't give you any of the facts, the pictures before they asked you to write your report, did they?
- A. I did not view that picture before my report, no.
- Q. And they didn't -- Mr. Blonigen didn't say, You know what, I'd like to rule this out with you as I prepare you to testify to things that are not in your report because you did have that conversation; right?
- MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, that just had a whole bunch of assumptions about a conversation that never took place.
- MR. LOW: All right. Let's do it again, so I'm fair.

1 THE COURT: I'll sustain as to the 2 form. 3 (BY MR. LOW) You did have a conversation Ο. before testifying; correct? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 And you did converse about things you Ο. 7 were going to testify to that are not in your 8 report; right? Right? 9 Α. No. 10 Oh, okay. So that whole thing about the Q. 11 glass breaking and it can fall inside, that's in 12 your report? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Okay. You had a conversation about that, 15 though? 16 Α. I had a conversation about my report. 17 So when Mr. Blonigen had a conversation Ο. 18 with you about what you were going to testify to 19 that's not in your report, did he go to the 20 trouble to show you this picture so that you could 21 make a better decision as to whether that was 2.2 accurate or not? 23 Α. I don't believe I recall having seen that 24 photo prior to just now when you showed it to me.

Would you like to ask him now why he

2.5

Q.

```
1
      didn't show it to you?
 2
                No, sir.
          Α.
 3
                Well, when he gets up and asks you some
          Q.
      questions, we'll see if he can answer that.
 4
 5
                     MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, again,
 6
      the editorial comments are inappropriate.
 7
                     THE COURT: I'd sustain and strike
 8
      as to the last comment of counsel.
 9
                     MR. LOW: Your Honor, one second.
10
      believe that's the last part I have.
11
                Thank you, Your Honor. Appreciate it.
12
                     THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
13
                Redirect examination, Mr. Blonigen.
14
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
      BY MR. BLONIGEN:
15
16
                Are you an expert on everything?
          0.
17
                No, sir.
          Α.
18
                And each of you in the lab, do you each
          Q.
19
      have a separate job duty?
20
          Α.
                Yes.
                Is there also value to not knowing all
21
          Ο.
      the information as a forensic scientist?
2.2
23
          Α.
                There can be.
24
                And what particularly is the danger if
          Q.
2.5
      you're given one side's view of everything before
```

you do your testing?

2.2

- A. I'm not sure if it's an actual danger or perceived one, but there could be a perception that maybe that it was some sort of bias that may have been introduced.
- Q. And you don't analyze the gunshot residue kits, do you?
- A. I don't analyze primer residue kits. So this would be residues that discharge from the primer of the cartridge case. What I analyze would be more of the gunpowder residues that come from the muzzle of the firearm.
- Q. And Ms. Malone did the gunshot residue in this case, didn't she?
- A. She is our trace analyst who does gunshot residue in a number of cases, yes.
- Q. Counsel suggested several scenarios with a limp wrist, and he had the person leaning way over in the seat and things like that. Is it also consistent simply fired from here, boom, in front of the body?
 - A. Yes, that could be.
- Q. Still don't have that arm locked to give you the firm foundation for the shot; right?
 - A. That could be an explanation as well,

1 yes.

2.2

- Q. Intoxicated people might not hold a firearm well?
 - A. Possibly.
 - Q. Now, he talked about, you know, putting a window up and shooting through it and having a T-shirt sitting behind it. Do you remember those questions?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do use a scientific method when you test for things?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In using the scientific method, what do we have to have to have a valid experiment for scientific results?
 - A. Well, the scientific method is -- some of you probably remember about it from high school science class or maybe you have a child who does the science fair. But basically, you state a problem, you form a hypothesis, you gather data, you interpret the data, and you reach a conclusion. And so one of the -- one of the pitfalls that should be avoided would be coming to a conclusion and then designing testing to support what you've already decided.

If in the course of an analysis, you don't consider reasonable alternative explanations for an event, then what you're doing is really not science at all. And so what I -- what I've done here is consider a reasonable explanation for an event. Now, does that mean I'm saying infallibly that it had to have occurred this way? I'm saying no, that, you know, here's the evidence, and here would be at least one reasonable explanation for that. Could there be others? Yes. And in this instance, I believe there's one that's probably more likely.

- Q. Now, if you talk about the tests like counsel talked about, are there any unknown variables you couldn't account for that would prevent proper experimentation in this case?
 - A. Absolutely.

2.2

- Q. Okay. What kind of things?
- A. I mean, an unknown variable is -- a variable is unknown for that very reason, it's unknown. But there could be -- there could be things that -- I guess if you could rephrase the question, that might help me a little bit.
- Q. Well, let me put some more specifics into it. Did any -- was anybody able to tell you where

1 the gun was held in this case? 2 Α. No. 3 Was anybody able to tell you the angle Q. the gun was held at? 4 5 Α. No. Was anybody able to tell you if the --6 Ο. 7 the bullet was traveling at a certain angle when 8 it hit the body as opposed to when it left the 9 qun? 10 Α. No. 11 If you have tempered glass, does it 0. 12 change the trajectory of the bullet? 13 There absolutely can be deflection after Α. 14 a bullet has gone through glass, yes. Substantial deflection? 15 Q. 16 Α. It can be substantial. 17 Was there any way to know the degree of Q. 18 deflection caused by the window if it occurred? 19 Α. There's no way to know at this point, no. 20 So you couldn't put together an Ο. 21 experiment that would be scientifically valid as 2.2 described by counsel?

A. If we're trying to -- if we're trying to piece together the trajectory of the bullet as it's coming out of the muzzle based on a downrange

23

24

```
1
      trajectory, maybe like a trajectory through the
 2
      body, that's something that I wouldn't do --
 3
          Q.
               Okay.
 4
                -- simply because there is that chance of
 5
      deflection. The trajectory through the body may
 6
      or may not be an accurate representation of the
 7
      actual trajectory of the bullet as it left the
 8
      muzzle.
 9
           Q.
                So your findings have to be viewed in
10
      light of all the other evidence in the case?
11
          Α.
                Yes.
12
                You don't interpret the whole case?
          Q.
13
          Α.
                No.
14
                Did you see any wipe marks on the
          Q.
15
      T-shirt, what appeared to be wipe marks to you?
16
          Α.
                You mean as far as color of the fabric or
17
      something that's --
18
                Just, you know --
          Q.
19
                -- deposited.
          Α.
20
                -- lots of times it seems we get a cloth
          Ο.
      wiped across something, you'll see a wipe mark.
21
2.2
          Α.
                Oh, wipe?
23
           Q.
                Yeah.
24
                I heard white.
          Α.
25
          Q.
                Okay.
```

- A. I did not observe marks that I thought looked like wipe marks.
 - Q. You made a report in the case. Does your report have every bit of knowledge you ever had about something in it?
 - A. No, no, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. What does it have?
- A. It has relevant -- the results to the testing that I performed.
- Q. Okay. And you were asked about somehow a bullet magically hits a piece of glass sitting on a windowsill and it goes into the body. For instance, would you look at the angle of the wound?
 - A. Possibly.
- Q. And if a bullet -- is a bullet bigger on the base of it or on the nose of it?
 - A. The base.
 - Q. So if it picks this little piece of glass off the window, and I don't know how that happens, but the base would still somehow impact probably the surface of the windowsill, wouldn't it?
 - A. Base impact?
- Q. Let me rephrase that. Can you see a way
 a bullet neatly picks a piece of glass off a

1 windowsill without damage to the windowsill? 2 Again, it -- it's hard -- it's hard to 3 say. I --That would be pretty remarkable, wouldn't 4 Ο. it? 5 6 It could be. Α. 7 Q. And when you say stuff is possible, does 8 that mean it's probable? 9 No, not necessarily. It means that in 10 the realm of all events, I suppose. 11 Q. So when he asked you if it's possible 12 that the residue was a lot on the wet T-shirt, 13 things are possible, aren't they, but did you see 14 any evidence of that? 15 Α. No. 16 Now, in addition to your report, you also Q. 17 have a lot of materials you produce in the lab and 18 you were looking at some of them, weren't you, 19 when you were on the stand? 20 Α. Yes. Q. Could you show the jury how much material 21 2.2 underlies that report just in printed form? 23 you have it with you? 24 Α. Yes.

About half an inch?

25

Q.

- A. This is the report here, and this would be relevant notes.

 Q. Okay. So there's a lot more to this than just the report?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And when a technical review is done by an outside expert, does he just look at your report or does he look at all these things?
 - A. No, he looks at the whole entire thing.
 - Q. And in addition to that, you provided that to the attorneys?
- 12 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

20

- Q. And also, if somebody wants to call you up, including a defense attorney, and talk to you, you'll talk to them, won't you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Anybody ever call you?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Who?
- 21 Attorneys for both sides. In fact, we -- the way
- our laboratory is set up, we perform testing for
- 23 Public Defender's Offices as well as prosecuting.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any contact from
- Defense counsel in this case?

All kinds of interested parties.

```
1
          Α.
                No, sir.
 2
                You were available, weren't you?
          Q.
 3
          Α.
                Yes.
                Does anything that you discussed here
 4
           Ο.
 5
      with counsel today change your opinions as you've
      expressed them in direct examination at all?
 6
 7
          Α.
                No.
 8
                     MR. BLONIGEN: That's all the
      questions I have, Your Honor.
 9
10
                     THE COURT: Thank you very much,
11
      Counsel.
12
                Anything on recross?
13
                     MR. LOW: Yes.
14
                       RECROSS-EXAMINATION
      BY MR. LOW:
15
16
                Sir, you just said on redirect that there
          Q.
17
      are other reasonable conclusions?
18
          Α.
                Yes.
19
                And you can't guess which one is which;
20
      correct?
21
                I'm sorry, which one of what?
2.2
          Q.
                Well, you said if there's other
23
      reasonable conclusions besides the one Mr.
24
      Blonigen wants you to adopt, you can't guess which
2.5
      one is true, can you?
```

- A. I can opine based on the testing that I performed.
 - Q. Well, any one of the three that you pick, or more, is still a guess, isn't it, because there's other reasonable conclusions; correct?
 - A. It's not a guess.
 - Q. Mr. Blonigen asked you to guess, didn't he?
 - A. No, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. Okay. So you can tell us, then, which one of the reasonable conclusions it definitely is beyond a reasonable doubt?
- 13 A. I can tell you which one seems the most probable.
 - Q. Okay. Even though you weren't given any evidence about someone punching a glass window out and you didn't look at any of it; right?
 - A. I can tell you which one seems to be the most probable.
 - Q. And let's go back to the window. Here's the windowsill. Are you with me?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Window frame of the door.
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. I'll finish the rest of the door. Door

1 handle. You okay with that? 2 Α. Okay. 3 Can this be -- these blue squiggle lines Q. be broken glass that's sitting on the windowsill? 4 5 Α. Possibly. 6 I mean, for the sake of the drawing, can Ο. 7 you adopt that? 8 Α. For the sake of the drawing, yes. 9 Q. All right. Did anyone show you any 10 pictures of the door? 11 Α. No. 12 Did anybody show you if there's any glass Q. 13 hanging on the sill of the door? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. So if the gun is inside and the person's 16 leaning somewhere over this door sill, isn't it 17 possible that a bullet could have come through 18 some of that glass that's broken already on its way out? 19 20 I think I already testified that it could 21 be possible. 2.2 Q. Yeah. You can't tell one way or the 23 other, can you? 24 It's possible. Α.

You cannot tell one way or the other,

25

Q.

1647 1 then, can you? 2 Α. I cannot. 3 You cannot; right? And clearly, you can Q. see that door sill would block those materials 4 5 from making it to the T-shirt; correct? At least the bottom half of them. 6 7 Q. Did they tell you that they tested the 8 inside of that door panel, and quess what it had 9 on it? Did they tell you that they found GSR 10 residue on the inside of the door panel? 11 Α. No. 12 So could these facts have given you --Q. 13 helped you form a more accurate conclusion? 14 Α. If there was no residues found on the inside of the door panel, then that would cause me 15 16 to think that perhaps the bullet came through up 17 higher. 18 But they did find residues on the inside Ο. 19 of the door panel, so that makes you think what? 20 Could be lower. Α.

- Q. Could be. You can't tell; right?
- A. Cannot tell.
- Q. Would you like to ask Mr. Blonigen why he didn't give that to you?
- 25 A. No.

21

- 1 Q. Okay.
- MR. LOW: One last check, Your
- 3 Honor, if I may.
- Q. (BY MR. LOW) Oh. Do you know if --
- 5 well, you weren't aware of the bar towels out in
- 6 the parking lot, were you?
- 7 A. Was not aware.
- 8 Q. So you didn't get an opportunity ever to
- 9 test them to see if there was any of these
- 10 particulate matters in there, in the bar towels.
- 11 You never got the chance, did you?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. And the thing about wiping, is it your
- 14 understanding that if you got a white T-shirt full
- 15 of blood, that all you need to do to remove the
- 16 blood is wipe it with towel? Because if so,
- there's a lot of people in this room that would
- 18 like to hear about that because I'm not aware you
- 19 can do that. Is that how you can clean a shirt
- 20 full of blood is just wipe it and it just
- 21 disappears?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- Q. You've never done laundry? No?
- A. That would be outside of the scope of
- 25 what I'm here to testify about.

```
1
               Oh, you can testify to these things;
          Q.
 2
      well, but as a former Marine, I think you said,
 3
      you don't know how to do laundry?
                I know how to do laundry, sir.
 4
          Α.
 5
               All right. Well, you ever had to try to
          Ο.
      clean blood off a T-shirt?
 6
 7
          Α.
               Possibly.
 8
          Q.
               Doesn't come off so easy, does it?
 9
          Α.
               I don't recall.
10
               Clearly, just wiping it a little bit
          Q.
11
      ain't going to remove it, is it?
12
          Α.
               Probably not.
13
               Probably not.
          Q.
14
                     MR. LOW: Thank you. That's all I
15
      have.
16
                     THE COURT: Thank you very much,
17
      Counsel.
18
                Thank you, Mr. Norris. You may step
19
      down, and you're excused and released from my
20
      subpoena in the case.
21
                     THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
2.2
                     THE COURT: The State may call its
23
      next witness.
24
                     MR. BLONIGEN: State would briefly
2.5
      recall Dr. Carver.
```

```
1
                     THE COURT: Doctor, please come
 2
      forward and be sworn. Counsel, if you'd move the
 3
      display board. I guess maybe you can get through
      there.
 4
 5
                     MR. LOW: Pardon me, sir.
                                                 Sorry
 6
      about that.
 7
                     THE COURT: Thank you.
 8
                     THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear
 9
      that the testimony you will give in the case
10
      before the Court will be the truth, the whole
11
      truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
12
                     THE WITNESS: I do.
13
                     THE CLERK: Please be seated.
14
                         DR. JOHN CARVER,
15
      called for examination by the State, being first
16
      duly sworn, on his oath testified as follows:
17
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
      BY MR. BLONIGEN:
19
               Would you state your name for the record,
          Q.
20
      please.
21
                John Carver, C-A-R-V-E-R.
2.2
          Q.
               And you're the same Dr. Carver that
23
      testified earlier in the case?
24
               Yes, I am.
          Α.
2.5
               Just to clear up a few points that arose
          Q.
```

1 through Dr. Melinek's testimony and the past 2 testimony, was there an x-ray done of the right 3 hand in this case? Yes, there was. 4 Α. 5 Was there any evidence of fracture at Q. 6 all? 7 No, there wasn't. Α. 8 Q. Now, you indicated in your testimony, I 9 believe in your report, that the bullet struck the 10 first rib and fractured it? 11 Α. Correct. 12 And does that remain your opinion? Q. 13 Correct. Α. 14 Q. You did the dissection in this case? 15 Α. Yes, I did. 16 Now, also, consider a scenario where Mr. Q. 17 Baldwin is leaning forward through the window and 18 extends his arm fully, causing cuts on broken glass to the shoulder. Are you aware of something 19 20 like that has been hypothesized? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 I'm going to show you a photograph of 23 those marks. And are those the marks we're

24

2.5

talking about?

Yes.

Α.

Q. Does the scenario I just gave you and these marks, is there any inconsistency between those two things?

2.2

- A. I would say the evidence would be stronger for a motion of the arm through the window if they were longitudinally oriented along the arm rather than roughly horizontal or only slightly angled the way they are.
- Q. They don't seem to be running the right way, then?
- A. If the entire arm was being, you know, extended through the glass, I would expect to see those lacerations on the forearm running along the length of the forearm and running longitudinally along the upper arm, too.
- Q. So you would expect far more lacerations along the surface of the arm than you saw?
- A. I'm not saying I would expect more; I would say that would be stronger evidence for that scenario.
- THE COURT: Counsel, if you'd identify the exhibit so we have that for the record.
- MR. BLONIGEN: 120, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you.

```
1
          Q.
             (BY MR. BLONIGEN) And shouldn't -- if
 2
      Mr. Baldwin was leaning on the car and leaning
 3
      forward at the time he suffered his injuries,
      would the injuries you saw on his arm be
 4
 5
      consistent with him falling into already broken
      glass?
 6
 7
          Α.
               I think that's a possible scenario, too.
 8
                     MR. BLONIGEN: Okay. Thank you,
 9
      Doctor.
               That's all I have.
10
                     MR. LOW: Which --
11
                     THE COURT: Cross-examination. Go
12
      ahead.
13
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
      BY MR. LOW:
15
          Q.
               Which one of the two scenarios is it,
16
      Doc?
17
               I don't know.
          Α.
18
               You'd have to guess?
          Q.
19
               I think either of them is possible.
          Α.
20
               So they're both reasonable, then?
          Q.
                I think either is possible. I can't know
21
2.2
      which actually happened.
23
          Q.
               So each is reasonable, then?
24
                I think they're each possible.
          Α.
25
               Okay. Thank you. Oh, lastly, didn't you
          Q.
```

- say when you were here last time that you didn't take any x-rays of the right hand?
 - A. I said I didn't remember taking any, and then I referred to my report, and there was no reference in my report to that x-ray.
 - Q. So the x-ray you just testified to here today, you didn't take it; correct?
 - A. No, that's incorrect. It was taken at the time of the autopsy.
 - Q. So your office took it?
 - A. It's on the disk with the other x-rays that are referred to in the report taken at the same time.
 - Q. I believe you. I'm asking you, just so there's no confusion, who took the x-rays?
 - A. X-ray technicians at McKee Medical Center are called into the morque to take those x-rays.
 - Q. Is that where you performed the autopsy?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall whether you got to see that x-ray or not?
 - A. I know I saw that x-ray.
- 23 Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

A. Because it's -- it's on a digital machine on a card, and it's displayed at the time of the

1 autopsy. 2 You just forgot that you saw it? 0. 3 I just forgot to put it in the report. Α. MR. LOW: Okay. Thank you. 4 5 THE COURT: Anything on redirect, Mr. Blonigen? 6 7 MR. BLONIGEN: No, Your Honor. 8 That's it. May Dr. Carver be excused? 9 THE COURT: Yes. You're free to go. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. BLONIGEN: At this point, Your 12 Honor, State would rest. 13 THE COURT: Okay. The Defense wish 14 to be heard outside the presence of the jury 15 before we go to the Defense's case? 16 MR. NEWCOMB: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 18 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I'm 19 going to excuse you at this time. Can't excuse 20 you for lunch just yet, but we'll get word to you 21 whether we may extend it into a full luncheon 2.2 break here in just a few minutes. The ladies and 23 gentlemen of the jury are excused. 24 (The following proceedings were 2.5 held in open court, out of the presence of the

```
1
      jury:)
 2
                    THE COURT: Please be seated.
 3
      with the jury having exited, anything before we go
      forward either with the advisement of the
 4
 5
      defendant concerning his rights to testify or to
      not testify or any other matters before we go
 6
 7
      ahead to evidence from the Defense?
 8
                    MR. NEWCOMB: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
                    THE COURT: Mr. Newcomb, go ahead.
10
                    MR. NEWCOMB: With your permission,
11
      we would like to move for judgment of acquittal.
      And with the Court's permission, we've prepared a
12
13
      written document, if I could hand it to the Court.
14
                    THE COURT: Certainly, yes.
15
                    MR. NEWCOMB: It has not been filed
16
      yet, Your Honor.
17
                    THE COURT: I'll make sure --
18
                    MR. NEWCOMB: Okay. Thank you.
19
                    THE COURT: -- a copy is filed in.
20
               Go ahead, Counsel.
21
                    MR. NEWCOMB: Your Honor, Dr.
2.2
      Melinek's testimony established a prima facie case
23
      that Mr. Baldwin was the aggressor and that Mr.
24
      Knospler acted in self-defense. And the evidence
2.5
      to that is consistent with his theory of
```

self-defense. In light of that, the State has not proved beyond a reasonable doubt, as it is required to, that Mr. Knospler did not reasonably perceive a threat to immediate bodily injury under the circumstances and did not act in a reasonable manner.

2.2

2.5

Without that proof beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has failed to make its case, and we would ask for a judgment of acquittal. And a secondary layer, the State's evidence has not demonstrated that Mr. Baldwin [sic] acted purposely and with malice nor does the evidence show that or establish that he acted voluntarily under the heat of passion or involuntarily and recklessly.

And with that, Your Honor, we would submit in the absence of questions from the Court.

THE COURT: Thank you very much,

Counsel.

The State, Mr. Blonigen.

MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, of course the Court is well familiar with the standard under Rule 29 that we must presume the evidence of the State is true, giving it every fair and reasonable inference, and leaving out completely evidence

inconsistent therewith.

2.2

2.5

Judge, first, the defendant has to apprehend serious bodily harm or death, not bodily injury as stated in the memo submitted by counsel. And the Court is familiar also with the standards in Wyoming. Eckert versus State and a number of other cases following on that, Judge, held that a malice may be inferred from the use of a deadly weapon.

In addition to that, Your Honor, you have the lack of any history of hostility between these two individuals, the fact the defendant was in the bar that night talking about killing people, shooting people. And I would note Dr. Melinek, she stated it could be -- the injuries could be consistent with -- how she phrased it is the arm going through the glass. It was very interesting. In many places, she wouldn't say punched out the window; she said "going through the glass." And of course, if it went through the glass after the shot's fired, that's no evidence of self-defense at all.

Moreover, Your Honor, there has been no -- absolutely no evidence that the defendant's apprehensions in this case would be reasonable,

even if they existed.

2.2

2.5

And for all those reasons, Your Honor, I think the -- on both the greater and the lesser included offenses, the matter is joined for the jury's determination at this time, and judgment of acquittal under Rule 29 would be improper.

THE COURT: Anything in rebuttal,

Mr. Newcomb?

MR. NEWCOMB: Yes, Your Honor. In reverse order. It is not Mr. Knospler's burden to disprove self-defense; it is the State's burden to prove he did not -- could not and did not act in self-defense. And the transcript will reflect what the transcript reflects; but I would ask the Court to examine Dr. Melinek's testimony, and I believe she said that the evidence is consistent that Mr. Baldwin punched the window.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

First of all, I note that the motion for judgment of acquittal comes within Rule 29 of the Wyoming Rules of Criminal Procedure. It is a motion that rests on the sufficiency of the evidence. In reviewing the jury instructions, I've taken a close look at the elements of murder

in the second degree that are required to be shown. And I must note that as I was going, I was giving some thought to the components of evidence that may go directly to each of those elements.

2.2

2.5

I note that Mr. Blonigen is correct, under cases such as Chavez versus State, the inferences that may attend to the conflicting evidence and to the circumstantial evidence in this case, I think, need to be viewed in the light favorable to the State. And based upon my review and analysis, I understand that there are significant issues that may attend to self-defense. Mr. Newcomb is absolutely correct as to the burden of proof required of the State when self-defense is asserted and where there has been some showing. I believe the Defense is correct that there is Dr. Melinek's testimony that provides one theory and one argument for acquittal in the case.

But I do not see where there is an insufficiency of evidence as to the elements of murder in the second degree, and so the Court will deny the motion for judgment of acquittal in this case.

Anything from the Defense before we go

1 forward with Defense evidence, Mr. Low? 2 MR. LOW: Yes, sir. Thank you. 3 Appreciate it. We also filed a motion this morning, 4 5 motion to strike certain testimony, reconsidering renewal of the motion to exclude. I don't know if 6 7 you got a chance to review that and if now is an 8 appropriate time to hear that or maybe another 9 time that you'd like. Do you have that, sir? 10 THE COURT: I do have it. It caught 11 up with the file this morning, but I have not 12 reviewed it. So I guess my thought would be why 13 don't I make a note that first opportunity after 14 I've had a chance to read through your motion, 15 I'll give you a chance to be heard, if that's 16 okay. 17 MR. LOW: Yes, sir. Thank you. 18 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, you had 19 indicated earlier you were going to address the 20 advisement on testifying. 21 THE COURT: Yes. Has the decision 2.2 been made yet as to whether the defendant would or 23 would not testify and whether the Court should go 24 ahead with the advisements required under Wyoming 2.5 case law?

```
1
                    MR. LOW: Sir, I believe a decision
 2
      may have been made, but I'm under the impression
 3
      that I am at no obligation to reveal that at this
 4
      point.
 5
                    THE COURT: I don't think you're
      required to, but --
 6
 7
                    MR. LOW: Okay.
 8
                    THE COURT: -- the Court does need a
 9
      heads up so we can make sure that there's a proper
10
      advisement before he testifies or before the
11
      Defense closes its case.
12
                    MR. LOW: I will give you that, sir.
13
      Thank you. I appreciate you asking.
14
                    THE COURT: Thank you.
15
               Are you prepared to go forward with
16
      evidence at this time, then?
17
                    MR. LOW: I am, Your Honor. I do
18
      have some witnesses here.
19
                    THE COURT: Very good. Let's have
20
      the jury brought back in, and we will proceed with
21
      the Defense's case.
2.2
                        (The following proceedings were
23
      held in open court, in the presence of the jury:)
24
                    THE COURT: You may be seated and
2.5
      court will convene. And we are in the Defense's
```

```
1
             The Defense may call its first witness.
      case.
 2
                    MR. LOW:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                        Αt
 3
      this time we'd like to call to the stand Ria
 4
      Engebretsen.
 5
                     THE CLERK: Please raise your right
      hand. You do solemnly swear that the testimony
 6
 7
      you will give in the case before the Court will be
 8
      the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
 9
      truth, so help you God?
10
                    THE WITNESS: I do.
11
                    THE CLERK: Thank you very much.
12
                    MR. NEWCOMB: Your Honor, where
13
      should --
14
                     THE COURT: Any preference?
15
      could be moved to the witness stand, but I don't
16
      know if the ladies and gentlemen of the jury can
17
      see her or we could adjust the microphone or use
18
      the lapel microphone if we wanted to.
19
                    MR. LOW: Would it be all right with
20
      everyone if she sits down here? Can you all see
21
      her?
            Is that okay?
2.2
               Lapel mic going to be all right, Your
23
      Honor?
24
                     THE COURT: Either that or if she's
2.5
      right there, we'll be able to hear.
```

```
1
                     MR. LOW: We're going to ask you to
 2
      speak up. But if they can't hear, they'll let us
 3
      know, and we'll put a mic on you; okay?
 4
                     THE WITNESS: Okav.
 5
                     THE COURT: Please have her face the
 6
      ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Thank you.
 7
                     THE WITNESS: I'm an easy crier, so
 8
      don't pay any attention.
 9
                         RIA ENGEBRETSEN,
10
      called for examination by the Defense, being first
11
      duly sworn, on her oath testified as follows:
12
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
13
      BY MR. LOW:
14
          Q.
               Good morning.
15
          Α.
               Morning.
16
                Please introduce yourself to the ladies
          Q.
17
      and gentlemen of the jury.
18
                I am Ria Engebretsen -- Maria
          Α.
19
      Engebretsen.
20
          Q.
               Okay.
21
               Keeline, Wyoming.
          Α.
               You're from where?
2.2
          Q.
23
          Α.
               Keeline, Wyoming.
24
               Where is that?
          Q.
2.5
          Α.
                God's country.
```

- 1 Q. All right. And where is that?
- 2 A. Keeline is between Lusk and Douglas.
- Q. Okay. How long have you been out there, ma'am?
- 5 A. 40-some years.
- Q. And if you would, your last name, it is an unusual name. What's the origin of your last name?
- 9 A. Norwegian.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And were you born in this country?
- 11 A. I was born in Germany.
- Q. Now, tell us what -- how did you find your way into the United States?
 - A. I married a GI.
 - Q. How did you meet him?
 - A. I was a nurse and he was wounded. And even though I was German, I took care of him and a lot of other people. I cry all the time. It makes me so mad. Don't pay any attention. But that's how I got to meet my first husband. That was my first husband.
 - Q. Now, I hear tell you may have met a man by the name -- well, let me ask you this. Where in Germany were you at at the time you met your husband?

1 Α. Bad Nauheim. 2 Say that one more time. Q. 3 Bad Nauheim. Α. Bad, space, Nauheim? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Yes. B-A-D, space, N-A-U-H-E-I-M? 6 Q. 7 Α. Right. 8 Q. Now, did you meet anybody there that's 9 kind of famous as a result of being in Bad 10 Nauheim? 11 Yeah, I met Patton. I know exactly where Α. 12 he died. Right just a few blocks from where I 13 And -- say the name. It'll help me. 14 Ο. MacArthur or Patton? 15 Α. Patton. And I'm getting kind of 16 forgetful. But that general was from Tacoma. Ιt 17 was first division. And I was his -- I left 18 nursing in -- just before the war was over. And -- I didn't think I could ever forget his 19 20 name, it. Will come to me. This particular 21 general, I was at the headquarters for the GIs. 2.2 My hometown is a spa. It's full of bathhouses. 23 And the GIs naturally occupied them, and that's 24 where I worked.

Thank you. Now, what do you all do out

2.5

Q.

```
there in the Lusk area? What y'all doing out
there?
```

- A. Well, I worked at a hospital for 25
 years. I instigated -- I helped build a new
 hospital. I worked in the old one. And the State
 condemned them, so we had to build a new one. And
 people naturally were against it, so we had to go
 out and convince them. And I worked in that
 hospital 25 years. I was a nurse, surgical nurse,
 I took x-ray, I did lab, and I took care of
 patients.
 - Q. Do you have any kids out there?
- A. Pardon?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

- Q. Do you have any children out there?
 - A. I have three, two girls and a boy.
- 16 Q. And what are their names?
- 17 A. Monika, Heide Marie, and Thomas.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. Now, do you know this gentleman, pardon, sitting right over there? Do you know him?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- Q. And how do you know him?
- A. He came one time with his brother -- with his dad one year. And I don't know if it was the

1 following year or two years later, he came by 2 himself and stopped with his girlfriend. And he 3 is, let me tell you --4 Hold on a second. Real quick. I Ο. 5 appreciate -- who did you meet first, John or 6 John's father and John's brother? 7 John's father and brother. Α. 8 Q. Would you stand up, Mr. Knospler, please. 9 You know those two gentlemen over there? 10 Yes. Yes. Α. 11 Who is the gentleman on the left? Ο. Jacob. 12 Α. All right. And the gentleman on the 13 Q. 14 right? 15 Α. John. 16 All right, then. And tell us the story Q. 17 about how you --18 MR. LOW: Thank you, gentlemen. Ι 19 appreciate it. 20 (BY MR. LOW) Tell us the story about how you first met them. 21 2.2 Α. Well, John comes out hunting, the father. 23 And now he doesn't come so much about hunting, he 24 comes -- he loves Wyoming and looks around, and he

wants to buy a place there.

- Q. And how did John first start coming to your land? How did he meet you? What were the circumstances?
 - A. He was coming to hunt.
 - Q. He was what?

- A. He was coming to hunt.
- Q. Did you invite him out to your property?
- A. You didn't -- well, he came and asked if he could hunt. You know, you have to ask. And my husband, I think, was already dead by then. And but he -- John, I just adore him. He comes and he takes care of you, you know. Do you need coffee, do you need this, before he goes out to do whatever he wants to do.
- Q. Yes. Did you remember seeing an article in Newsweek or Time magazine about his son, Jacob Knospler?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Tell us about that.
- 20 A. Well, it was just an article, and I was
 21 real impressed. And I was -- felt terrible. And
 22 John brought Jacob out.
- Q. And did you ask your son Tom -- is it Tom
 Unlap?
 - A. Yeah.

1 Q. Did you ask him to see if he could find a 2 way to contact Jacob? 3 Yeah. Α. And invite him out to the property? 4 Ο. 5 Yeah. Α. 6 Okay. And then the first one out came Ο. 7 was John, Sr.? 8 Α. John, Sr., yeah. 9 All right, then. And you like them? Q. 10 I love them. I think -- they're the Α. 11 greatest. 12 What about John, Jr., over here? Tell us Q. what you think -- well, before we get there, I'm 13 14 sorry to interrupt. I'm guessing that you have 15 had a little bit of life experience? 16 Α. Pardon? 17 I'm guessing you've had a little bit of Ο. 18 life experience? 19 I should say so, yeah. Α. 20 And you probably got an opportunity to Ο. meet a really good number of people? 21 2.2 Α. Lots of people, lots of people. 23 Q. And is it fair to say that over this kind 24 of lifetime and the things that you've done,

you've gotten to be a pretty good judge of

1 character? 2 I hope so, yes. Α. 3 Well, based on your life experience and Q. the number of people you've met, what would you 4 5 have to say about John and how you've gotten to know him, John, Jr.? 6 7 John, Jr.? Α. 8 Ο. Yes, ma'am. 9 He impressed me the minute he sat on the 10 sofa, and he introduced himself before he sit 11 down. And we had visits, talking about his 12 service in Iraq. And after he got through eight 13 years of Marines, he went to Africa and helped the 14 poor people there. I was impressed. I love him. 15 And he's a great, great guy. He's just -- there's 16 nobody nicer than John. 17 Ο. Did you at all find him creepy? 18 Α. Pardon? 19 Did you ever find him creepy? Q. 20 John? Α. 21 Yes. Q. 2.2 Α. No.

A. His smile is a sweet smile. Just as

23

24

25

Q.

he's got --

Well, what about his smile? Do you think

- 1 sweet as he is. 2 Ο. Yeah. 3 I don't know how anybody can say he's Α. 4 creepy. 5 Would you -- would you let him in your Ο. house and live with you as often as he has if you 6 7 found him creepy, ma'am? 8 Α. If I found him creepy? I wouldn't leave 9 him alone, no. 10 Q. Okay. All right, then, you were aware that about a year ago, he was involved in a 11 12 shooting out in Casper? 13 Yes. He -- they stayed at my house. 14 he came up and -- I kind of maybe should have 15 warned him about that place. But I never thought, 16 you know. But can you imagine laying in a car 17 asleep and some guy comes and wakes you up from 18 there --MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, I'm 19 20 going to object to this. That would require
 - hearsay unless she was there.
 - THE COURT: Hold up. Yeah. I'11 sustain, and we can go to the next question. I don't think there's a question pending.
 - Do I have to quit? Α.

2.2

23

24

25

```
1
          Q. (BY MR. LOW) No, you don't have to quit.
 2
      The judge has asked me to do my job, okay, and
 3
      I'll do it. So may I ask you this.
                                            Was he a
      quest at your house and your ranch last year when
 4
 5
      he was out here?
 6
          Α.
               Yeah.
 7
          Q.
               Okay. And why did he go into town?
 8
      he going there to pick somebody up?
 9
               Well, he came to Casper --
          Α.
10
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor,
      again, I think he's leading, and she --
11
12
          Α.
               He --
13
                    MR. BLONIGEN: If I might, Judge.
14
                    THE COURT: Hold up if you would.
15
                    MR. BLONIGEN: He should lay some
16
      foundation how she knows this and then not suggest
17
      the answer to her. I think perhaps he told her,
18
      but I don't know that, and we need some
      foundation.
19
20
                    THE COURT: The last question was
21
      leading, so I'll sustain.
2.2
          Q.
               (BY MR. LOW) Sure. Let me see if I can
23
      try it this way. Do you have any idea, ma'am, why
24
      he went to town?
2.5
               Why he what?
          Α.
```

1 Q. Why John went to town last year. 2 Well, he came to Casper I suppose to look 3 around and see Casper. He liked Wyoming, you know, and got into the wrong place. 4 5 Uh-huh. Did you get an opportunity to meet anybody that was one of John's friends last 6 7 year? 8 Α. Girlfriend I met. 9 Q. And how was it that you met her? 10 He brought her, and she stayed at my Α. 11 daughter's while he stayed there. 12 And do you know how -- well, thank you. Q. 13 I appreciate it. 14 MR. LOW: That's all the question we have at this time. 15 THE WITNESS: Well, you don't want 16 17 to tell me what I felt like when he got hurt, 18 before he got hurt? 19 MR. LOW: You know what, we'll see 20 if the prosecutor wants to ask you that question, okay? But thank you very much. 21 2.2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. BLONIGEN:

I have just a couple questions, ma'am.

2.5

Q.

```
1
      When this happened last year, did you know a big
 2
      storm was coming in?
 3
          Α.
               No.
 4
               Okay. You weren't aware there was a big
 5
      storm coming in?
 6
               No. I'm wheelchair bound, so I don't,
 7
      you know, hear a lot of news.
 8
          Q. And do you know if his girlfriend was
 9
      flying into Casper or Laramie?
10
               No. That I don't know. She was at my
          Α.
11
      house --
12
               I see.
          Q.
13
               -- before they came to Casper.
14
               Okay. How long had John, Jr., been at
          Q.
15
      the ranch when he left that day? Had he been
16
      there for a long time or just a few days?
17
               Just a day, wasn't it, John?
          Α.
18
               Okay. Do you know if there had been any
          Q.
19
      hunting or something done up to that time?
20
               Pardon?
          Α.
               Had he or his father gone hunting before
21
2.2
      he went to Casper?
23
          Α.
               No.
24
          Q.
               Okay.
25
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Thank you.
                                                That's
```

```
1
      all the questions I have.
 2
                     THE COURT: Anything on redirect --
 3
                     THE WITNESS: But they could have
      gone hunting, and I wouldn't know, you know.
 4
 5
                     THE COURT: -- Mr. Low?
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 6
 7
      BY MR. LOW:
 8
          Ο.
              Ma'am, do you know when John, Jr., is out
 9
      on your land, does he take a gun with him when he
10
      walks out there?
11
               Pardon?
          Α.
               When John, Jr., is on your land, does he
12
          Q.
13
      take a gun when he goes out there?
14
          Α.
                I didn't see him take a gun, no.
15
          Q.
               Do you know whether he walks the land or
16
      whether he hunts?
17
          Α.
               Pardon?
18
               Do you know whether or not he walks the
      land or if --
19
20
               I think he went with his dad just to, you
      know, because his dad wants to buy a place
21
2.2
      someplace when he can find it.
23
          Q.
               All right, then. Was there anything else
24
      you wanted to say? You mentioned there was
2.5
      something else you wanted to say before I sat
```

```
1
      down.
 2
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor,
 3
      that's not an appropriate question. It would have
      to be directed to a particular area covered in
 4
 5
      cross.
                     THE COURT: I'll sustain.
 6
 7
          Q.
               (BY MR. LOW) We'll do it this way, then.
 8
      You said you wanted to tell the ladies and
 9
      gentlemen of the jury how you felt. Go ahead and
10
      tell them how you felt.
11
              Well, I felt --
          Α.
12
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor --
                    THE COURT: Hold up if you would.
13
14
      Same ruling. I'll sustain.
15
          Α.
               -- that when --
16
                    MR. LOW: I'm sorry.
17
                    THE COURT: Hold up if you would,
18
      ma'am.
19
                    MR. LOW: The judge is asking you to
20
      wait a second. One second.
21
               Go ahead, Your Honor.
2.2
                     THE COURT: I'll sustain the
      objection, so.
23
24
                    MR. LOW: That means you can't
25
      answer, so that's all I have.
```

```
1
               Sorry. Thank you.
 2
                    THE WITNESS: Well, he's a good guy,
 3
      period.
 4
                    THE COURT: Anything on recross,
 5
      Mr. Blonigen?
                    MR. BLONIGEN: No, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very
 8
      much. You can be excused. And go ahead and take
 9
      your time.
10
                    MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
                    THE COURT: Please come forward if
12
      you would and be sworn by the clerk to my left.
      And after you're sworn, you'll sit to the other
13
14
      side if you would.
15
                    THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear
16
      that the testimony you will give in the case
17
      before the Court will be the truth, the whole
18
      truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
19
                    THE WITNESS: I do.
20
                    THE CLERK: Please be seated, out
21
      and around.
2.2
                    THE COURT: I would ask counsel to
23
      get the podium sort of back into the usual portion
24
      of the courtroom.
25
                    MR. LOW: Oh, yes. Thank you.
```

1 THE COURT: Thank you. Thanks for 2 doing that. 3 You may proceed whenever you're ready. MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 JOHN DAILY, called for examination by the Defense, being first 6 7 duly sworn, on his oath testified as follows: 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. LOW: 10 Q. Good morning, sir. 11 Good morning. Α. Please introduce yourself to the ladies 12 Q. 13 and gentlemen of the jury. 14 Α. My name is John Daily, D-A-I-L-Y. I live in Jackson, Wyoming. 15 16 How long you lived there, sir? Q. 17 Well, since -- permanently since 1972. Α. 18 And, sir, currently what do you do for a Q. 19 living? 20 I do forensic analysis primarily of traffic crashes but anything else that involves 21 2.2 motion or forces. 23 Q. Well, give us an idea of either your 24 education, your training, or your experience that 2.5 has contributed to your ability to do that job.

A. Well, I spent 25 years with the Sheriff's Office in Jackson and got quite a bit of training both in crash investigation reconstruction as well as forensic science. I worked as a detective for, oh, somewhere between seven and nine years. I've kind of lost count. I've been retired for 12, so I don't remember exactly.

2.2

2.5

I have a bachelor's in mechanical engineering from Purdue. I have a master's in mechanical engineering from the University of Wyoming. I've been teaching traffic crash reconstruction and applied physics for the University of North Florida Institute of Police Technology and Management since 1982, so this is my 32nd year with them.

I've published three textbooks in the field of crash reconstruction and applied physics, first one being in 1988. And then the second one is called Fundamentals of Applied Physics for Traffic Accident Investigators. I think that one came out in 1997. I collaborated with Nathan Shigemura, who was -- who at the time was with the Illinois State Police. And he also has a bachelor's in electrical engineering, and we've taught together for years.

And then the third text is Fundamentals of Traffic Crash Reconstruction, and it kind of combines applied physics with physics applied to either traffic crashes and some of the examples are other things that are in motion and have forces applied to them. That came out in 2006.

Mr. Shigemura was a co-author with me as was my son Jeremy, who is a -- let's see -- he's an associate professor of mechanical engineering at the University of Tulsa.

- Q. Sir, what kind of courses, college courses, did you have to take that allowed you to prepare and work in the field as an accident reconstructionist?
- A. Well, anything having to do with dynamics, thermodynamics, energy conversion.

 Basically the whole curricula can be applied to the field. When you take an engineering degree, essentially you can work in many different fields that involve forces, motion, heat transfer, or whatever.
- Q. Do you have to take a lot of math classes?
- A. Yes, you do.

2.2

2.5

Q. So all the way up through calculus?

- A. Beyond calculus. Differential equations, partial differential equations, Fourier series and boundary value analysis, things like that.
- Q. What about science courses such as physics?

2.2

- A. Oh, yeah. You start with that, and that's a fundamental course in any engineering curriculum is fundamental physics.
- Q. And that word you said, elastic collisions, is that a scientific word for saying the way things, objects move through space once they have collided with other objects?
- A. Well, sort of. An elastic collision means that there's bounce to it. For example, this table top is pretty hard here. If I took a golf ball and dropped it on the table, it would bounce back up off the table. That defines elasticity to some extent. Now if I took a soft lump of clay and did the same thing, it would just go splat, and so it would have no bounce. That would be an inelastic collision. Some people call them plastic collisions, meaning there's deformation.
- Q. Is it also within your training, experience, and education to understand how

1 | objects move through other objects?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Okay. About approximately how many reconstructions have you done?
 - A. You mean any kind of reconstruction?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. Quite a few. I really don't know because I didn't keep track of all the ones I did when I was with the Sheriff's Office. I suppose there's been -- since I started doing this privately in 1985, there's probably been 900 cases or so, I'm thinking.
 - Q. And are all of those automobile accidents or give the jury a description of the kind of things that you were asked to do.
 - A. Not always. I mean, people wreck more often than not, so most of my cases involve traffic crashes. I've worked fatal ski crashes at the Jackson Hole ski area, again, forces and motion. I investigated shooting homicides when I was on the job with the Sheriff's Office, both suicides and homicides. So, you know, that sort of experience as well.
 - Q. Do you have any titles or I think they call them accreditations, national bodies that

- recognize you and the work that you do as having met or surpassed a certain standard?
 - A. Well, I'm not exactly sure what you're referring to there, but I have had several articles published in what we call peer-reviewed journals. And so that means that someone other than me looks at it and says, yeah, this makes sense and we'll publish this.
 - Q. And do you possess any licenses?
- A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Okay. Have you ever been asked by Natrona County to ever do any reconstructions?
- 13 A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. About how many times?
 - A. I can't remember, but it goes back a fair ways. I mean, I can remember doing cases over here when I was still on the job.
 - Q. Is it fair to say that you have a national reputation?
 - A. Well, I think it actually goes beyond that. I've taught in several foreign countries.

 I've taught in Brazil, I've taught in Jamaica, New Zealand, Canada, and most of the states in the United States.
 - Q. Well, what was it they were asking you to

1 teach other accident reconstructionists?

- A. You mean what kinds of subjects?
- Q. Yes, sir.

2.2

- A. Oh, could be anything from momentum analysis to vehicles going airborne to energy methods and damage analysis, anything like that. I taught a commercial vehicle class in New Zealand.
- Q. Have you ever had to figure out bullet trajectories in your past?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. About how many occasions?
- A. I remember doing one privately. It was over in South Dakota. It involved using a three degree of freedom computer model to look at the trajectory bullets that were hitting houses.
- Q. Have you ever been employed or asked to work on a case by Mr. Blonigen's office?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. About how many times in the past?
- A. You know, I can't remember. I'd say no more than ten over the years, but there's been a few. There's been some they've asked about, and I've referred them to other people here in Casper who I think were perfectly capable of doing the

1 job.

2.2

- Q. And what is one of those names that you have referred Mr. Blonigen's office to?
 - A. Jason Sawdon. He's a trooper here in Casper. And he -- I believe he has a degree in mechanical engineering, and he's been a student of mine in several different classes. He's very bright and very capable.
 - Q. Sir, how did you end up in this case?
 - A. Well, I got a call, I think it was in February of this year, from another attorney from Mr. Blonigen's office.
 - O. What's his name?
 - A. Josh Stenasas or Stensaas. I always butcher his last name because it's spelled differently. But he called me and asked me to take a look at this case.
 - Q. Was he the lawyer working the case at that time?
 - A. Yes, he was.
- Q. All right. And tell us what he asked you.
- A. Well, he wanted me to at first look at any motion that the vehicle had had in leaving the area of the incident and then wanted to expand

```
1
      that into looking at the forces and so forth that
 2
      would be involved in producing the evidence that
 3
      we see in this case.
          Q. All right.
 4
 5
                    MR. LOW: Your Honor, I'm about
      ready to ask this gentleman to tell us all the
 6
 7
      materials that he reviewed and consulted before he
 8
      rendered his opinion. That will be a few minutes.
 9
      I'll break when you like. It's 12 noon now.
10
                    THE COURT: Why don't we go ahead
11
      and break until 1:30. And looks like this would
12
      be a good portion.
               Mr. Daily, if you'd be back at 1:30.
13
                    THE WITNESS: 1:30.
14
15
                    THE COURT: And ladies and gentlemen
16
      of the jury, you're excused, but keep in mind the
17
      rules. Thank you so much.
18
                            (At 12:00 p.m., a recess was
19
      taken until 1:34 p.m.)
20
                            (The following proceedings
      were held in open court, out of the presence of
21
2.2
      the jury:)
23
                    MR. NEWCOMB: Your Honor, may I be
24
      briefly heard before the jury comes in?
25
                     THE COURT: I guess we could stop
```

```
1
      them if you really need to.
 2
                    MR. NEWCOMB: Just take one minute.
 3
                    THE COURT: Court will come to
      order. Please be seated.
 4
 5
                    MR. NEWCOMB:
                                  And, Your Honor, I
 6
      apologize. In Wilkerson v. --
 7
                    THE COURT: Hold up if you would.
 8
      need to make sure we reconvene after the luncheon
 9
      break in State of Wyoming versus Knospler, Civil
10
      Action 19548-B. And the jury panel is not
11
      present.
12
               Mr. Newcomb.
13
                    MR. NEWCOMB: Yes, Your Honor.
14
      Wilkerson v. State in footnote one, the Wyoming
15
      Supreme Court noted that it had not yet -- has not
16
      yet determined whether the presentation of
17
      evidence by the Defense following a motion for
18
      judgment of acquittal waives Fifth and Fourteenth
19
      Amendment rights. And for the record, we would
20
      arque -- we would object to that argument and just
      wanted to put it on the record. And we're willing
21
2.2
      to put the Court at its pleasure.
23
                    THE COURT: I think that's probably
24
      an appellate issue alone.
2.5
                    MR. NEWCOMB: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
                    THE COURT: But your concern and
 2
      position is noted.
 3
               Anything else before we have the jury
 4
      brought in?
 5
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Not from the State,
      Your Honor.
 6
 7
                     THE COURT: Mrs. Tuma.
 8
                    THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
 9
                        (The following proceedings were
10
      held in open court, in the presence of the jury:)
11
                     THE COURT: Thank you very much.
      Court will reconvene. And let the record reflect
12
13
      that the jury panel has now joined us; and all
14
      parties, party representatives, and counsel are
15
      present. Mr. Daily needs to retake the stand, so.
16
               Thank you, Mr. Daily.
17
               Counsel may proceed when ready.
18
                    MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
      Appreciate it.
20
               (BY MR. LOW) Sir, can you tell the jury
      some of the specialized training you have had in
21
2.2
      ballistics and firearms use, please.
23
          Α.
               Well, over my career, I've taken
24
      obviously the fundamental courses at the Wyoming
2.5
      Law Enforcement Academy. And then I've taken
```

instructor classes, been a firearms instructor for both handgun, shotgun, and rifle, both patrol rifle and long-distance rifle shooting. I was on our tactical team and was a long-range rifleman there. That assignment lasted for about 20 years. As we did that sort of thing, we -- we put together kind of a teaching team in Teton County and taught long-distance rifle shooting over at the Wyoming Law Enforcement Academy two or three different occasions, probably back in the '90s sometime.

2.2

2.5

One of the things we would do for our own training through the tactical team is we would get vehicles, and especially for the long-distance team, we would shoot various kinds of auto glass.

We'd actually set up an old car. And there's two different types, there's the laminate safety glass that you see in the windshield, and there's the side glass, which is tempered glass.

Our goal was to see what kind of bullet deflections we got, not so much to see what kind of fracture pattern we had, but more to see how effective we would be at various ranges and so forth with actually being able to put rounds on target.

- Q. Thank you, sir. And your employment history, I know you've already mentioned that you were employed with the sheriff's department out there in Teton County. Any other significant employment?
 - A. Well, like I say, I've been on the adjunct faculty at the University of North Florida for 32 years, and I've also had my own business for a long time. It's called Jackson Hole Scientific Investigations. And I started that back when I was still working for the Sheriff's Office. And that's what I do now is reconstruction. And teaching reconstruction can include traffic, of course, but any other thing that moves or needs a dynamic analysis, we can do.
 - Q. Before you went to the sheriff's department, were you a chief engineer out at the Butler Creek Corporation?
 - A. I was.

2.2

- Q. Have you been asked by either State of Wyoming or by the local law enforcement agencies to teach any of their police officers or highway patrolmen or sheriff's deputies how to do things?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And what is that it they ask you to teach

them how to do?

2.2

- A. Well, I've taught, obviously, many classes having to do with traffic crash reconstruction. I've taught applied physics, as I recall, over at the academy. I haven't taught firearm -- I've taught the -- well, they're basically sniper schools at the academy. Also, we've had those locally, so, and then teaching firearms to our own agency.
 - Q. Now, as a scientist, you may be asked to conduct an experiment. And in so doing, you will write up a journal, and that's normal; is that fair?
 - A. Yeah. If you're going to do some studies, you usually write it up.
 - Q. What is one of the honors that a scientist can get when they conduct an experiment and they write it up and then they submit it to the other scientists in the community? What is -- what is it when that gets published? Is that something that's important?
 - A. Well, I think so. It's a called a refereed or peer-reviewed publication. And it's something that if you do a study, then you want others to look at it and perhaps learn from it,

- then you go ahead and submit it to a journal or somewhere. And other people who are like you look it over, check it for its merit, and then either say yes or no.
 - Q. Is it fair to say that when you actually take your experimentation, you put it in a book, and you say, I'm going to send it out to the other people in the same field, that's a pretty big deal, isn't it?
 - A. Well, textbooks are fairly important in the field, yes.
 - Q. I mean, because if you're wrong or your calculations are wrong or if your theory is wrong or if it's minor, they're not even going to bother with it, let alone publish it, are they?
 - A. Yeah, no, they're not.
 - Q. I mean, for a scientist, it's a pretty big deal to get published, isn't it?
 - A. I think so.

2.2

- Q. How many publications have you had over the years, sir?
- A. Well, the three textbooks. There's been several what I'd call refereed papers, and I don't remember how many there are. There's some out there. There's a lot of what we call white papers

- as well. And those are things that you write, and usually you do a self-peer review, in that you send it out to some of your colleagues and say, Hey, does this make sense; and then you present it at a conference or some such thing as that. It's kind of one step below getting published in a journal, if that makes sense.
 - Q. So peer-reviewed papers and publications, you got the Daily Journal; is that what that says there?
 - A. Oh, that's me. No. That's just me.
 - Q. Oh, sorry. Accident Reconstruction

 Journal, I apologize. Then "The Society of

 Automotive Engineers"?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Then we have -- pardon me. There we go.

 "The Automotive Engineers Clean Snowmobile

 Challenge, Society of," the Automotive Engineers

 again. We've got this one here, the "Supplemental

 Over-Snow Vehicle Sound," "Snow Plane Sound Level

 Measurements," "A Method for Vehicle." Is that

 some of the publications?
- A. Yeah. Those are my peer-reviewed publications.
 - Q. Then you have the list down here, the

1 | white papers?

2 A. Yes.

2.2

2.5

Q. You got one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen, sixteen, seventeen, eighteen, nineteen, twenty; and another twenty there; one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve there.

And awards, what are some of the awards you've received, sir?

- A. Well, just several for doing my job the way it ought to be done, I guess. The first one there is I saved a guy's life.
- Q. You actually received the Peace Officer of the Year award?
- A. Yes. That was a long time ago. I was much younger then.
- Q. Some of the certificates of appreciation, a leadership award, contributions and efforts, outstanding presenter at Florida and Florida, Who's Who in the World, certificate of appreciation, outstanding presenter, Florida again. Those some of the awards you've received?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Thank you. How important is your

1 integrity to you, sir? 2 Α. Extremely. 3 Say why. Q. Well, if I don't tell people what I think 4 5 and the reason I think it, if I just try to cover something up, I'm going to lose my credibility. 6 7 And I can't do that. Just -- that's not how I 8 operate. 9 Q. You ever had occasion where working for 10 one of the prosecuting attorneys and where they asked you to look at some facts that -- and after 11 12 you went through your conclusion, you decided that their version of the facts didn't fit the 13 14 evidence? 15 Α. I've done that before, yes, in other 16 cases. 17 And did you tell them that up front? Q. 18 Yes, I did. Α. 19 Is that what you did in this case as 0. 20 well? 21 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, this is 2.2 improper testimony. He's testifying to his own 23 credibility.

question would go to that, so I'll sustain.

THE COURT: I believe the last

24

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) Well, did you tell anyone in this case what your opinions were, sir?
 - A. I did, by means of a report.
 - Q. And as a result, did anybody subpoena you to come testify in this trial?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was it Mr. Blonigen?
- A. No.

2.2

- Q. Was it Mr. Stensaas?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Who was it?
 - A. I believe it was you.
 - Q. Well, let's get to the facts. Sir, tell us what it was you reviewed in preparation for rendering your conclusion in this case as to what happened as best you can tell. What did you review?
 - A. Well, I came over in February and met with Mr. Stensaas and Trooper Sawdon and Detective Ellis, and we sat down and started discussing the case. As I recall, then, there were any number of photos that were available on a CD, so looked at some of those.
 - And during our conversation, it came up that there was some evidence that those guys could

not quite reconcile with the current way they
thought the case was being charged, if you will.

And so part of it, for example, had to do with the
dispersion of glass throughout the passenger
compartment. And it was obviously tempered side
glass. Particular injuries to Mr. Baldwin, his
position. And the question arose, did he try to
drive away at some point before this confrontation
culminated in the shooting. And so basically,
those kinds of things were issues that they wanted
me to look at and address from the evidence that
did exist.

2.2

- Q. Any other issues that you can recall at this time?
- A. Well, essentially, I think that pretty much covers it.
- Q. All right. So what did you do to try and address their concerns that they could not reconcile the dispersion of glass through the car, the injuries to Mr. Baldwin, and the evidence that it appeared that maybe Mr. Knospler's car tried to drive away before the shooting? What did you do to try and address those issues?
- A. Well, I looked at the evidence of the photographs that were taken at the scene of this

incident. And in some of those photographs, we could see tire tracks, and there was a close-up or two taken of the tire tracks. And I talked to Trooper Sawdon about this. He thought and I concurred that this Chevy Cobalt, which is a front-wheel drive car, actually spun the wheels as it was trying to leave, moved forward some, and then spun. There was a little pile of snow behind where the front tire would have been. And so that suggested that he tried to drive away but then was stopped because his wheels started spinning because it was snowy, and it was just a real yucky day.

- Q. So Highway Patrol Officer Sawdon?
- A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. He concurred with your opinion that it appeared that the car tried to get away and then got stuck in the snow and spun?
- A. Actually, I concurred with him. He saw it first.
 - Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked and received into evidence as Al9. Is this one of the pictures you're referring to, sir?
 - A. I think so. May I look in my report?
 - Q. Absolutely, sir.

- A. Because I have some photos I specifically used in my report.
 - Q. While you're looking in that report, is it true that your report, that's the one you actually write that contains all of your conclusions and what you base them on as 14 pages?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. As opposed to a page and a half maybe some other experts wrote?
 - A. Yeah. This one is 14 pages.
- Q. Thank you. And go ahead, sir. What did you want to refer to?
- A. Okay. Well, that's similar to some of the photographs that I used. It's not identical, but it tells the same story.
 - Q. Can we start with page 2 of your report?

 And I'm going to show you what's been received into evidence as Exhibit 309. Is that the same one that you're looking at on your second page of your report, sir?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And please tell the folks what it is we're looking at and why that was interesting to you.
 - A. Well, it shows that, first of all,

- there's a bare spot where the car was because the car was parked evidently before it started snowing. And then we see Mr. Baldwin's foot.
 - Q. All right. Now there's a screen over there to your right.
 - A. Oh.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- Q. And I'm told that if you touch the screen, it makes shapes and colors that you can point these things out. And then to clear it, you hit the bottom left-hand corner. So see if that's going to work for you.
 - A. So this is pretty high tech.
- 13 Q. Yeah, I quess.
 - A. Okay. Let's see if I can figure this out. Okay. Here's -- well, I don't think I'm very good at this. Well, there's the area where the car was parked, and there's not a lot of snow.
 - Q. Hit the bottom left-hand corner. It should clear it.
- A. Well, I was just trying to put Mr.
 Baldwin's foot in there.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Then we can see tire tracks coming out of there.
 - Q. Show us where those are, sir.

1 Okay. Here's the tire track -- well, Α. something like this. And I believe there's one 2 3 that's coming out kind of like this. And over 4 here, this is -- this area over here has been 5 stepped in a lot, so I can't really tell about 6 that. But as we look at where the car is trying 7 to drive out from, and if you have the photo 8 that's on my page 4 of 14, it shows that little 9 buildup of snow that we were talking about. 10 Yes, sir. One second, please. Ο. 11 I'm showing what's been marked as A24. 12 And there may be one other one that's better that 13 you're referring to. Hit the lower left-hand 14 corner. Lower left, okay. I don't know that 15 Α. 16 that's the same one, but it's this area here. 17 Here. I believe this is the one you want Ο. 18 to look at. This is A23. 19 Α. Okay. 20 It may actually go like this. It's Q. 21 upside down? 2.2 MR. BLONIGEN: I think so. 23 MR. LOW: Thanks.

Q. (BY MR. LOW) You decide, sir. That's correct?

24

- A. It needs to -- well, let's see. It's this area right here that I'm interested in.
- Q. I can slide that up a little bit for you.

 That's the best I can do right there. I'll focus

 on it.
 - A. The one that I have is real similar except it shows a little more of this stuff here, and there's just a little buildup of snow, and then there's a tire print after that. Just tells me that it's likely that at that point, that tire spun, built up a little snow behind it, just like if you got stuck and tried to get out.
 - Q. Thank you.
 - A. Kicked some snow out.
 - Q. Here's A19. And I don't know, is that any clearer for you? Let me see if I can get a little closer.
 - A. Well, that shows this also, I think.
- 19 Q. I can come out of it, too, a little bit.
- 20 Is this -- here?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 21 A. That area there, yes.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- A. Would be here.
- Q. So go ahead. I'm sorry. Finish what you were saying about that and what it told you.

- A. Well, just that the tire likely spun and built up a little snow behind it.
 - Q. All right. And did you find any physical evidence to suggest that the tire did not spin?
 - A. No, I didn't.

2.2

- Q. What about the fact that there's two, if you will, paths out of that black spot where the car was before it started snowing? What does that tell you?
- A. Well, that just tells me that probably starting to make a turn because tires don't -- if you're making a turn and it's a slow-speed turn, tires don't track on top of one another.
- Q. Do those treads tell you that someone tried to pull forward, they started slipping and got stuck, then they had to back up, then they turned the wheel to the right, and then they went forward again, and that's what laid these separate tire tracks?
 - A. That's a possibility.
- Q. Can you clear that again, sir. And so can you make these two tire tracks with that car in the snow without backing up? See the split there in the left?
 - A. Yeah, no. I see that.

- I'll put up 309 again. Can you make Q. those two tire tracks in the snow if you pull 3 forward, stay forward, now just rotate the wheel to the right, and then pull forward? Are you able 4 to make that kind of exit out of that black spot?
 - Α. No.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Ο. What would you have to do?
- Α. Well, you'd have to back up in a case like that.
- Q. Okay. All right. Anything else with regards to the first -- about the issue of driving away before shooting. You mentioned the snow and the tracks. Anything else with that issue?
- Α. Well, there was some other evidence in conjunction with what was gathered at the scene.
 - Q. What is that, sir?
- Well, one of the things that was noted, Α. as you see, there's a pickup sitting on the right-hand side of that photograph. I think it's a Ford.
 - This one here, sir? Ο.
 - Α. Yes, that pickup right there.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 And there was a bullet strike on that Α. 25 Ford on the bed, on the side of the bed.

- Q. Showing you Exhibit 325. Can you see it here, sir?
 - A. Well, let me look. Yeah, I think it's this area right here.
 - Q. Okay. And showing you 328. Are you able to see it there, sir? Well, you have to clear the circle.
 - A. Oh, yeah.
- 9 Q. All right. Are you able to see it there, 10 sir?
- 11 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

20

21

2.2

23

24

- MR. LOW: That's, again, 328, Your

 Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 15 Q. (BY MR. LOW) I'll show you a couple more. 327.
- 17 A. Yes. It's this area right here.
- 18 Q. Why are this -- why is this bullet
 19 hole -- I'm sorry -- bullet dent getting measured?
 - A. Well, because we know that that pickup was parked next to the Chevy Cobalt, and there was an issue as to when the shot was fired and what was its trajectory. One of the issues, of course, is did it go through glass first or not. But that measurement, if we know how far away it is and how

- high it is and its location front to back, then we can use that as a point in the trajectory, and we can use that to help determine the bullet path.
 - Q. Showing you 326.
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

8

20

21

- Q. And is this the measuring of the ground all the way up again?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And the bullet hole -- I'm sorry -- the bullet dent is located where?
- 11 A. It's a little hard to see here, but I 12 think -- let's see.
- 13 Q. Yes, sir.
- 14 A. Right there.
- Q. Okay. And when trying to place that from a picture that was taken shortly after -- we'll go back to 309. Sorry. Where on that truck should the dent be with regards to the -- this picture here at 309?
 - A. That's going to be back probably obscured by the fire department guy.
- Q. Now, do we see anything in the -- in 309
 here in this area that's next to the fire person
 on the -- in the snow?
 - A. With that picture, I can't tell so much.

```
1
               Okay. Give me one second, please.
          Q.
 2
      know what, I already have them out. Here's what
 3
      I'm looking for. Here's 312. How's the
      resolution on your screen for that? Can you see
 4
 5
      that all right?
 6
                I can see that.
          Α.
 7
               What's off to our left here?
          Ο.
 8
          Α.
               Off to the left, the pickup?
 9
          Q.
                Yes. And the dent is somewhere right
10
      over the tire; is that right?
11
                It's in that general vicinity, yes.
          Α.
12
                All right, then. Now, what do we have
          Q.
13
      right through here?
14
          Α.
                Looks like we have some tracks.
15
          Q.
               And, in fact, this picture has Mr.
16
      Baldwin's body in it; correct?
17
          Α.
                Yes.
18
               Near where the bullet dent is; right?
          Q.
19
          Α.
               Yes.
20
                Now, over here we have fresh snow, is
          Q.
      that fair, down in the bottom of 312?
21
2.2
          Α.
                Yes.
23
          Q.
                We have a couple footprints right here?
24
          Α.
                Yes.
25
                Then we have a lot of them right over
          Q.
```

1 here?

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Yes. We have several up there.
- Q. And we have some glass down there as well? You may not be able to see, but are you aware if they found some glass out there?
 - A. Yes, I am aware because it was mapped.
 - Q. Showing you 320 real quick. Does that appear to be the glass that was in the -- in those tire tracks there -- I'm sorry -- in those foot tracks there? It's not a very good picture, I understand, but is that something you may have been shown in one of the pictures?
 - A. It was something I was shown. Yeah, I do see some glass right down there.
 - Q. How much glass?
 - A. There's not a whole lot of glass there.

 I think there was a slightly bigger pile, at least that's what was mapped; but it wasn't very much.
 - Q. Did they tell you how much or did they show you how much?
 - A. I think they did but it wasn't very much. You know, just a little more than a handful.
 - Q. Yeah. See in this case, it's been said that there was two shovel fulls of glass. Is that what they told or showed you?

```
1
          Α.
               Not to my recollection.
 2
               Could it be that there was two pictures
          0.
 3
      of one shovel?
 4
          Α.
               I don't know.
 5
          Ο.
               That's a mixture of snow with some glass
 6
      in it?
 7
               Yes, it is.
          Α.
 8
          Ο.
               You saw that one?
 9
               Yeah, I did see that one.
10
               Well, we asked Mr. -- Detective Ellis if
          Q.
11
      he would bring that. I asked him if he would
12
      bring that today, and he agreed. He was very nice
13
      and he brought that for us.
14
                     MR. LOW: May I have that, sir?
15
                     MR. ELLIS: Are you going to cut it
16
      open?
17
                     MR. LOW: I haven't decided yet.
18
      Would that be okay?
19
                     MR. ELLIS: Yeah, just be careful.
20
                     MR. LOW: May I approach, Your
21
      Honor?
2.2
                     THE COURT: Yes.
23
          Q.
                (BY MR. LOW) I'm going to show you
24
      what on this bag has been marked as number 201.
25
                               That corresponds with some
                     MR. LOW:
```

```
1
      of the evidence in the case file, so I quess I'll
 2
      ask that this be marked as Defense Exhibit B1.
 3
      Thank you, sir.
              (BY MR. LOW) Were you given this bag or
 4
          Ο.
 5
      have you seen this before, sir?
 6
               Not that I recall.
 7
          Q.
              All right. Do you want to open that up
 8
      and see how much glass is in there? Would that be
 9
      important for you to know?
10
               I wouldn't mind looking at it, but I
      don't want to get in trouble for opening it.
11
12
          Q. Well, Judge is going to tell us what we
13
      should do and how we ought to do it.
14
                    MR. LOW: Judge, what do you say
15
      about that?
16
                    THE COURT: Any objection from the
17
      State?
18
                    MR. BLONIGEN: No, Your Honor.
                                                     I
19
      think the witness has already testified it wasn't
20
      all the glass there.
                    MR. LOW: No, they didn't testify to
21
2.2
      that. Come on, man.
23
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Yes, they did.
```

Couldn't find it all because of the snow.

(BY MR. LOW) Go ahead.

24

25

Q.

```
1
                    THE COURT: Exclusive of what
 2
      testimony may or may not have been in the past,
 3
      I'll grant permission. And I think we have a
      scissor if that would help.
 4
 5
                    THE WITNESS: I don't have my Swiss
      Army knife with me, so thank you, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: And just so we're clear,
 8
      Exhibit B1 is received. No objection from the
9
      State?
10
                    MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
                    MR. BLONIGEN: No, sir. No
12
      objection.
13
                    THE WITNESS: There's another bag
14
      here.
15
          Q. (BY MR. LOW) Are you able to open the
16
      bag and look inside of it?
17
              Well, I can, but I don't want to scatter
          Α.
18
      this stuff everywhere. There's --
19
               Is it on the outside of the bag right
          Q.
20
      now?
21
               There's some glass on the outside of the
2.2
      bag right here.
23
          Q. Okay. Let's not lose it. Let's take a
24
      look at it real quick. We don't want to do that.
2.5
      Okay. Why don't you set it up here?
```

- 1 Α. Okay. There's -- there's some little 2 shards of glass on the outside of the paper bag, 3 and then there's --4 Here, let's pour it in here, and then Ο. 5 I'll put it down in. Okay. Now can you look 6 inside of the bag? 7 Α. Sure. 8 Q. All right. Go ahead. 9 Well, it looks to be like about a -- I 10 don't know if you're a cook, about a half a cup to 11 three-quarters of a cup of glass. 12 And, Your Honor, may I MR. LOW: 13 have permission to pass this around to the jury so they can look at it with their -- as much as 14 they'd like or? 15 16 THE COURT: Yes, that would be fine. 17 You may publish to the jury. 18 MR. LOW: Thank you, ma'am. 19 (BY MR. LOW) Is that what you would call Q. 20 two shovel fulls of -- well, you know what? 21 Everyone is entitled to their own opinion, so
 - THE COURT: The law clerk has agreed to get a box maybe so we can sort of keep everything together once it's all finalized.

we'll let the jury look at it.

2.2

23

24

MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So we'll have that when

she gets back.

MR. LOW: Yes, sir. Appreciate it.

2.2

2.5

MR. LOW: Yes, sir. Appreciate it. We'll let that stay over there, if that's all right, and we'll give her this bag as well that goes in it.

THE COURT: That would be fine.

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) All right, sir. Now, besides the bullet dent in the side of the truck, besides footprints in Number 312, and the glass in the snow, what did this evidence allow you to conclude, if anything?
- A. Well, in conjunction with some really minor calculations and looking at the bullet strike, I can calculate the angle that that bullet hit within a fair degree of accuracy. And then since we know it came from the vicinity of the driver's front window of the Cobalt, then we can see how far the Cobalt had to move ahead in order for the bullet trajectory to cross that -- I think it was a six-foot distance between the Cobalt and the Ford pickup.
- Q. Thank you. Do these footprints, sir, coupled with the initial position of the car, tell

you whether or not the car moved forward prior to the gunshot?

- A. Well, I believe it did, yes.
- Q. Based on what, sir?

2.2

- A. Well, footprints to some extent, but the -- just the idea that for the angle and everything else, that the bullet strike is on the -- on the Ford, and then the position of the body and the position of the broken glass tells me that that car had to move forward, in addition to the spin marks.
- Q. Had the car remained in the initial position here with the driver's door somewhere up here shooting straight across, it would not have impacted the truck where it did; is that correct, sir?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And so combining the tire tracks, the break of traction, and the location of the bullet on the fender straight across from the driver's door, that is what allowed you to conclude the car must have moved forward?
- A. Yeah. Had to move forward to get to that position.
 - Q. Was that evidence that indicated Mr.

1 | Knospler was trying to leave the scene?

- A. That's what it told me.
- Q. Prior to the shooting?
- A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Did the District Attorney's Office in any way or the police or any investigators in any way either suggest or provide evidence in any way that it could have been something other than that?
- A. No one provided anything to me that was contrary to that.
- Q. As far as you know sitting there today, that's still the facts as you were able to see them and figure out; is this accurate, sir?
 - A. That's accurate.
- Q. All right. Now, that was with regards to the drive away before shooting issue. You said there was two more: dispersion of glass issue and the injuries of Mr. Baldwin issue. Which one did you try and reconcile next, sir?
- A. Well, I kind of actually tried to reconcile them together because --
 - Q. All right.
- A. -- they're all -- we can't just look at one little piece of evidence and say this is definitively that. We have to look at all of the

evidence and see what it tells us.

2.2

- Q. Say why we can't just look at one piece and figure out how the whole thing happened.
- A. Well, because evidence in any case is interrelated. How did something get to where it is and why did it get there. Well, was there some interaction that got it to where we see it. And what was that interaction, what's a likely scenario for that interaction. So that's why it's important that I have to look -- for example, if I looked at something and said, Well, this tells me something; but then I look at that and I say, If I see this, then I should see that, whatever "that" is, well, if I don't see that, then I have to go back and rethink my first scenario and say is it really telling me what I thought it told me.
- Q. So kind of like if you're going to call somebody a duck, you're going to look and see if it's got a bill and some webbed feet and some wings?
 - A. Yeah, and is smaller than a goose.
- Q. And if it don't have those things, maybe someone is just name calling, but they're not doing their homework?
 - A. That could be.

- Q. Okay. Well then, tell us, then, what you looked at next?
 - A. Okay. We -- this was when I met with them in February. I wanted to see the actual Cobalt. I wanted to go out and see it. And it was in storage, and I think it was out by the airport, so we went out there.
 - Q. Showing you -- sorry.

2.2

MR. LOW: Mr. Blonigen, sir, these are all of the exhibits that were the pictures taken during the trajectory study. I can give you the title, and I can have them all marked as one.

And I'm not sure I'm going to publish them all right now, but do you have any objection to that?

MR. BLONIGEN: Well, the only

objection I have, Judge, is it's going to create massive confusion in the record, and it's just dumping pictures in without laying foundation for them. I mean, I don't have any question of the authenticity of the pictures, but I don't know how we're supposed to wade through a hundred exhibits at a time.

MR. LOW: I'll put a paper clip on them, and I'm going to trust the jury can find a way.

```
1
          Q.
             (BY MR. LOW) So, sir, I'm going to show
 2
      you these pictures, and I'm going to ask you if
 3
      these are the ones you looked at in connection
      with trying to reach your conclusions.
 4
 5
                    MR. LOW: Your Honor, I'll mark this
      as Defense Exhibit C for identification. And it's
 6
 7
      a packet with a paper clip on it right now, which
 8
      I'm going to keep on there; and I can count them
 9
      up later for you in the interest of time, if
10
      that's all right, sir. May I show this to the
11
      witness?
12
                    THE COURT: Yes, certainly.
13
               (BY MR. LOW) Sir, go ahead and look at
          Q.
14
      these pictures and see if these are some of the
15
      ones you were looking at and if it's the car you
16
      went to look at.
17
                    THE COURT: Before you go too much
18
      further, I see Exhibit C, photo of Racks,
19
      previously received.
20
                    MR. LOW: My apologies, then.
21
      please mark it as Exhibit F, as in Foxtrot, F1.
2.2
      Thank you.
23
                    THE COURT: F1?
24
                    MR. LOW: Yes, Your Honor, F1.
25
      Thank you, sir.
```

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) Was that the Chevy Cobalt, sir, in those pictures you were talking about?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And I'll show you what has been received into evidence here, and this is A26. Does that look like the Chevy Cobalt you went to see as well?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. So you go out to look at the Chevy Cobalt. Tell us what you do out there.
- A. Well, as I looked at the Cobalt, I noticed that there was a lot of tempered glass dispersed throughout the front passenger compartment. Might have been a little tiny bit of glass in the rear, but most of it was in the front; but it was well distributed across the car. And I knew that one of the scenarios that was being talked about was that the shot from the .45 came through the glass. And I looked at this, and I understood that you're not going to get that kind of glass dispersion from a force that is going outside the car and specifically from a -- a bullet strike.

Tempered glass, when it's shot, essentially puts a hole in it. Some small, very

small amount of glass would be projected in the direction of the bullet. The entire pane fractures very, very quickly. The fracture velocity through tempered glass is in the thousands of meters per second. So the whole thing just crazes right away.

2.2

2.5

Depending on how much it's disturbed, it may stay there. If a window is curved on the inside like this -- and this corresponds to some of our own observations. When I say "our," the observations I made when we were doing our trajectory studies, sometimes the glass, some of it will fall out. And when it does that, it goes straight down. So if I saw glass from a shot, I would expect it to be all right by the driver's seat in that little space, and some of it would be down in the door and there might be a little bit on the outside. I would not expect to see it dispersed throughout the passenger compartment.

It goes back to something called Newton's Second Law, the Second Law of Motion. And that, commonly written, is force equals mass times acceleration. Well, force is a vector, meaning it has a direction. Acceleration is a vector. And since F equals MA, M is a scaler. What that tells

us is that any acceleration has to be in the direction of the applied force. So the only way for glass to be dispersed throughout the passenger compartment is for a force to come from outside to the inside and disperse the glass in the direction of that force. Doesn't matter where the force came from, it just has to be a force that's going to cause that dispersion.

2.2

2.5

Now, a bullet going out is going to have an opposite direction of force to it, and so it's not going to be able to displace a lot of glass back towards itself. So then the question in my mind became how did the glass get over there.

Well, something had to accelerate it.

- Q. Can I interrupt you quickly. Here's a number 825 -- A25. And is that a picture of the glass found all the way in the passenger door pocket? Give it a second to focus up there.
 - A. Yes, sir. I remember seeing that photo.
- Q. So if somebody is sitting inside of a car, the doors are shut, the window's rolled up, and they shoot at the opposite side or, if you will, the driver's window, is the glass going to shatter and pop out of the window and fly over someone's head and into the door pocket?

1 A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 2 Q. Is that what you'd call absurd?
- A. Well, it's not very plausible. Let's just put it that way.
 - Q. Okay. What about did you find any glass on the dashboard?
 - A. Yes. There was some on the dashboard.
 - Q. And was it just an area or two or was it dispersed throughout the dashboard?
 - A. It was scattered all across the dashboard.
 - Q. Now, it's been suggested that, you know, that must have happened because someone was driving like Burt Reynolds or something and slid across the dash. Did you see any evidence that suggested to you that that glass somehow ended up in one corner of the dash and then dispersed all the way to the other side?
 - A. No. Because the glass is relatively uniformly distributed over the top of the dash.
 - Q. It's the uniformity of it all that shows you that it didn't slide?
 - A. Yeah. If it makes any sense, it's a uniform random distribution. In other words, it's scattered out like this. It's not just in one

1 spot.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. So if someone made that accusation, that's -- that's not based on science, is it?
 - A. It's not based on fundamental physics.
 - Q. Okay. Were you shown any evidence whatsoever by anybody that someone was driving that car in an erratic way such that glass would fly through the entire inside compartment of that car?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Were you aware of what the road conditions were that night?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. If someone was driving so hard that somehow glass got dispersed inside the car, what would the likely result have been of that car?
 - A. Wrapped around a pole.
 - Q. All right. I interrupted you. So you were talking about the dispersion of the glass and what else you did. Please go ahead.
 - A. Okay. Well, after I looked at that, then I also had a copy of the coroner's report; and we also had some photos of injuries to Mr. Baldwin.
 - Q. Here we have 316. Is this one of them, sir?

- A. I saw that picture, yes. It's not the one I used in my report, but it still tells the story.
 - Q. And you'll note the size of Mr. Baldwin's hands. Are those -- are those pretty good sized hands; is that fair to say?
 - A. Appears to be, yes.

2.2

- Q. And those red markings both on the wrist, the side of the hand, and the knuckle, did you learn anything from seeing that that fit some of the evidence that you saw?
- A. Well, the coroner described those as dicing injuries. And I know from my experience as a crash investigator and from working crashes and actually working as an EMT for a time that dicing injuries can happen when tempered glass is broken by a part of the body.
- Q. Is it quite common for you to do reconstructions where someone's head or other body part has come into contact with glass in the vehicle and you can see the remains of what that glass did to the -- did to the person and their body?
 - A. I've seen that fairly often, yes.
 - Q. And are these abrasions somewhat

consistent with what you've seen before?

A. They are consistent.

2.2

2.5

Q. All right. I'm sorry. I'm looking for another picture, and I'm not doing well, so let me move on. The coroner testified that this area on the knuckles itself was a blunt force injury.

MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, he keeps referring to him as coroner. Dr. Carver is an accredited, board certified forensic pathologist not a coroner.

THE COURT: I think you can clarify.

MR. LOW: I can use forensic

examiner. No disrespect to Dr. Carver, none

whatsoever. I can change the title. Forgive me.

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) Dr. Carver said that this area here on Mr. Baldwin's hand and his knuckles was from blunt force injury. Is that consistent with what you've seen, sir?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And did you make any conclusions as a result of looking now at the right hand, right arm, and also the right shoulder of Mr. Baldwin?
- A. Those are all glass injuries. And my understanding, you know, from tempered glass. And if you put that injury together with the

dispersion of the glass, it strongly suggests that
Mr. Baldwin punched the glass out.

2.2

- Q. What about the fact that glass was actually found further back from the knuckle and in the side of the hand halfway between the knuckles and the wrist, glass being embedded in this area, what did that tell you?
- A. Well, that would be consistent with punching out the glass.
- Q. And the injury to Mr. Baldwin's right shoulder and the cutting of his T-shirt, did that tell you anything, sir?
- A. Well, that's consistent with him going inside the passenger compartment as he punched out the window and then interacting with glass that's probably still stuck in the -- in the door frame.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's do -- let's see if we can try it this way, then. What evidence is there that you can find that contradicts -- the knuckles, the glass embedded, the injury to the wrist, forearm, and right shoulder, is there any evidence that contradicts that that -- those injuries were caused as a result of punching into a car window?
 - A. Well, I can't find any.

- Q. Has anybody given you any?
- 2 A. No.

2.2

- Q. Did they tell you they gave you everything they had that was directly related to this evidence?
 - A. As far as I know, they did.
- Q. Okay. When you informed them of your conclusion, did they give you any answers back as to why that might be wrong or may not be accurate?
- A. No. We did some additional work, then, to look at the trajectory of the bullet through the body and try to reconcile that with the mark on the Ford.
- Q. So now besides the three issues -- you got the dispersion of the glass and the driving away before the shooting. The third issue now is the injuries to Mr. Baldwin. Is there any other information on those three issues that you looked at?
 - A. Not on those issues.
- Q. All right. So now, did you try and figure out where the car would have been at the time of the shooting, what likely was Mr. Baldwin's position at the time of the shooting, and where that happened in the parking lot?

A. Yes, we looked at that.

2.2

- Q. Please tell us how -- what you did in order to learn about that.
 - A. Okay. Do you have the drawings that were done by Trooper Sawdon?
 - Q. I do. And if you just tell me what page you want to start on, I can put them --
 - A. Well, it would be page 3 of 14 on my report, that particular drawing.
 - Q. All right. Then I'll show you the drawing here, and let's zoom out a little bit. There you are, sir. Go ahead.
 - A. Okay. What that shows is a trajectory that goes from the Chevy Cobalt to the side of the Ford pickup at an angle of 72 degrees. That was the -- what I calculated the angle of the bullet strike was on the side of the Ford.
 - Q. How did you get to that calculation, sir?
 - A. I measured the dimensions of the bullet strike. And you look at if the bullet goes straight in at 90 degrees, then it measures the same this way, you know, across it as it does up and down.

So I measured this and found that there was a slight difference. In other words, the

width-to-length ratio was around a .95. Well, if you take the inverse sign of that, that comes out to about 72 degrees. And that's an accepted technique for looking at angle of bullet strikes.

2.2

- Q. All right. If I can ask you about this picture right here, to explain it for us. I note that there's a windshield in the back and what appears to be a driver's side door mirror and a tire, and then another one in front of it, and then another windshield and driver's side door mirror. Can you tell us what this picture is showing us here?
- A. Sure. It's showing that vehicle has moved ahead from where it originally was. The original position is where the dark spot on the parking lot was, and the new position is where it would have to be in order to line the bullet trajectory up.
- Q. So you knew where -- you knew the gun was inside the car at the time of the shooting; correct?
 - A. Yes, that was my understanding.
- Q. And you knew that the -- where the dent was in the truck because the truck was -- from the pictures, you could see where it was, and you

could see where the dent was; correct?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. So you had a begin spot and an end spot?
- A. We had -- we knew that the gun was inside. We had the end spot, then we had the trajectory through Mr. Baldwin's body.
- Q. Now, the other question I have is were you -- did you use any calculations or devices of any kind to figure out where, if you will, on a map system, the truck and the car or at least the dark spot were located?
- A. Well, yeah. That was mapped. And I think that -- that's on page 4 of 14. And it shows -- it's another view of that particular photo or that particular drawing that we have up there.
 - Q. Give me a second, please. Go ahead, sir.
- A. And so what that really shows us, if it gets in focus, is that the car moved forward a little over seven feet from its parked position.
- Q. Did somebody stand there with a handheld GPS that told them, what is it, an eight digit grid coordinate and tells you exactly what the position was?
 - A. That's how it was mapped. I mean, that's

- how the original position was mapped. And then we could see how far forward it had to move in order for everything to line up.
 - Q. All right. So now tell us what you do now that you have the starting spots of the cars, where they've moved to, we've got a dent, what do you do next?
 - A. Now we have to reconcile the bullet path through Mr. Baldwin to intersect with the side of the truck and still be consistent with the dispersion of the glass and everything else that we see. In other words, all the evidence has to tie together.
 - Q. All right.
 - A. So that was the next step.
 - Q. And tell us how you did that, sir.
- A. Well, I farmed it out, basically, to

 Detective Ellis and to Trooper Sawdon.
- 19 Q. This gentleman right here?
- 20 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 21 Q. Okay. And you mentioned the Highway
 22 Patrol Officer --
- 23 A. Jason Sawdon, yes.
- Q. -- Sawdon earlier. Does he appear in any of the pictures?

1 A. I think he does.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. All right. Look at page 10 of your report.
 - A. Yes, he does.
 - Q. And is the reason why you -- when you say farmed it out to Highway Patrolman Sawdon is because he's actually out here in this area?
 - A. Oh, he's here in the area and he knows what to do --
 - Q. And --
 - A. -- after I discuss it with him.
- Q. Oh, so you told him it would be a whole lot cheaper if you had them do it because it's pretty easy to do?
- 15 A. Way cheaper than if I had to come back

 16 over and do it.
 - Q. And Detective or Investigator Ellis, he was happy to help, wasn't he?
- A. Yes, he was. Well, he was our exemplar also.
 - Q. Exemplar, what does that mean?
 - A. It means that he and Mr. Baldwin are approximately the same size, closer than anybody else that we had. I certainly couldn't do it.
 - Q. Do you know how tall Investigator Ellis

1 is?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 2 A. I think he told me he's six-three.
- Q. Is that right? Okay. And about how tall were you told that Mr. Baldwin was?
- 5 A. I was told somewhere between six-two and 6 six-three.
 - Q. Okay. So there was some suggestion earlier -- and an inch difference, is that going to throw off these calculations?
 - A. Not really, not on something like this.
 - Q. Okay. If he was standing up straight and we had to figure it out, it might throw something off an inch or two; fair?
 - A. Maybe.
 - Q. But if we're bending over, that -- that height difference doesn't really factor in much, does it?
 - A. Well, not very much.
- 19 Q. Okay.
 - A. Again, this is a -- we're putting the evidence together and finding a scenario that all the evidence fits together.
 - Q. Now, when you started doing this experimentation, did you try and test every theory possible as to only one theory?

A. I tested several different theories to see what one would most closely approximate what we see.

2.2

- Q. All right. So what I'd like to do is I want to back up a little bit, and I want you to go to page 11. And, in fact, you know what, you can do that, and I'm going to grab something out of F1 real quick, if I may.
- A. Here's -- let me just give you this whole thing back.
- Q. Thanks. May I have the clip as well so I can keep it together? Thank you very much.
- So is it fair to say that the first thing you all do is you try and figure out where on Detective Ellis the bullet hole more than likely would correspond to from Mr. Baldwin's body; is that correct, sir?
- A. Yes. I think that's what was done.

 Detective Ellis was marked with an entry and exit locations.
- MR. LOW: One of the exhibits from F1, Your Honor.
 - Q. (BY MR. LOW) And does this show

 Detective Ellis and they've drawn what appears to

 be a circle, a dot, as the approximate location of

```
1
      the entry wound?
 2
          Α.
               Yes.
 3
               All right. And then did they also try
          Ο.
      and do the same thing on the back?
 4
 5
                     MR. LOW: Again, Your Honor, F1.
 6
          Α.
                Yes.
 7
                (BY MR. LOW) Approximate location there.
          Q.
 8
      And then you had the wound path that Dr. Carver
 9
      gave, and you have this device here. Explain
10
      what's going on here, if you would please, sir.
11
               Well, they're trying to determine an
          Α.
12
      angle. When he's standing upright, what's the
13
      angle through the body.
14
          Ο.
               And how -- did you know what the angle
      was before they started to do this?
15
16
          Α.
               No, I didn't.
17
               And the rod, what does it tell you, what
          0.
18
      is this mimicking?
               It's just a reference. It's a straight
19
          Α.
20
      rod.
21
               Because the coroner -- I'm sorry.
          Ο.
2.2
      Forgive me, sir. The medical examiner, Dr.
23
      Carver, said that it was for the most part a
24
      straight wound through the body?
```

That was my understanding of what he

25

Α.

said, yes.

2.2

- Q. And you all lined this yellow rod up for the entry wound here on the T-shirt and from the exit wound you can see -- sorry, you can see Sawdon holding the hole right there and the rod running right through the circle. Can you see that? Let me zoom on it.
 - A. Yes, I can see that.
- Q. And the circle is still drawn right there, too; right?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. So once you get that angle, then what do you do?
 - A. Then we have to line up the exit wound, the entrance wound with the impact on the bed of the truck.
 - Q. All right. And what did you guys use to figure that out?
 - A. Well, what they did is they just set the Cobalt up so that it was six feet from the wall in the storage building. Then they marked the line, which you can see in some of the photographs, that was the same height as the bullet strike. That's one example of what they're doing now. I think what they're doing in this particular photograph

```
1
      is just taking some measurements to show where the
 2
      bullet strike height is in reference to the side
 3
      of the Cobalt.
 4
                What does that tell you right there?
          Ο.
 5
      That's a close-up of the gauge on the stick.
      does that tell you?
 6
 7
                No. That's an angle --
          Α.
 8
          Q.
               Okay.
 9
                -- going through there.
          Α.
10
                All right, then.
          Q.
11
               And the same sort of thing there.
          Α.
12
                And then what are they trying to do?
          Q.
13
          Α.
               Okay.
14
          Q.
               Got one more here for you.
15
          Α.
                I'm sorry, have what?
16
          Q.
                I have one more for you.
17
          Α.
                Okay.
18
                Here's another one. And you can see --
          Q.
19
      what's going on down here?
20
                Okay. Looking at other angles to the
      lower parts of the door frame or window frame on
21
2.2
      the door.
23
          Q.
              Okay. All right. What happens next?
24
                Okay. Then there are several scenarios
          Α.
```

And what we have to account for -- and I

discussed this. I wasn't here when they were doing this, but what I discussed with them is,
Look, we have to line everything up so that we have the entrance wound, the exit wound, and the bullet strike on the side of the vehicle all line up. And then we also need to account for the injuries that we see to Mr. Baldwin other than the obvious gunshot wound, you know. How did he get the marks on the hand, how did he get the scratching on the shoulder, and so on. So we've got to do that. And so --

- Q. What are they doing here in F1?
- A. F1?

2.2

- Q. It's on the screen.
- A. Okay. Is that a full size or -- because I've got one similar in my report.
- Q. All right. So does it look like they're using the rod here, again, keeping consistent through the body and starting to bend Mr. -- sorry, Detective -- Investigator Ellis forward?
- A. Well, what we're -- I think what's being done there is it just shows that that trajectory, while it may be correct through the body, is not possible with the other evidence that we see.
 - Q. So if the gunshot is coming out of the

```
1
      car window here, you can clearly see that that's
 2
      not going to leave the trajectory through the body
 3
      that the yellow rod shows us; is that right?
 4
                Well, yeah, yeah, that's basically
          Α.
 5
      correct.
 6
                And then the next one here, here we have
 7
      Detective Ellis with his hands on the car sill,
 8
      again, if it comes out through the window?
 9
                The trajectory isn't correct.
          Α.
10
                Again, the yellow rod showing us the path
          Q.
      through the body; correct?
11
12
          Α.
                Yes.
                Doesn't fit, does it?
13
          Q.
14
          Α.
                Doesn't fit.
15
          Q.
                Maybe a little bit closer to the car
16
      here, tell us what we've got here.
17
                That's essentially the same thing.
          Α.
18
                The long rod now held by the highway
          Q.
19
      patrol officer and a point on the wall, what is
20
      this showing us?
21
                That's the height of the bullet mark on
2.2
      the side of the Ford.
23
          Ο.
               Over here is?
```

Yes.

24

25

Α.

- A. That's midpoint, I think, in the window frame.
 - Q. And if the bullet goes along that path, because we have a start spot and an end spot, we didn't see that wound path through the body; is that correct?
 - A. No, we did not.
 - Q. Doesn't make sense, does it?
 - A. That particular scenario doesn't.
 - Q. All right, then. Maybe we've got someone on the outside of the car and they're leaning in the window now, but they're clear -- their arm has not gone through the window; correct?
 - A. Right.

2.2

- Q. And does this make any sense?
- A. Well, no. Because number one, the head is in the window, and that means that the window has to be gone in some way. And so even though the trajectory may be close, the window has got to be gone.
- Q. All right. And I'm going to just zero in on this so we can make sure we see something. The yellow rod here, again, is the trajectory of the bullet through the body; correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And the large rod is the trajectory of the car -- of the bullet from the car to the truck?
 - A. Not so much. It's just a reference, I think, in that particular case.
 - Q. Okay. Got it. And although the wound path is consistent with where the bullet ended up, why is -- can we rule this -- this scenario out, sir?
 - A. I think so. Number one, it doesn't have the injuries to the arm and shoulder, the right arm and shoulder and nor to the hand. I don't know how the -- I don't know how the window would have gotten broken unless it was previously punched out.
 - Q. Now, are you aware from some of the pictures that were shown that there were no cuts on the palm of Mr. Baldwin; correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. And you know, you saw the pictures, that there was plenty of glass even after it had been driven that was still on the windowsill of the car?
- 24 A. Yes.

2.2

Q. And this area here, if you're putting

- weight on it, he's going to cut the palm of the hand; is that fair?
 - A. Probably would, at least to some extent.
 - Q. So this isn't consistent, again, with the medical data, is it?
 - A. No, it isn't.
 - Q. Now, let's look at this one. This one, the person is all the way inside the car?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And we can see the yellow wound path with the rod; correct?
- 12 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

20

21

2.2

- Q. And then we can see the black rod here?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. It's not consistent, is it?
- A. No, it isn't. The trajectory would have gone above the pickup bed in that case.
 - Q. This person is in too far?
- 19 A. Apparently so, yes.
 - Q. Well, there's been some claims that maybe they were standing on the outside of the car with their elbows or their forearms in the windowsill hanging out there. Did this fit the data?
- A. Well, no, it doesn't. It doesn't get the injuries up on the shoulder or the arm. And the

hands are inside the window, so that means that the window has to have been broken, and what broke the window?

Q. Okay. The red line through here indicates what, sir?

2.2

- A. I think that is a -- just a string line that attaches -- goes through the center of the window opening and then attaches to the wall to -- I think for Investigator Ellis to line up with.
- Q. So let's go to the next one, then. Here we are on the outside of the car a little further back with our hands on the windowsill. I'll zoom out. Does this fit the -- all the data that you had available to you?
- A. Well, no, it doesn't because it doesn't explain the injuries to the hand or the arm or the dispersion of the glass. This --
 - Q. Let me ask -- go ahead.
- A. I was going to say this would be a scenario where the shot came from inside, went through the glass, and then through Mr. Baldwin. And there's -- there's no force now to disperse the glass throughout the passenger compartment.
- Q. Sir, it's been suggested that in this scenario, you could get the wound path, you could

get the dent in the truck; but that as soon as the person got shot, they collapsed and fell into the window. Does that fit with physics?

2.2

2.5

- A. Well, no, because the center of mass of a person in this kind of configuration is going to be somewhere in this area here. Force of gravity operates straight down like that, and so there's no force to bring that person in. And plus, a person, especially one that's just been shot, is not a rigid body, so we can't use rigid body mechanics to describe how this thing is going to happen. He's not going to have any more support; he's going to go basically straight down.
- Q. Both doctors testified that he could have moved for up to anywhere between 10 and 15 seconds after the shots found -- or the shot and the damage found in his body, which means he would have had control or to some degree control over his legs, his abdomen, and his shoulders.

Based on where Mr. Baldwin was found laying on the ground, did he drop right next to the car window?

A. No. He probably moved just a little bit.

And from the nature of his injury, I would expect
that he would be able to move a little bit.

- Q. If I have my hands up on the windowsill as in this picture and my center of gravity is in the middle and I drop it, will it demonstrate where he should have dropped?
 - A. Yeah, if he dropped right there.
 - Q. Straight down?
 - A. That's the direction of gravity.
 - Q. Well, will he fall into the window, sir?
 - A. Not with enough force to disperse the glass. He probably wouldn't even touch the window even with his head.
 - Q. So some --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. I saw no injury on his head.
- Q. So if someone is going to suggest that he fell into the window, is that supported by science, math, logic, reason, or basically anything?
 - A. No, I couldn't see any support for it.
- Q. Is that just somebody -- if I asked you to tell me if someone dropped like that into the window based on the evidence, what would you say to me?
 - A. Say probably not.
- Q. Well, is it possible, then?
- 25 A. I don't see how personally.

- Q. There's no science, math, physics,
 anything that would -- or even medical science
 that would clear that up for us?
 - A. Not that I can see.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 5 Q. Okay. Showing you here, the last one 6 here.
 - A. Here, let me do that.
 - Q. Does this scenario fit all of the facts revealed by all of the science?
 - A. As close as I could come, yes.
 - Q. And point out to us why.
 - A. Well, let me find --
 - Q. It's on page 10 of 14.
 - A. Yeah. And I'm trying to find my page 10.

 I've got things all over the place here. There we go. Okay. We're in to the point where we can interact here, and the arm has had to go past.

 The trajectory is parallel up to the mark on the truck. It accounts for the glass dispersion. It accounts for, like I say, the injuries to the upper arm and shoulder but also the injuries to the hand and so forth as we go through, if Mr.

 Baldwin punched out, went in, and then because we have some glass on the outside as well, which we just all looked at, I'm thinking the most likely

thing is when he was -- after he punched his way in, he's starting to pull out, and then the shot is fired from an indeterminate distance -- I believe that's what the medical examiner's report said -- and then I think he's going to recoil from being shot.

2.2

- Q. So let me see if we can get this straight. If I have the plane of the door right here and I punch it in, I'm not going to go all the way through right away; is that correct? I'm going punch it in, yes or no?
- A. Well, you can punch it in, and depending on your state of balance and so forth, I don't know what you're going to do next. You could go in. If you're out of balance and so forth, you could go in. Or you could punch it and then you could stick your head in. I don't know. Either one of those would account for this sort of injury.
- Q. And with a hole big enough to get my fist through, is it consistent that some of the glass may crumble and fall down to the ground?
 - A. Some of it will.
- Q. Is it consistent with some of the glass will explode inside the car?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Now, with that hole, can I now go inside? Q. 3 Well, you'll probably knock some more Α. glass out as you do. 4 5 And we saw a lot of glass on the driver's 6 side, did we not? 7 Α. Yes, there is. 8 Q. On his lap? 9 Α. Yes. 10 On his seat? Q. 11 Yes. Α. 12 On the floor on the passenger side? Q. 13 Yes. Α. 14 Q. On the dashboard? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And now we're inside here and then what Q. 17 happens? 18 Well, at some point, there's a shot Α. I don't know if Baldwin had started to 19 20 withdraw or if the shot was fired before he 21 started to withdraw. I don't know, and I can't 2.2 say from this scenario. 23 Q. But if I, then, at some point either just 24 before the shot or just after, I've got my chest 25 on the -- on the windowsill, as you've seen

```
here --
 1
 2
          Α.
                Yes.
 3
                -- and I start to come out of the window,
          Ο.
      will some of that glass come with me?
 4
 5
          Α.
                Probably will, yes.
 6
                Maybe drop on the ground in the snow?
          Ο.
 7
          Α.
                Yes, very well could.
 8
          Q.
                Do you see any facts that are
 9
      inconsistent with this?
10
          Α.
                T don't.
11
                Do you see any facts that support any
      other theory other than this one?
12
13
                Well, like I say, I don't.
          Α.
14
          Q.
                Can you tell us about a bullet going
15
      through glass and the glass that the bullet
16
      contacts, what it will do to that glass?
17
               Right -- excuse me. Right in the
          Α.
18
      vicinity of the bullet strike itself, it'll
19
      essentially powder the glass, make it really,
20
      really, really fine, as opposed to the glass
21
      shards that you expect to see away on tempered
2.2
      glass. So it'll be pretty fine glass, almost
23
      powder.
24
               You read --
          Q.
25
                A very small particle size.
          Α.
```

- Q. You read the doctor -- Dr. Carver's wording about what he found at the entry of the bullet wound?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Is that the kind of glass we're talking about?
 - A. Not from his description of it.
 - Q. Was that glass bigger than the stuff you would expect to see if the bullet impacted that window and made that dust?
 - A. It is. Again, we're looking at a real small amount of dusted glass, if you will, with a bullet strike. But the description did not, to me, describe powdered glass that would be powdered by a bullet strike.
 - Q. If we want to look at the powdered glass, how could we make it so we could see what it would look like?
- A. I'm not sure -- oh, you mean an experiment?
 - Q. Whatever you think.
 - A. Well, we could shoot some glass or we could probably hit a piece of glass, tempered glass, with a hammer and an anvil and see how it powdered. And that's kind of what I'd expect to

1 see.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q. Okay. With the glass as described by the doctor that was found near the entrance wound of the -- of Mr. Baldwin, what are some ways that could have got there?
- A. Well, he could have drug across as he came out of the car.
 - Q. Anything else?
- A. Well, again, tempered glass, some of it will stay in the window frame; some of it won't. Perhaps some of it was knocked loose by the passage of the bullet before it got to him, but then he would have to be on the outside of the car.
 - Q. Any other way?
 - A. Nothing comes to mind immediately.
- Q. What about if Mr. Baldwin punches that glass? Will it explode?
- A. Will it break?
- 20 Q. Yes.
 - A. When you say explode, I'm not --
- Q. Bad question. If Mr. Baldwin punches
 that glass and punches a hole through it, will it
 shatter?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Will there be glass fragments that will be in the air?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. In fact, if you saw the pictures, you had those glass fragments consistent with the way Dr. Carver described it all over the inside of the driver's side door panel; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Could those also have landed on Mr. Baldwin's T-shirt?
 - A. If he's going in with it. He's -- he's going -- the punch is going to accelerate a certain volume of glass across. If he follows that, and there's still some glass left in the door frame, then some of that can get on his T-shirt.
 - Q. Now, could people working on Mr. Baldwin have been touching that glass, get it on their hands, on the towels, and all the things they're doing, and could that have moved it into or around the wound?
 - A. Well, it could have, I think, because they're not concerned with the glass; they're concerned with him.
 - Q. I mean, it's -- it's very, very small.

1 They probably can't even see it.

2.2

- A. Very well could be.
- Q. And they're just trying to help.
 - A. That's what their job is.
- Q. Right. Is there any evidence that you can look at or that you could ask for that would help you figure out which one it is, one way or the other?
 - A. Which one what is?
- Q. I'm sorry. It's my fault. Is there any evidence that you could either get or that is available or was available to you that would allow you to figure out whether or not or how that glass got near the bullet wound or in the -- right next to the wound itself?
 - A. Not with specificity.
 - Q. You would have to guess; is that fair?
- A. Well, there's a limited number of scenarios; but you'd have to decide which one is most likely.
- Q. And do you have an opinion one way or the other as to which one is most likely?
- A. Well, I think that Mr. Baldwin punched the window out, so I think it happened after the window was broken.

- Q. With regards to the material on the T-shirt, is there anything that you're aware of that may have blocked the material making it to the T-shirt or may have displaced the material after it hit the T-shirt?
 - A. Okay. I'm missing that question with what -- what are you referring to?
 - Q. All right. I'm sorry. I'm not doing a good job. All right. So we've got a T-shirt.

 Mr. Baldwin is here, he punched a window out,
 there's a gunshot, might there be some material on
 the shirt that we can test and get the presence of
 such as lead or gas or some burned or unburned
 gunpowder?
 - A. You're talking around, you know, for GSR and that sort of thing?
 - Q. Yes, sir.

2.2

- A. Yeah, there certainly would be.
- Q. Any -- now, if we didn't find very much of it, any idea why that may have been?
- A. Range of the shot. And one thing that I do know is that this had to be dried out, so it was wet for a long time. Now, I'm not an expert in that field, but I don't know if dampness will affect your ability to get good GSR evidence,

especially periphery.

2.2

2.5

Q. From the facts you saw, if the person who was held out to be an expert made us a list of the possible ways that there could have been a reduction to that material, and the number one up here was water, is that consistent with your training and experience when -- at crime scenes?

A. Yes.

MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, there's been no foundation. He just said he wasn't an expert in the area.

THE COURT: Mr. Low?

MR. LOW: Well, I just asked him if it was consistent with his experience a lot at crime scenes, which he's had a lot of experience with.

THE COURT: I think there is sufficient foundation. I'll overrule.

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) And with a lot of blood on that T-shirt, is that another reason that the expert said was consistent with the reduction of this material on the T-shirt, has that been your experience as well?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. You were shown those rags that were used

- to help try and stop the bleeding and rubbed the
 T-shirt. Would that be another source of the
 reason why there weren't more particles on the
 shirt?
 - A. That would be in conjunction with some of the others because of the transfer of the material. I mean, that's just basic mass transfer.
 - Q. Gloves as well?
 - A. Same thing.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Paper bag it was stored in, the T-shirt?
- A. Maybe, but not very much. If it were dried first and then put in the paper, probably not.
 - Q. The weather, wind and snow. If Mr.

 Baldwin punches the window out, now there's a hole
 in the window; and it's snowy, blizzard like
 conditions and wind blowing, could that stop or
 reduce any particles that are coming out as a
 result of the gunshot?
- A. It would be a small reduction, if any.

 It depends on the direction.
- Q. All right. All right. And we've got those.
- Were there any facts that you were given,

shown, or even suggestions by anybody that there was more evidence or that the evidence that you were given was inconsistent with the conclusion you gave them?

- A. No. I didn't see any inconsistencies.
- Q. And as for Highway Patrol Officer Sawdon, did he agree with your conclusions as well?

MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, that wouldn't be appropriate. That would call for hearsay. There's not been foundation it was part of his opinion.

THE COURT: Mr. Low?

2.1

2.2

2.5

MR. LOW: Your Honor, it goes to this expert and everything he considers when making his expert conclusion. He can consider treaties, he can consider documents, pictures, all the things he's come here; and we're entitled to know if somebody else had another opinion or another idea how it could happen and if he resolved it.

THE COURT: I can think of a couple exceptions, I think, that apply in an expert witness scenario, so I'll overrule. You may answer.

A. We basically agreed. We discussed it. I

```
1
      always discuss things like that if I have other
 2
      smart people I can talk to.
 3
          Q. (BY MR. LOW) With Investigator Ellis
      here, did you discuss it with him as well?
 4
 5
          Α.
               I did.
 6
               Did he agree?
          Ο.
 7
               Well, since he's here, maybe you better
          Α.
 8
      ask him.
 9
          Q.
               All right. Well, do you recall if he
10
      disagreed and suggested an ulterior theory?
11
               I'm sorry?
          Α.
12
               Did he suggest an ulterior theory that --
          Q.
13
          Α.
               No.
14
          Q.
               What about Josh Stensaas, the guy who
      hired you and asked for your opinion? Did he --
15
16
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Judge, this is
17
      inappropriate. This is not a basis for his
18
      opinion. This is just other people's opinion.
19
                     THE COURT: I think as to the legal
20
      counsel side of things, I'll sustain.
21
                     MR. LOW: Yes, Your Honor. I'll try
2.2
      it better this way, then.
23
          Q.
              (BY MR. LOW) Did you suggest any
24
      additional testing?
```

I did.

Α.

- Q. Please tell the jury what you suggested else should be done.
 - A. I suggested that perhaps there should be some shooting tests. I suggested that once everything in the car -- in the Cobalt was documented, then it get cleaned up, a new window put in it, and the window then shot out from the inside. And I also suggested getting a pig carcass and dressing it in a T-shirt and putting it outside the window at relatively close range just to see what we would see.
 - Q. And were you allowed to do that experiment so that you could find more truth?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

- Q. Why not?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Well, is it fair to say or is it true
 that I told you that I went to Ed's Auto Salvage
 out in town just down the street on Spider --
 - A. Poison Spider.
 - Q. Sorry?
 - A. Poison Spider.
- Q. Poison Spider Road, and they have a 2007
 Chevy Cobalt out there?
- MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, is

```
1
      counsel going to be a witness in this case?
 2
                     MR. LOW: Sure, I'll testify.
 3
                     MR. BLONIGEN: You know you can't.
 4
                     THE COURT: The question as
 5
      propounded would, I think, be inappropriate and
 6
      leading and have a couple other foundational
 7
      problems, so I'll sustain.
 8
          Q.
               (BY MR. LOW) Sir, is there currently in
 9
      our possession, is there a door that you can use
10
      to take a shot at?
11
               There is.
          Α.
12
               From a Chevy Cobalt?
          Q.
13
                Yes.
          Α.
14
          Q.
                The same exact door that was in the
15
      shooting, meaning the -- you could put one -- the
16
      door we have onto the car that was in the
17
      shooting?
18
          Α.
               Yes.
19
               Be an exact fit?
          Q.
20
               Yes.
          Α.
21
                Is there anything you'd like to ask the
          Q.
2.2
      judge at this point?
23
          Α.
               Well, if we want to really address the
24
      issue, I have a .45, I also have some exemplar
2.5
      ammunition, and we could go to the range and shoot
```

the window.

2.2

2.5

- Q. And that might show us exactly how the window falls and show that it doesn't blow inside, and it also would allow us to see some other things that would allow you to even get a better opinion than you already have?
- A. I don't know if it would be better, but it'll certainly be consistent.
 - Q. Can we take the jury with us?
- A. We'd have to.

MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, this is cheap theatrics. There's been no motion for a jury view or anything else in this case.

THE COURT: I agree. Hold up.

Yeah, I think we'll discontinue this line of questioning. If there were to be other examinations or tests done, those should have been done in advance of trial. And to try to say there should be a jury view of some testing without some authority for it, especially being sprung here at the last minute would not be correct, so I'll sustain the objection at this juncture.

Q. (BY MR. LOW) At any rate, you tried to do more testing, and they wouldn't let you -- no, I'm sorry. Let me be fair. You tried to do more

testing, and they did not approve you to do that and they didn't say they'd pay you to do it; is that fair?

2.2

2.5

- A. Basically. Kind of. What I said is I'd like to see you guys, meaning Investigator Ellis, Jason Sawdon, and so forth, set this up and do it because they don't need me to do that. They can document it just fine. But for some reason, it wasn't done. I don't know what that was, but it was discussed.
- Q. Lastly, sir, the totality of all the evidence as they fit together, interrelate together, tells you what?
- A. Well, it tells me that Mr. Baldwin punched out the window -- well, first of all, it tells me that the Cobalt did move forward from its position; more likely than not, it spun out; that Mr. Baldwin punched out the window and in so doing, got far enough into the passenger compartment to injure his upper arm and shoulder and then was shot and ended up on the ground. That accounts for the evidence that I see.
 - Q. Thank you.

MR. LOW: Your Honor, that's all we have at this time. Appreciate it.

```
1
                     THE COURT: Thank you. The witness
 2
      has requested that we take a break, and we're
 3
      fairly close to midafternoon, so let's go ahead
      and take our 15-minute break at this juncture.
 4
 5
               Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, keep in
      mind the rules and admonitions, and court will
 6
 7
      stand in recess.
 8
                            (At 3:09 p.m., a recess was
      taken until 3:26 p.m.)
 9
10
                     THE COURT: Court will reconvene.
      Please be seated.
11
12
               And so the record is clear, we do have
13
      present defendant, Defense counsel, counsel for
14
      the State, and the 13 members of the jury panel.
               Mr. Daily, if you'd retake the witness
15
16
      chair, please.
17
                     THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18
                     THE COURT: Cross-examination,
19
      Mr. Blonigen.
20
                     MR. BLONIGEN: Thank you, sir.
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
21
2.2
      BY MR. BLONIGEN:
23
               Mr. Daily, I'd like to begin with talking
          Q.
24
      about the trajectory which was a major part of
2.5
      your investigation, was it not?
```

- 1 Α. Yes. 2 And that takes point A, from the muzzle, 3 to point B, the ending point, which is the impact on the Ford truck? 4 5 Actually, no. It takes four points. Okay. We'll talk about the points in 6 7 between. But it starts at the muzzle, ends at the 8 truck? 9 Α. Okay. 10 Let's start at the starting point. Q. 11 Nobody described how the -- how or where the gun 12 was being held in the car, did they? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. When we look at the photographs, these 15 are part of F1, we can see that the rod was placed 16 in the driver's seat area near the driver's seat 17 window; correct? 18 I'm not seeing much on that. Would you Α. look at that screen and see if it's --19 20 Ο. Oh. MR. LOW: Focus or zoom. 21
 - Q. (BY MR. BLONIGEN) It looks like it's been -- there, that's better. I didn't realize somebody had hit the focus.

2.2

23

24

25

So the starting point is in the driver's

seat area; correct?

2.2

- A. Well, I think what the scenario was here is there were several different spots that were examined.
 - Q. Okay. But you don't start with any actual knowledge of where the gun was from a witness statement or anything like that, do you?
 - A. You mean other than inside the car?
 - Q. And pointed out the driver's side window, obviously; right?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, so we go to that starting point.
 Witness statements have to be considered, don't
 they, when you're determining a trajectory?
 - A. Not as much as physical evidence.
 - Q. Okay. But they should be considered? I mean, certainly Spitz and Fisher Medicolegal

 Investigation of Deaths said for pathology and trajectory that we should consider witness statements?
 - A. In some cases, yes.
 - Q. Now, in this particular case, there are two witnesses who saw the car leave?
- A. Okay.
- Q. They both say the car left after Baldwin

```
1
      fell?
 2
          Α.
               Okay.
 3
               Did you consider that in your review?
          Q.
               No. I just considered the physical
 4
 5
      evidence.
               I see. Now, when we then go to the
 6
 7
      starting point then, the muzzle of the gun, we
 8
      also know that Dr. Carver saw powdered glass in
 9
      the wound -- or in the skin around the wound. Do
10
      you --
11
                    MR. LOW: Objection, that misstates
12
      Dr. Carver's testimony. He did not say powdered
13
      glass.
14
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Excuse me. He said
15
      pulverized.
                  Is that a better word?
16
                    MR. LOW: Objection, that misstates
17
      his testimony. He did not say pulverized.
18
      used refractive fragments. I've got the report.
      We can check, Your Honor.
19
20
                    MR. BLONIGEN: I do have the report,
      but Dr. Carver explained further on his testimony,
21
2.2
      Judge.
23
                    THE COURT: Okay. We'll leave it
24
      for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
2.5
      Obviously, there's a dispute over that precise
```

- term or portion of testimony attributed to the other witness, so I'll allow the question with that caveat.
 - Q. (BY MR. BLONIGEN) And pulverized or powdered glass is exactly the kind of glass you're talking about you'd expect to see if it was carried by the bullet into the area of the wound; correct?
 - A. Not exactly. Powdered means very, very fine. Pulverized is smaller than the shards, if you will, that exist from the rest of the glass in my mind.
- Q. In your mind. But you don't know exactly what Dr. Carver meant, do you?
 - A. No. I read it the way I understand it.
 - Q. And if he could only see those on a microscopic level, they would indeed be very small, wouldn't they?
- A. If they could only be seen with a microscope?
 - O. Yes.

- A. They would be small, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, and when we resuscitate people, we don't do chest compressions around their neck, do we?

1 Α. I don't --2 Can you --Q. 3 -- and I've done it before. Α. And -- yes, sir. And so you don't work 4 Ο. 5 on the neck, do you, or this area up here? You're down in the center of the chest? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Now, on the shirt, in reviewing Mr. 9 Norris's report, you saw that he observed the 10 shirt, again, both with the naked eye and with -with the microscope as well; is that correct? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 He did not report any glass around the Q. 14 entry hole, did he? 15 Α. Not that I recall, no. 16 Now, when we go at the starting point, Q. 17 also, we have qunshot residue tests in this case; 18 isn't that right? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And they found gunshot residue around the Q. perimeter of the window; isn't that true? 21 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 Also, when we look at this scenario, we 24 don't know if the gun -- well, we have an angle on

how the gun was held; but we don't know if it's

- held like this or this at exactly what height or
 place; correct?

 A. I'm not quite sure. You mean up and
- 5 Q. Yes.

down?

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Or whether he's shooting like this or like this?
 - Q. Or whether you're here near the window or back here near the center of the chest. We can't tell those things, can we?
 - A. Not for sure.
 - Q. Now, also, when we go, then, to the glass itself, glass fragments in the bullet wound would be some indicator if glass was fired through, would it not?
 - A. Well, not that glass was fired. I think you wanted to ask me something else.
 - Q. No, that the bullet was fired through the glass, it's consistent with that?
 - A. It could be, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now, actually, once that -- we also know from the studies that if a bullet goes through tempered glass, it could affect the trajectory of the bullet?
 - A. I know that from my own experiments.

- Q. Right. It can affect it rather significantly, can't it?
 - A. Tempered glass in a near normal -- which means ninety degrees mathematically, our experience was is it didn't deflect very much.

 What did deflect and sometimes significantly so was the laminated safety glass in a very steeply angled windshield.
 - Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. So that was what --
- Q. And again --
- A. --our experience was.
- Q. Spitz and Fisher says that a 38 -- a study of 38 shots fired in tempered glass showed severe deviation. You would disagree with that?
- A. That depends on what his tests -- what angle he had the glass at. I'd have to read the study.
- Q. Okay. And it would also affect this. We don't know the angle at which the bullet struck -- if it struck the glass, what angle it struck it at, do we?
- A. We do know that it's going to be near normal. That's the only way it can get out.
 - Q. Now, then it passes out of the car, the

```
1
      bullet passes out of the car, and it impacts Mr.
 2
      Baldwin; correct?
 3
               Well, after it gets out -- well, it
          Α.
      impacts Mr. Baldwin at some point. I don't know
 4
 5
              If Mr. Baldwin's body was in the car, on
 6
      the way out, I don't know for sure.
 7
          Q. Okay. But it encounters and it enters
 8
      the body; correct?
 9
          Α.
               Yes, it does.
10
               Fractures a rib on entry?
          Q.
11
          Α.
               Yes.
12
               Literature also says that striking bone
          Q.
13
      should also be considered in determining a
14
      trajectory?
15
          Α.
               Yes, that's true. And I did think about
16
             And I also looked at the bullet, which is a
17
      full metal jacket round nose with a -- that's
18
      relatively short for its diameter. Those tend to
19
      be pretty stable in going through things like
20
      that.
21
               Okay. Then it goes through the body?
          Q.
2.2
          Α.
               Yes.
23
          Q.
               It exits the body?
24
          Α.
               Yes.
```

It strikes the vehicle?

25

Q.

- 1 Α. You mean the Ford? 2 The Ford truck. Ο. 3 Α. Yes. When it strikes the Ford truck, it's a 4 Ο. 5 spent force pretty much, isn't it? It's pretty much --6 7 Α. Well, it's not enough to penetrate the 8 sheet metal. It leaves a pretty good ding in it. 9 Yes. That would tell you it had lost Q. 10 energy since it entered the body? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Now, then we go to the wound itself. 13 When you discuss this matter, you had a meeting in 14 February, you said, with Mr. Ellis and others? 15 Α. Yes. I think it was February. Let me 16 look real quick. And we probably had some phone 17 conversations in there, too, that --18 Ο. Uh-huh. -- that I didn't mention. February 6th 19 20 is when I met with them physically. Okay. And at that meeting, you pointed 21 Q. 2.2 to multiple autopsy photos showing various things
 - A. It looked like it at the time.

24

25

contact wound?

to Mr. Ellis and stated without a doubt this was a

- Q. Okay. You told him it was a contact wound; it turned out not to be?
 - A. Yeah, that's right.

2.2

- Q. Now, also, when you looked at the wound itself, you showed him various things in the autopsy photos that convinced you it was a contact wound?
- A. There were some things that I thought indicated that, yes.
- Q. And then we -- when we go to the body then and we do this dramatization or this -- it's not directly a recreation in that every fact in it is absolutely known to be true, is it, and exactly the same as the scene?
 - A. Well, nothing is exact, no.
- Q. For instance, we know that we couldn't measure the car in place. It had left; right?
 - A. Yeah, the car was gone.
- Q. The truck, the bullet dent wasn't noticed until it left the scene as well?
 - A. I don't remember when it was noticed.
- Q. Okay. Well, we'll refer to the other testimony for that. Did you realize the pictures and the measurements taken were taken at a place different than the crime scene?

```
1
           Α.
                Yes, I did know that.
 2
                Okay. And then we set this thing up, and
           Q.
 3
      we're going to try some different scenarios;
 4
      correct?
 5
           Α.
                Yes.
 6
                First, let's talk about who you used for
 7
      Mr. Baldwin. You used as a stand-in or a
 8
      reference Mr. Ellis?
 9
           Α.
                Yes.
10
                Mr. Ellis is six-foot-three?
           Q.
11
           Α.
                Yes.
                Not six-foot-two?
12
           Q.
13
           Α.
                Right.
14
           Q.
                Mr. Ellis is a lot thinner than Mr.
15
      Baldwin, about 25 pounds?
16
           Α.
                Okay.
17
                It would be fair to say in those pictures
           Ο.
18
      that Mr. Ellis fills up quite a bit of that window
      in that little car, doesn't he?
19
20
                Yeah, some, yeah.
           Α.
21
                Did you have anybody measure Mr. Ellis?
           Q.
2.2
           Α.
                No.
23
           Q.
                Did you have anybody weigh Mr. Ellis?
24
           Α.
                No.
25
                Now, you talked about an inch not making
           Q.
```

```
1
      a difference; but the length of the legs matter,
 2
      don't they, because that's how far we're going to
 3
      come up off the ground, isn't it?
 4
               Yes, to an extent.
          Α.
 5
               Okay. Did you determine whether Mr.
          Q.
 6
      Ellis's legs were longer than Mr. Baldwin's?
 7
               No, I did not.
          Α.
 8
          Q.
               Were you aware that the pants he wears in
 9
      those photos are a 38 inseam?
10
          Α.
               A 30 --
11
          Ο.
               8.
12
          Α.
               No.
13
               Were you aware that Mr. Baldwin's inseam
          Q.
14
      was 32?
15
          Α.
               No.
16
               Okay. You could be almost the same
          Q.
17
      height, but you can have a lot different body
18
      types, can't you?
19
          Α.
                Sure.
20
                So the six inches' difference in that
21
      inseam wasn't taken into account when we look at
2.2
      this?
23
          Α.
              Oh, was that a question?
24
          Q.
               Yes.
25
               Oh, I'm sorry. No, it wasn't.
          Α.
```

1 Q. I'm going to hand you some pictures. 2 Same thing you looked at before. But since 3 there's a stack of about a hundred pictures there, I'm going to just narrow this down a little bit. 4 5 Α. Okay. But if you could look at 440 through 449 6 7 and tell me if these aren't some of the same 8 pictures we saw over there in F1 that counsel 9 showed you. 10 I think they're similar. There's a couple, I think, that are a bit different; but I 11 12 think they were all taken at the same time. Okay. Can I gather those up from you, 13 Q. 14 sir? 15 Α. Sure. 16 I'll go through them. 0. 17 You know, I probably put them out of Α. 18 order. That's fine. I can do it. 19 Q. 20 I didn't mean to do that. 21 MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, move for 2.2 the introduction of 440 through 449. 23 THE COURT: Any objection? 24 MR. LOW: No, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Exhibits 440 through 449

1 inclusive are received. 2 (BY MR. BLONIGEN) So we're going to 3 place an entry wound based upon the coroner -- or excuse me, now you got me doing it -- having the 4 5 pathologist measurement from the top of the head? Α. Yes. 6 7 And from midline; correct? Ο. 8 Α. Yes. 9 And that's what we do here. And using Q. 10 the same type of measurement, this is what you put on Mr. Ellis on the white T-shirt; correct? 11 12 Actually, I didn't. Α. 13 You didn't, but that's what was put on Q. 14 Mr. Ellis's T-shirt? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Now, when we consider the window itself, Q. 17 we took some measurements of that as well; 18 correct? 19 Α. Yes, there were some measurements taken. 20 So this is the overall measurement of the Ο. driver's side window about at its widest point; 21 2.2 correct?

A. Pretty close, yes.

23

Q. And we can see from the tape that that was just about 30 inches?

- A. Let me look here. Yeah, 30 inches.
- Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. Looks pretty good.
 - Q. We then went through a number of scenarios, as I think you've referred to some of these before; but I'd like to, first, looking at 443, slightly bent with -- we know the hands weren't inside the car, though; correct?
 - A. You mean in the scenario that --
- Q. Right.
 - A. Well, here they aren't; they're just kind of on the edge there.
 - Q. And that's because Mr. Andujar, on
 October 4th, had given a statement that at the
 time Mr. Baldwin was shot, he was leaning over the
 driver's side door with his hands on the
 windowsill; correct?
 - A. I think so.
 - Q. And so that's the one thing you want to look at if the guy says that's what he saw; right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And in this instance, without reference to anything else, but the trajectory is fairly close, isn't it?
 - A. It looks fairly close, yes.

1 Q. Now, where Mr. Ellis is standing in these 2 various photographs, where his feet are --3 Α. Yes. -- there was really nothing at the scene 4 5 that told us exactly where Mr. Baldwin was standing, was there? 6 7 Α. Not exactly, no. 8 Ο. It was kind of a mishmashed mess over 9 there on the driver's side of footprints, wasn't 10 it? 11 Α. I think so. I'm going to hand you 346. In fact, we 12 Q. can see Mr. Baldwin's shoe there? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And that's kind of what it looked like, a 16 lot of footprints all over the place? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. Because we had emergency people Q. and people trying to help and things like that? 19 20 Did you want to put it on the --Α. I will. I'm just --21 Q. 2.2 MR. BLONIGEN: Move for the 23 introduction of 346, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Any objection? 25 MR. LOW: No, sir.

1 THE COURT: Exhibit 346 is received. 2 (BY MR. BLONIGEN) So we can see that Ο. 3 here there's been a lot of people tromped through this area, haven't there? 4 5 Yes, there have been. Okay. And we can see glass in here as 6 7 well, can't we? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. There's some glass here? Q. 10 Well, maybe. Maybe you can see it on Α. that, but I'm having a hard time with that. 11 12 We can tell the glass because it's kind 13 of that blue-green color in the snow, can't we? 14 Α. It is, yes. 15 Q. Okay. And then we have some more here 16 and some more here; is that correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 We also know that Mr. Baldwin's body was Ο. moved from where it fell? 19 20 Yeah. My understanding is that he got moved a little bit to -- for them to work on him. 21 2.2 Q. Okay. And for -- so and from that pile 23 of glass, we can see that he's actually a little 24 ways away from that, where that pile of glass is,

25

can't we?

- Α. Yes. And I think that was mapped.
- And, in fact, when you looked at all the photographs, there was also some photographs that showed some footprints going from the passenger side of this vehicle around the front of the vehicle; is that correct?
 - Α. Would you say the first part of that again?
 - Q. Okay. There were footprints from the -what would be the passenger side of the vehicle heading around the front of the vehicle?
 - Α. I think there were, yes.
- 13 Okay. And I think 348 shows that if I Q. can -- mind if I show him that?
- 15 MR. LOW: I don't. Thank you, sir.
- 16 (BY MR. BLONIGEN) Do you know if 348 Q. 17 shows that?
 - Α. I don't.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

18

2.2

23

24

- 19 Because you can't place it in context? 0.
- 20 I can't place it in the context with the rest of the photos. 21
 - Q. But your recollection was there was some evidence to that, that suggested that?
 - That is my recollection, yes. Α.
 - Then we have a little more bent over but Q.

```
1
      going into the window; correct?
 2
          Α.
                Yes.
 3
                Did the angle at least on this come close
          Q.
      or do you think it's not close?
 4
 5
                Could you show me the actual picture
 6
      itself?
               It's hard for me to see it on this
 7
      screen.
 8
          Q.
               Too much glare?
 9
          Α.
               A little bit too much.
10
                Okay. We'll show it to you --
          Q.
11
               Okay.
          Α.
12
                -- and then we'll publish it again.
          Q.
13
                I know which photo this is. Yeah, I
          Α.
14
      think the -- I think the angle is pretty close
      here --
15
16
          Q.
               Uh-huh.
17
                -- on this one.
          Α.
18
                And then we had some others which I think
          Q.
19
      you've referred to. This is the one that -- that
20
      just doesn't match what happened at all, does it,
21
      that angle is?
2.2
          Α.
                It does not.
23
                And again, we have another photo, the one
          Q.
24
      where he had his hands on the windowsill.
25
      get something of a line from the string or rod
```

```
1
      drawn there. That's a string actually, isn't it?
 2
               That's a what now?
          Α.
 3
               A string, is it?
          Q.
                String, yes, yes.
 4
          Α.
 5
               And, again, we have this one where he's
          Q.
      clear out on his toes, and he's leaning all the
 6
 7
      way against the car; right?
 8
          Α.
               Yes.
 9
               But we didn't find any -- any damage to
          Q.
10
      the abdomen, did we?
11
               No. I -- this was just one of the
          Α.
      scenarios that was run.
12
13
          Q.
              Okay.
14
               It doesn't match any of the other
15
      evidence.
16
               Again, we don't -- we don't know where
          Q.
17
      his feet would have been when we do these things,
18
      do we?
19
          A. Not completely.
20
               Okay. And then finally, I think this is
          Q.
      the one, hands on the windowsill and a little more
21
2.2
      of a crouch. Again, the angle is consistent, at
23
      least as far as the trajectory angle of those?
24
          Α.
               Yes.
```

Now, when -- when you say that you

25

Q.

- 1 believe that Mr. Baldwin came in and caused all 2 these injuries punching through the window, he 3 would be fully into the passenger cab; correct? At least that arm would be, sure. 4 Α. 5 Ο. Yeah. And on that arm, there were cuts? Yes, there were. 6 Α. 7 Ο. There were -- there was blood on the 8 surface of his skin? 9 Α. Yes. 10 There was no blood found in the car, Q. there was no blood found on the car seat? 11 Those were dicing injuries that don't 12 Α. 13 bleed a whole lot. 14 Q. Well, DNA doesn't take a whole lot, does it? 15 16 Α. No. 17 Now, further, if the person is in the 18 driver's seat, one would expect that the arm or 19 part of the body to have contact with him as well? 20 Perhaps, depending on his orientation at the time. 21
 - Q. Tell me, Mr. Daily, would you call something where somebody punches a hole in your window and goes after you an altercation?
 - A. I would think so.

2.2

23

24

```
1
               Okay. But the defendant said there was
          Q.
 2
      no altercation that night. Were you aware of
 3
      that?
                    MR. LOW: Objection, that misstates
 4
 5
      his testimony. He said inside the bar. Facts not
      in evidence --
 6
 7
                    MR. BLONIGEN: He did not, Judge.
 8
                    MR. LOW: -- misleading the jury on
 9
      purpose.
10
                     THE COURT: Hold up if we would.
      think I remember both of you being correct during
11
12
      certain portions of testimony; but I'm going to go
13
      ahead and sustain the objection at this point, and
14
      we'll go to the next question.
15
          Q.
               (BY MR. BLONIGEN) Okay. If he said
16
      there was no altercation that night, this sounds
17
      like an altercation, doesn't it?
18
               This is an altercation or it sure seems
          Α.
19
      that way to me.
20
               Uh-huh. Now, no injuries on the
      defendant from the glass breaking that you're
21
2.2
      aware of?
23
          Α.
               Not that I'm aware of.
24
               We also talked a little bit about how Mr.
25
      Baldwin is kind of a beefier guy than Mr. Ellis;
```

```
1
      right?
 2
                That's my understanding, yes.
          Α.
 3
                Now, at the scene when the car is first
          Q.
      stopped, there is a substantial piece of glass at
 4
 5
      the top of the window frame?
 6
                There's some.
 7
               And there's glass along the bottom;
          Q.
 8
      correct?
 9
          Α.
                There's some, yes.
10
                The total -- the -- the window is
          Q.
11
      much narrower at the top than the 30 inches we
12
      talked about, isn't it?
13
          Α.
                Yes.
14
          Q.
                Now, we talked a little bit about a tire,
15
      and I'm going to refer to some exhibits already in
16
      evidence by both parties I think. First, let's
17
      orient ourself. This is the parking spot we're
18
      talking about?
19
          Α.
                Yes.
20
          Q.
               Okay.
21
          Α.
                Yes.
2.2
          Q.
                It appeared to remain clear?
23
          Α.
                You mean clear of snow or --
24
               Clear of snow, yes, relatively clear of
          Q.
25
      snow?
```

- 1 Α. Yes. 2 It -- there's pavement there, so when the 3 wheel starts rolling, it's rolling on pavement; 4 correct? 5 Yes, that's right. Α. And we look at the picture coming out of 6 Q. 7 that parking spot, and we see these two tire 8 tracks that kind of "V" there; is that correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Those are the ones we're talking about? 11 Yes. Α. 12 You found, you said, evidence of a loss Q. 13 of traction on that tire; is that correct? 14 Α. On the front -- front drive tire, yes. 15 Q. Okay. This is front-wheel drive car? 16 Α. Yes. 17 There -- we don't have any evidence if Ο. 18 the other tire also lost traction, do we? You mean on the other side? 19 Α. 20 Yes. Ο. If it's an open differential, it'll spin 21 Α. 2.2 on the side that has the least traction. 23 Q. And you say it lost traction. In fact,
 - Q. And you say it lost traction. In fact, you told Mr. Ellis that mark could be made by a guy whose tire slips driving away from the scene,

1 a simple acceleration mark? 2 Yeah. I think that's -- well, I think 3 he's spinning on the snow. He's trying to 4 accelerate and he's spinning on the snow and 5 building up the snow behind the tire. 6 There's clearly a rolling tire going into 7 this small patch of snow, isn't there? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. There's clearly a rolling tire within a 10 very short distance from this area? 11 Yes, right after. Α. 12 Going past it, yeah. Q. 13 Yes. Α. 14 Q. So we don't see the car swaying or doing 15 anything like this, do we? 16 Α. No. 17 So this is a very momentary, very short Ο. 18 duration type of spin? I think so. 19 Α. 20 And did you know the car had also been Q. backed into the same parking spot? 21 2.2 Α. At what point? 23 Q. Earlier in the evening? 24 Well, it had to be early enough so that Α.

it didn't get a lot of snow underneath it.

```
1
          Q.
               And so we also would see this -- when we
 2
      talk about seven feet or just over seven feet,
 3
      we're first -- we'd be talking about extremely low
      speeds; correct?
 4
 5
                You mean for the movement?
 6
          Ο.
                Yes.
 7
                We'd be talking about starting from a
          Α.
 8
      stop.
 9
          Q.
               Right. So the car isn't going very fast?
10
               At the end of the seven feet?
          Α.
11
          Ο.
               Yes.
12
               Probably not. I can tell you how fast,
          Α.
13
      but.
14
               And also, at that time, the defendant
          Q.
      could easily stop the car?
15
16
          Α.
                Yes, he could.
17
                And the acceleration mark he left there,
          0.
18
      if he started it up again, would be much the same?
                So in other words, if he started,
19
          Α.
20
      stopped, and then tried to start a little harder?
21
          Ο.
               Uh-huh.
2.2
               Yeah, that's possible.
23
          Q.
               Okay. So he could have been in the
24
      process of driving away, stopped and shot?
```

You mean without any altercation?

25

Α.

- Q. Well, let's leave the alt -- just from the angle you were able to put together looking for the car movement and back to the truck.
 - A. So in other words, if we're just looking at the mark on the truck and the movement of the car forward, yeah, I suppose that's possible.
 - Q. Now, you did know that witnesses said the tires spun out as he left the parking lot?
 - A. I was aware of that.
- 10 Q. That would account for that mark,
 11 wouldn't it?
- 12 A. You mean the one that we've referenced before?
- 14 Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 15 A. Yes, it would.
 - Q. Now, are there any studies that you refer to that says how much energy it takes for a human fist to punch out a tempered glass window?
 - A. No, I haven't done that. I just looked on the Internet and found videos of people punching out windows.
 - Q. Okay. And there are -- there are people, and there's people that on the Internet that hit them with tire irons and can't break them?
 - A. Well, some, yes.

1 Q. And in this situation, also, this is a 2 slick surface? 3 You mean that we're standing on? Α. That Mr. Baldwin is standing on. 4 Ο. Yes, it is. 5 Α. And he's highly intoxicated? 6 Q. 7 Α. That's my understanding. 8 Q. And it would take a good deal of force 9 behind that punch to punch out a window, wouldn't 10 it? 11 Well, it's going to have to take a punch Α. 12 that's going fast enough to go through. 13 Also, it's going to have to be a punch 14 that doesn't shatter the glass the first time but carries it all the way out on the first punch? 15 16 Α. I'm not sure --17 MR. LOW: Objection -- sorry. 18 Withdrawn. 19 -- that I understand that statement or 20 question. Q. (BY MR. BLONIGEN) Do you have, in your 21 2.2 scenario, Mr. Baldwin hitting the window more than 23 once? 24 A. No, I don't.

And once the window is shattered, it

25

Q.

1 loses quite a bit of that strength, doesn't it? 2 Loses it all. Α. 3 Lots of things can cause it to fall; Q. correct? The glass to fall? 4 5 The glass to fall, yes, and it'll fall Α. straight down. 6 7 Ο. Okay. And now we talked a little bit 8 about test firing into pigs and shirts and things 9 like that. How wet was Mr. Baldwin's shirt when 10 he left the bar? That, I don't know. 11 Α. He's only outside a short period of time. 12 Q. 13 How wet --14 Α. It wouldn't be very wet. 15 Q. Okay. And if he's shot right away, it 16 wouldn't be very wet then either, would it? 17 No, it wouldn't. Α. 18 So how do we experiment with how wet the Q. 19 shirt is when we do our testing? 20 Oh, that's actually quite easy to do. In this particular instance, though, you 21 Q. 2.2 have extensive experience as an accident 23 reconstructionist; but you're not a pathologist, 24 are you?

I'm not a pathologist, no.

25

Α.

1 Q. And nor are you an expert in range of 2 fire? 3 In what kind of fire? Α. Range of fire. 4 Ο. 5 You mean distance? Α. 6 Yes. Ο. 7 Α. I haven't had to do it, but I know 8 something about it. 9 Well, yeah, and of course you know about Q. 10 the mechanics of a gun, don't you? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Now, some of the reports when you came 13 down for this February meeting and even when this 14 recreation is done, the lab reports weren't all 15 available to you, were they? 16 Α. Not at that point. I think I got some of 17 them later from the crime lab. 18 Q. Okay. 19 And I don't remember -- I think they were 20 sent to me electronically. I didn't bring 21 everything I have because a lot of it is on my 2.2 computer. 23 MR. BLONIGEN: Can I have a moment, 24 Your Honor? 25 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

```
1
           Q.
             (BY MR. BLONIGEN) Now, Mr. Daily, one
 2
      thing you have a lot of experience at is impaired
 3
      drivers and fatalities?
 4
                Well, yes.
           Α.
 5
                Okay. In fact, a lot of -- we saw a lot
           Q.
 6
      of your experience, your articles and things are
 7
      exactly on some of these issues; right?
 8
           Α.
                Yes.
 9
           Q.
                Persons at a .208, highly impaired?
10
                You say 208?
           Α.
11
                .208.
           Ο.
12
                Yeah.
           Α.
13
                Highly impaired?
           Q.
14
           Α.
                Yes.
                Poor balance?
15
           Q.
16
           Α.
                More likely than not.
17
           Q.
                Poor motor skills?
18
           Α.
                Probably.
19
                Impaired perception?
           Q.
20
           Α.
                Yes, that too.
21
                Poor critical thinking?
           Q.
2.2
           Α.
                Maybe nonexistent.
23
           Q.
                Nonexistent critical thinking? Okay.
24
      And if we're running a .17 to a .24, we're going
25
      to have the same problems; correct?
```

1 Α. I think so, unless you're dealing with 2 just an absolutely old alcoholic. The highest I 3 ever arrested was a .46. Okay. But when we're talking around a 4 Ο. 5 .20, we're pretty high, aren't we? 6 We're pretty drunk. 7 Ο. Yeah. And like we said, impaired 8 position, impaired reaction. Are you safe to 9 handle a firearm when you're a .20? 10 Α. I'm not. 11 Ο. Do you know anybody that is? No, I don't. 12 Α. 13 Just like operating a car? Q. 14 Α. Just like operating a car. MR. BLONIGEN: I believe that's all 15 16 the questions I have for right now, Judge. 17 THE COURT: Thank you very much, 18 Counsel. Redirect examination, Mr. Low? 19 20 MR. LOW: Thank you. 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MR. LOW: 23 So you said you reviewed some videos in 24 connection with determining whether someone could 2.5 punch some glass out?

```
1
          Α.
               Yes.
 2
                    MR. LOW: Your Honor, can you turn
 3
      my station on, please?
                    THE COURT: Certainly.
 4
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor --
 5
                    MR. LOW: Can I play this video?
 6
 7
               (BY MR. LOW) Is this one of the videos
          Ο.
 8
      you watched?
 9
                    THE COURT: Hold up if you would.
10
                    MR. BLONIGEN: We've never been
      provided a copy of these, Judge. These are photos
11
12
      or other exhibits they're using in front of the
13
      jury. They should have provided a copy to us.
14
                    MR. LOW: Sort of like what Mr.
15
      Norris did to us earlier today when we weren't
16
      provided a whole opinion and the basis for that?
17
                     THE COURT: Hold up if you would.
18
      Well, you can't play something that hasn't been
      received before the jury, so there's no admission
19
20
      of an identified exhibit, so.
21
                    MR. LOW: I would like to mark this,
2.2
      then, as Defense Exhibit G1 for identification.
23
          Q.
               (BY MR. LOW) Sir, can you look on the
24
      screen?
25
                     THE COURT: And what is it?
```

```
1
                    MR. LOW: It is a -- I believe it's
 2
      a three-second video clip that this expert
 3
      considered while making his conclusions and
      referred to.
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
      just wanted to have it identified. There still
 6
 7
      needs to be foundation, but go ahead.
 8
          Q. (BY MR. LOW) Is this a video you
 9
      consulted?
10
               I'd have to see it to remember. A lot of
11
      these start off the same way.
12
               All right. Let me play it, then. Here
          Q.
13
      we go.
14
                            (Demonstrative Exhibit G1 is
15
      played in open court.)
16
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Wait a second, Judge.
17
      There's been no foundation for how this video was
18
      done, whether the person has martial arts
19
      training, none of that stuff. He's just going to
20
      play it?
21
                    MR. LOW: Your Honor, all he has to
2.2
      do is did he consider it and rely upon it in
23
      forming his expert conclusion.
24
                    THE COURT: Objection overruled.
2.5
      You may answer.
```

1 Α. I saw that and several other videos that 2 were similar. 3 Q. (BY MR. LOW) Let's play it again, one 4 more time. 5 (Demonstrative Exhibit G1 is played in open court.) 6 7 Q. (BY MR. LOW) All right. Did you 8 consider or look at another video? 9 Α. I did. 10 MR. LOW: Your Honor, I would have 11 this marked as -- I'm going to stop it and redo 12 it -- G2 for identification, Your Honor. 13 (Demonstrative Exhibit G2 is 14 played in open court.) 15 Q. (BY MR. LOW) Does that, sir, fit with 16 the evidence, the facts, the science, and the 17 physics you found in this particular case? 18 A. It just shows me it's quite possible for 19 somebody to punch out the side glass of a car. 20 Q. Sir, have you ever heard the term "liquid courage"? 21 2.2 Α. Excuse me for laughing. Yes, I have. 23 Q. What's your understanding of how that's

Well, it's the more drunk you get, the

24

25

commonly used?

Α.

1 more likely you are to do something stupid.

- Q. Maybe like punch something you ought not to be punching?
 - A. That would be one scenario.
 - Q. You ever have someone in the back of your car who was pretty liquored up and decided to take it out on your car?
 - A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q. Tell us a story about that.

MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor, this is beyond the scope of cross.

THE COURT: Mr. Low, your response?

MR. LOW: Yes, sir. This goes to whether a human being while under the influence of alcohol will do something stupid, like knock out glass and punch dents into the car door. Mr. Blonigen opened the door on this when he asked him about Mr. Baldwin's alcohol content and whether or not he was too drunk to do anything. I think this

THE COURT: There were several questions relative to impairment at a given drug alcohol rate, so I'll overrule, and you may answer.

goes directly to that point.

A. Yes. I arrested a drunk driver over on

- the Targhee Road, had to bring him to jail in Jackson, which is a really long drive.
- odenson, which is a realig long arrive.
- 4 once -- I couldn't get this guy strapped into the

Fortunately for me, it was really cold because

- 5 backseat. I had him handcuffed. Well, he went
- 6 ballistic in the backseat, kicked out both windows
- 7 on both sides. And then when he got the windows
- 8 out, he started kicking the doors and bulged both
- 9 doors out. And I just -- I had a nice coat on,
- 10 and I turned the heat down and basically froze him
- out until I got to the jail. It calmed him down
- 12 quite a bit.

3

- So, I mean, I know that people can do
- 14 that. This was kicking, but it was certainly an
- incident where this guy's best judgment was not on
- 16 display.
- Q. (BY MR. LOW) You ever hear the term
- 18 "angry drunk"?
- 19 A. Used to see it all the time.
- Q. Quite common, isn't it?
- 21 A. It is.
- 22 Q. Folks when they're sober can be nice and
- 23 docile and friendly, boy, they get liquored up and
- 24 they start doing things that are pretty ugly and
- 25 | pretty angry. Is that your experience, sir?

```
1
          Α.
               It is.
 2
                     MR. LOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
      Nothing further.
 4
                     THE COURT: Anything on recross?
 5
                       RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 6
      BY MR. BLONIGEN:
 7
          Q.
               There's other examples on the Internet,
 8
      too, that's not the only one, is it?
 9
               No. There's -- there's other examples.
10
      There's plenty of examples of people trying and
11
      not succeeding.
12
               Breaking their hand?
          Q.
13
               Yes.
          Α.
14
          Q.
               In fact, this fella, what does he have on
      his hand?
15
16
          Α.
               He had a glove.
17
               Okay. Do you know if he had anything
          Ο.
18
      else in it? Did it have --
19
               No, I don't know.
          Α.
20
               It looked -- because it looks padded,
      doesn't it, or padding or perhaps some extra
21
      leather and stuff in there?
2.2
23
               It may have padding, yeah. I mean, if I
24
      were going to punch out a window, I'd certainly
2.5
      put a glove on. I wouldn't do it like this.
```

- 1 Q. Be afraid of breaking your hand?
- 2 A. Well, at least cutting it up.
 - Q. Cutting it up pretty badly?
- A. Yeah, I would -- well, I don't know how badly, but I think I'd cut it up a little bit.
- Q. And did you look at the x-rays in this case?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Okay. You just said that there's a danger if you're punching out a window you could break your hand?
- 12 A. Yeah, there is.

8

9

10

11

17

2.2

25

- Q. But you didn't look at the x-rays?
- A. No, I didn't, because --
- 15 Q. Did you determine if there was any fracture to the hand?

No, I did not.

Α.

- Q. Now, as far as you know, Mr. Baldwin had
 no -- these fellas here, there was a clip in front
 of it, you know, something about being the biggest
- 21 bad ass or something like that.
 - A. There's a lot of those floating around.
- Q. Yeah. Do you know if that person had any martial arts training?
 - A. I have no idea.

1 Do you know how many times he attempted Q. 2 it before it worked? 3 No, I don't. Α. 4 When he made the punch, he really loaded up on that punch, didn't he? 5 6 Α. Looked like he did. 7 Ο. Yeah. 8 I would have if I were that drunk and 9 trying to do it. 10 You'd really have to load up a punch to Q. 11 punch through there? 12 Pretty much. Α. 13 Yeah. People who actually saw this in Q. 14 the parking lot never saw that, did they? 15 Α. I don't know. I don't know if anybody 16 did see it. 17 MR. BLONIGEN: That's all the 18 questions I have, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 20 Thank you, Mr. Daily. 21 THE WITNESS: Your Honor. 2.2 MR. LOW: Your Honor, if I may, I 23 heard something that I'd like to talk to you 24 about, if I may, before this witness is excused. 2.5 So may I have a sidebar, Your Honor?

```
1
                    THE COURT: You may.
 2
                    THE WITNESS: Does that mean I
 3
      leave? I mean, back there or --
                        (The following proceedings were
 4
 5
      held at the bench between the Court and counsel,
      out of the hearing of the jury:)
 6
 7
                     THE COURT: Do you wish to be on the
 8
      record?
 9
                    MR. LOW: Yes, I think I'll need to
10
      be, Your Honor.
11
                    THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Low.
12
                    MR. LOW: Yes, sir. Mr. Blonigen
13
      just asked this witness if he knew how many
14
      attempts the people on the video made, and he did
15
      that to suggest that they could have attempted it
16
      a lot before they're actually able to succeed
17
      doing it. Therefore, my opinion is he opened the
18
      door for me to ask this witness if he is aware if
19
      Mr. Baldwin has made such attempts. And now, like
20
      I tried to tell you in the beginning, those car
      burglaries, 18 of them, are now very relevant to
21
2.2
      that point. And I want to be able to ask him if
23
      this is something he considered and whether those
24
      attempts could have gained Mr. Baldwin knowledge
2.5
      on how to better punch that window out.
```

```
1
               And he -- I told you at the beginning, if
 2
      you remember, Mr. Blonigen was going to make it an
 3
      issue as to whether he punched that window out.
      And I tried to warn you that it was going to
 4
 5
      happen, and now we just heard it. And so as a
      result, I feel that we should be allowed to ask
 6
 7
      this expert, who is entitled to be rehabilitated.
 8
      Submit.
 9
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Well, Your Honor,
10
      he's had direct, he's had redirect. None of those
11
      burglaries involve punching out a window. So the
12
      prejudicial impact has nothing to do with the
13
      issue before the Court.
14
                    MR. LOW: How do you know none of
15
      them had anything to do with that?
16
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Well, do you have any
17
      evidence they did?
18
                    MR. LOW: I have police reports, and
19
      I'd like to show them to my expert. I tried to
20
      tell you guys.
21
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Judge, he finished
2.2
      his examination.
23
                    THE COURT: This is the topic of
24
      videos, it was a topic that was raised on
2.5
      redirect, and I think the cross-examination
```

```
1
      questions were fine. And I don't see it as
 2
      opening the door to a whole new bunch of inquiry,
 3
      either additional direct examination and
      additional cross-examination, nor a change in any
 4
 5
      of the rulings relative to the 404(b). But the
      request is noted. Thank you.
 6
 7
                        (The following proceedings were
 8
      held in open court, in the presence of the jury:)
 9
                    THE COURT: Mr. Daily, you may now
10
      be excused. You are free from any subpoena or
11
      process in the case.
12
                               Your Honor, at this time,
                    MR. LOW:
13
      I would like to enter into evidence what's been
14
      previously marked as Defense Exhibit F, as in
      Foxtrot, 1 for identification.
15
16
                    THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibit
17
      F1?
18
                    MR. BLONIGEN: No, sir.
                    MR. LOW: Your Honor, I'd also like
19
20
      to move into evidence --
21
                    THE COURT: Hold up if you will.
2.2
      I'll receive F1 formally for the record. Thank
23
      you. Go ahead, Counsel.
24
                    MR. LOW: May I also enter into
2.5
      evidence what's been previously marked as Defense
```

```
1
      Exhibit G1 and G2 for identification.
 2
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor, I would
 3
      object to that. He was using those simply for
      demonstrative purposes. I didn't see that they
 4
 5
      were offered as substantive evidence.
                              He relied upon them for
 6
                    MR. LOW:
 7
      his opinion. And there was a contention, Your
 8
      Honor, if you remember, that a human being could
 9
      punch through a window, therefore they're
10
      relevant. I seek to have them admitted, please.
11
                     THE COURT: I'll take your request
12
      under advisement.
13
                    MR. LOW: Yes, sir.
14
                    THE COURT: And let me take a look
15
      at my notes as to the testimonies that would have
      gone to those two exhibits. The request for them
16
17
      to be received is noted.
18
               Defense may call its next witness.
19
                    MR. LOW: At the time, we'd like to
20
      call Kevin Elkin to the stand.
2.1
                     THE COURT: We had a little bit of
2.2
      glass from that one exhibit, so.
23
                    MR. LOW: Come on up, Kevin. You're
24
      going to come right over here and talk to this
2.5
      lady; okay?
```

```
1
                     THE CLERK: Please raise your right
 2
             You do solemnly swear that the testimony
 3
      you will give in the case before the Court will be
      the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
 4
 5
      truth, so help you God?
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
 6
 7
                     THE CLERK: Right through here, and
 8
      you'll sit in that chair.
 9
                     MR. LOW: Come over here, Kevin.
10
      You're going to come over here, around here, find
11
      your way to the chair; okay?
12
                     THE WITNESS: All right.
13
                     THE COURT: We do ask you to speak
14
      into the microphone. It is adjustable, so you can
15
      move it a little bit if you need to; okay? Thank
16
      you.
17
                     THE WITNESS: All right.
                                               No
18
      problem.
19
                          KEVIN ELKIN,
20
      called for examination by the Defense, being first
      duly sworn, on his oath testified as follows:
21
2.2
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
      BY MR. LOW:
24
               Well, introduce yourself to the ladies
2.5
      and gentlemen of the jury.
```

```
1
          Α.
                My name is Kevin Elkin.
 2
                And tell them where you're from.
          Q.
 3
                I am from Green River, Wyoming.
          Α.
                Where did you grow up, Kevin?
 4
          Ο.
 5
                I grew up in Green River.
          Α.
                All right.
 6
          Q.
 7
          Α.
                Around that area and grew up and went to
 8
      school there. I moved there back in 7th grade.
 9
      Back when I was in 7th grade, I moved into Green
10
      River.
11
                All right. And did you graduate high
          Ο.
      school out there?
12
13
                Yes, I did.
          Α.
14
          Q.
                All right. What year was that?
15
          Α.
                I graduated in '08.
16
                And what are you doing now?
          Q.
17
                I'm just a local DJ.
          Α.
18
                All right. Thank you.
          Q.
19
                     MR. LOW: Your Honor, I forgot
20
      something that I need to tell you, and I want to
21
      make sure I do it right. Can I have a really
2.2
      quick sidebar?
23
                     THE COURT: Yes, you may.
24
                     MR. LOW: I apologize. This will
```

take a second.

```
1
                    THE COURT: Do you wish to be on the
 2
      record?
 3
                    MR. LOW: I don't think so, but you
      decide.
 4
 5
                        (A bench conference was held off
      the record.)
 6
 7
                     THE COURT: Go ahead, Counsel.
 8
          Q.
               (BY MR. LOW) Mr. Elkin, sir, is it your
 9
      understanding that we asked you to come here today
10
      to tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what
      you remember about James Baldwin?
11
12
          Α.
               Yes.
               All right. Did you grow up with James
13
          Q.
14
      Baldwin?
15
          Α.
               Yes, I did.
16
               And just tell the jury a little bit about
          Q.
17
      that.
18
               I grew up going to school with James
          Α.
      Baldwin, and I hanged out with him and Tim Dow
19
20
      back in -- back in school and stuff. Everything
21
      went good. We hanged out and stuff like that.
2.2
      And then one -- and we hanged out and everything
23
      like that. I knew him from school and stuff like
24
      that.
25
              Okay. Take your time. And were you guys
          Q.
```

1 friends?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 2 A. Off and on, yes.
- Q. When you first started getting to know Mr. Baldwin, did you start out as friends?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Did that change sometime later?
 - A. Yes, it did.
 - Q. What happened?
 - A. I was in Rock Springs at the time. I was at -- I was at a party one night down in Rock Springs at a party. I don't remember exactly what the house was. There were two -- there were two women that I was partying with that knew Tim Dow and all them, all their little group. And they wanted to go home because the girls got assaulted.

So I was, like, No problem. Where are you guys going? You know, no problem.

And they're, like, Well, I need go over to Tim Dow's house, which yes, I did know Tim Dow at the time because I went to school with him, and I used to hang out with him and I hanged out with the group.

So I was, like, No problem. I can give you a ride over to Green River to Tim's house.

Gave them a ride. And these girls got

assaulted at this party or whatever happened, I'm not exactly sure of what happened; but they were texting Tim Dow and their little group of friends.

And I was in the car with these two girls and Mike May, Mikey May. I was in this car -- my car with Mikey May and these two girls.

So these girls got assaulted and were texting Tim Dow and their little group. Well, I showed up at Tim's house. Tim, somebody else, and their whole group came after me. And -- at my car and assaulted me and Mikey May at the same time because they thought we were assaulted -- we assaulted these girls, which we didn't. I was actually protecting them. And I tried to explain this to them, and they got all types of mad at me and Mikey and assaulted me and everything like that.

- Q. All right. Now, when you say "they," these guys, can you -- are you able to specifically name who these guys are?
- A. Tim Dow, Mike -- I forget what his last name is.
 - Q. Keeley?

2.2

A. Mike Keeley, yes. And the client,
your --

- 1 Q. James Baldwin?
- 2 A. Yeah, James Baldwin. Sorry.
- 3 Q. Okay. John Knospler wasn't there, was
 4 he?
- 5 A. No.

2.2

- Q. Okay. All right. And you told us about some things that they did when you used the word assault. Can you describe what you mean by assault? What happened?
- A. They forcefully tried to get into my car.

 I locked the doors, and I sped off and everything
 like that.
 - Q. Before you sped off, did anything happen to you? Did anybody get inside the car or did they lay their hands on you in any way?
 - A. Yes. Tim Dow came to my driver's side door. And the other two guys, your client -- I am so sorry.
 - Q. That's okay. Take your time.
 - A. James Baldwin came into my passenger side door and came through the passenger door, punched me in the mouth at that night. He punched me in the mouth that night and assaulted me that way, which -- because they thought I assaulted these two girls that night, which I did not do. And he

thought I did because they did get assaulted at
this party that I was at.

2.2

- Q. Did you do anything to try and tell James Baldwin this or the others before you got --
- A. Yes. I tried to explain to James Baldwin and Tim Dow that no, this was not me, I was actually trying to protect them at this party, trying to give them a ride home to Tim Dow's house. And they did not want to hear it. They had this mindset of something bad happened, and they thought it was me.
- Q. Do you remember about how old you were at that time?
 - A. I was around 15 at the time.
- Q. And can you drive in this state, I don't know, can you drive in this state at 15?
- A. I was -- I was -- I had my driver permit at the time, yes.
- Q. Okay. All right, then. About how many years did you know James Baldwin?
- A. All together, I've known him for off and on five years.
- Q. And are you able to tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury in your opinion his reputation for either violent behavior or

```
1
      aggressiveness?
 2
               I believe -- in my opinion, it is a very
 3
      violent person. Unpredictable, I would have to
 4
      say.
 5
               Okay. Anything else on that issue?
          Q.
 6
               Not sure. Pretty much that. That's all
 7
      I could truly say at this time.
 8
                     MR. LOW: Thank you. Nothing
 9
      further.
10
                     THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
11
      Cross-examination, Mr. Itzen.
12
                     MR. ITZEN: Yes, sir.
13
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
      BY MR. ITZEN:
15
          Q.
             Good afternoon, sir.
16
          Α.
               Good afternoon.
17
               Now, the incident with the girls, that
          Q.
18
      occurred in February of '09; correct?
19
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
20
               About five years ago?
          Q.
21
          Α.
               Yep.
2.2
          Q.
               Now, your birthday is April of 1990;
23
      correct?
24
          Α.
                Yes.
25
                So you --
          Q.
```

1 Α. So I was a little bit older than that 2 actually. I'm sorry. 3 You would have been about 19? Ο. Yeah. I was about 19 at the time 4 Α. 5 actually. I'm sorry. 6 No problem. And the girls that we're 7 talking about that were at the party in Rock 8 Springs --9 Α. Yes. 10 -- that was April Lovato; correct? Q. 11 Yes, I believe that was the name. I'm Α. 12 not exactly sure of what the girls's name were. 13 At the time, I was just trying to help out them 14 because they were crying at this party. And she was 13? 15 Q. 16 Α. Yep. 17 And Maddyson Wagstaff? Q. 18 I believe so. I'm not exactly sure. Α. Ι mean, Mikey May knew them more than I did. 19 20 And she was 14; correct? Ο. Okay. Yeah, I'm not exactly sure. 21 Α. 2.2 Q. Now, it was a party where you guys were 23 drinking? 24 Α. Yes.

And you'd gotten there earlier in the

25

Q.

```
1
      evening; correct?
 2
          Α.
               What?
 3
          Q. You had gotten there earlier in the
      evening?
 4
 5
               Yes, I did.
          Α.
 6
               And this occurs about 11 o'clock at
 7
      night; correct?
 8
          A. Correct, roughly.
 9
          Q.
               So after you were drinking, there was a
10
      report that Ms. Lovato got raped; correct?
11
              I do not know that for, say, my own of
          Α.
      what I know.
12
13
               And she wanted to leave the party;
          0.
      correct?
14
15
          Α.
                I know that she wanted to leave the party
16
      and go to Tim Dow's house, so I decided to help
17
      her.
18
               And, in fact, you drove her to Mr. Dow's
      house; correct?
19
20
          Α.
               Yes.
21
               And Mr. May got punched there; correct?
          Q.
2.2
          Α.
              Correct.
23
          Q.
               By Mr. Dow?
24
          Α.
               Yes.
25
               And you left; correct?
          Q.
```

Yes. I left after there was an assault 1 Α. 2 started at me. 3 And you never gave a witness statement to Q. the police officer that arrived; correct? 4 5 I don't remember. I believe I did, but 6 I'm not sure. 7 And Ms. Lovato, her older brother was Q. 8 also there; correct? Michael Lovato? 9 I'm -- I don't believe -- I don't 10 remember, to tell you the truth. 11 It's been a long time ago? Ο. Correct. Yes. 12 Α. 13 And you were drinking back then? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Sometimes it's hard to remember things a 16 long time ago when you've been drinking; right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And you thought that there were some 19 mistakes made. They thought you were the one that 20 assaulted the girls; correct? 21 Exactly. Α. 2.2 Q. And they were coming to protect those 23 girls; correct?

Correct, which I was trying to help them.

Now, after Mr. May got punched, that's

24

2.5

Α.

Q.

```
1
      when you leave; correct?
 2
          Α.
               Correct.
 3
                    MR. ITZEN: If I can have a moment,
      Judge.
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: Yes.
               (BY MR. ITZEN) Just a couple questions,
 6
          Ο.
 7
      Mr. Elkin.
 8
          A. Okay.
 9
               Did you contact the police the next day
10
      and talk to them?
11
               Later on that night, I believe I did.
          Α.
               You did? And do you recall, was that
12
          Q.
      Officer Halter?
13
14
          Α.
               I don't recall what the officer's name
15
      was, but probably. I don't recall.
16
                    MR. ITZEN: All right. That's all I
17
             Thank you.
      have.
18
                     THE COURT: Thank you.
19
               Anything on redirect?
20
                    MR. LOW: No, Your Honor.
21
                     THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very
2.2
      much, Mr. Elkin. You can step down, and you're
23
      excused from any subpoena in the case; okay?
24
                     THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25
                     THE COURT: The Defense may call its
```

```
1
      next witness.
 2
                    MR. LOW: At this time, we'd like to
 3
      call to the stand Scott Lehman.
 4
                     THE COURT: Please come forward, if
 5
      you would. The Clerk of Court to my left will
      give you the oath, and then you'll sit to the
 6
 7
      other side once sworn.
                     THE CLERK: Please raise your right
 8
 9
      hand. You do solemnly swear that the testimony
10
      you will give in the case before the Court will be
11
      the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
12
      truth, so help you God?
13
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   I do.
14
                     THE CLERK: Please be seated.
15
      Around there.
16
                     THE COURT: We do ask you to speak
17
      into the microphone. And it is adjustable, so you
18
      can move it a little bit if you need to.
19
                     THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20
                          SCOTT LEHMAN,
      called for examination by the Defense, being first
21
2.2
      duly sworn, on his oath testified as follows:
23
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
      BY MR. LOW:
2.5
               Afternoon.
          Q.
```

A. Good afternoon.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Thank you. Please introduce yourself.
- A. Hello. My name is Scott Lehman. I served with John, First Recon Battalion, during the time of 2002 to 2004.
 - Q. Where are you currently living now, sir?
 - A. I'm currently in Chicago. After the

 Marine Corps, I pursued my undergraduate degree at

 USC, worked in finance for a couple years, then

 went back to Wharton to get my masters in

 business. And now I'm living in Chicago working

 at CitiGroup as an investment banker.
- 13 Q. You went to USC?
- 14 A. Southern Cal, go Trojans.
- 15 Q. And Wharton?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. You got to be pretty smart to get into those schools?
- 19 A. Or work really hard.
- Q. Well, someone might accuse you of not really being a Marine, but you understand that doesn't make sense; right?
- 23 A. I do understand that.
- Q. What is it you're doing on a daily basis now?

- A. So we advise companies on large mergers and acquisitions. And so I specifically work within the bank industry, cover commercial banks.

 And so we focus on banking M & A, if you will, advising on the structuring of those transactions.
 - Q. When did you first join the Marine Corps?
 - A. June 20th, 2000.

2.2

- Q. Why did you join up?
- A. I'd always been interested in doing something different than going the traditional route to college. In the Marine Corps -- I met in high school, my freshman year, my swim coach was a former First Recon Marine. And just talking to him and learning about the tradition of the Marine Corps had me really interested in it. And I knew that I didn't think I was ready at the time to pursue a college education. It was something that I wanted to do, but I didn't know if I was ready at that time. So I wanted to serve my country, and I wanted to be a U.S. Marine.
 - Q. Well, why not join the Navy, then?
- A. Because -- because the Marines -- it's the best branch of service. No, I think that I wasn't looking for any kind of accommodations or an easy path. I was looking for the intangibles

- that the Marine Corps offered. The integrity,
 honor, discipline, the camaraderie that they
 talked about. Working to accomplish excellence,
 you know, with a team. All of that was extremely
 exciting to me, so that's why I pursued the Marine
 Corps. It really wasn't a question.
 - Q. And you went to boot camp, graduated. When do you first meet John Knospler?
 - A. So I met Johnny -- so this -- so my first deployment, I had -- let's see. I joined, I went into a comm company, was selected for recon, did recon training, then joined my first team. We deployed right after 9-11. And then I came back from that team, and that's when I joined up with John's team. And it was Charlie Company, Second Platoon, and then we started working up for deployment to Iraq in 2003.
 - Q. Now, if I'm in the Marine Corps, do they give me a job that's assigned to me that has a corresponding number?
 - A. 0321.
 - Q. Yeah, it's called an MOS?
- 23 A. Yes.

- Q. MOS stands for?
- 25 A. Reconnaissance Marine.

Q. MOS stands for military occupational specialty?

2.2

- A. I believe that's right. It's been ten years for me.
- Q. That's all right. But you can't sign up when you go to boot camp for recon; is that correct?
- A. I could not, no. I had to go through a different route. And my swim coach, the former force guy, told me to -- to go into communications because, you know, a field radio operator is always needed on a reconnaissance team; that that's kind of a weapon for a recon platoon is being able to use the phone.
- Q. What I mean is that the best you can do in boot camp, if you will, or when you sign up with a recruiter is to sign up to be a grunt or infantryman; correct?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. Then once you're an infantryman, they watch how you conduct yourself and they watch how you interact with other people; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And they see whether you're a hothead or you're slow or if you just don't have the skill

- set. And what they're looking to see is if you've

 got certain Marines out of the infantry that

 somehow are just better than the average Marine;

 is that fair?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. But that's not even good enough. They select you to just try out; correct?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. And out of the people that try out, about how many will make it?
 - A. In our class, I can speak specifically to it, we had 70 to 80 try out -- and this was after passing the initial screening to become a Recon Marine where you qualify -- we graduated 16.
 - Q. 16 out of 70 or 80?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, what are some of the things from your experience that Recon is looking for? Is it -- well, you just tell us. What are the things they're looking for? What are they screening you for?
 - A. So I think it's not -- it's definitely physical ability. It's ability to handle both mental stress and physical stress. It's the ability to have extremely good judgment, to be

able to make the right decisions at a moment's notice. It's the ability to work within a team and miles away from operating base for long periods of time and work well together. It's the ability to focus and focus on being excellent at the task and really being mindful of everybody on the team. We're all so proud of, with each Recon team I was in, was that everybody pursued excellence in any job that they did. So it's all of that, an amalgam of that.

- Q. Have you ever had a situation where you're really physically tired from running, lifting, swimming; and as a result, your mind starts to break down, meaning that it gets harder and harder to think straight?
 - A. Oh, yeah. All the time.
- Q. And is that the kind of conditions that the Marine Corps puts you under so they can observe you to see how you react?
 - A. Constantly.

2.2

- Q. And when they get you physically exhausted like that, is sleep deprivation one of the things they use?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Why do they use, as far as you know,

sleep deprivation, food deprivation, water deprivation, weather deprivation such as extreme cold or extreme heat and physical exhaustion, and then they begin to watch how you behave? Why is that?

2.2

- A. Because I think that when you're put in that position, that's the position you're put in when you're overseas. And when you're put in that position and you have to deal with ambiguity and uncertainty all the time, they want to make sure that you're ready and that you can operate calmly with resolve.
- Q. And when they have you in these conditions and physically exhausted, what kind of things do they start having you do to see how well you feel?
- A. You have to think. You have to conduct tasks to operate as a team. You have to actually do potential missions, whether it be a call for fire mission or an evacuation mission or another type of reconnaissance mission or route reconnaissance or whatnot, all while you're under that extreme fatigue.
- Q. And while you're under the extreme fatigue and having to operate mentally, do you

1 have a weapon with you?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And are they watching to see how you handle that weapon and how you use it around the people around you?
 - A. That's right, yes.
- Q. What is the first thing the Marine Corps teaches you before they ever give you a weapon?
 - A. How to take care of it.
 - Q. Say more about that.
- A. I mean, so I think they take -- they teach you how to make sure that you're mindful of the target, and that you -- that you assess the danger before you make -- you conduct any action.
 - Q. Why do they teach you that?
- A. Goes back to judgment. Goes back to the we operate under split seconds. We have a decision to make, and we have to make -- exercise the best judgment possible. And we're trained to do that. And so that -- they pound that into us early on to make sure that we're making the right judgment. And if we're going to be Recon Marines, that we're always making that right judgment.
- Q. Did John have the same training that you did in Recon?

1 Α. Yes, he did. 2 How do you know? Q. 3 Because I was in a Recon platoon with Α. 4 John. 5 Did you train with John? Ο. 6 I did. Α. 7 About how much do you think you trained Ο. 8 with John? 9 For over a year with John. 10 And did you ever deploy with John Q. 11 overseas? 12 I did. Α. 13 And did that deployment result in combat Q. 14 experience? 15 Α. It did. 16 Would you be willing to tell the ladies Q. 17 and gentlemen of the jury your experience with 18 regards to John and his reputation for judgment, 19 peacefulness, and for assessing situations and 20 levels of threat? 21 Yeah. I think I'd like to start off with 2.2 a story that continues to haunt me to a certain 23 degree. And it's something that I've always --24 MR. ITZEN: Well, Judge, I'm going

to object at this point. It's nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Yeah, I don't think a story would be responsive to this question. And so I think maybe it would call for a "yes" or "no" response initially, so I'll sustain.

- Q. (BY MR. LOW) Sir, did you have an opportunity to observe how John behaved in combat?
- A. Not on a particular mission, but in transit between missions, yes.
- Q. And what did you observe during that period of time?
- A. John was just always known as having -- as always being calm under pressure. He was somebody that didn't seek the spotlight but just got the job done. He was somebody that did all of the necessary work to help the team. I can speak to it -- can I speak to a particular situation actually?
 - O. Go ahead.

2.2

2.5

A. So in between, once we arrived and we were operating out of a London -- I'm sorry, out of a UK base south of Basra in Iraq. And we just got there, and we were doing convoys to pick up supplies. I was in the back. So we were driving through these villages to pick up these supplies, and I was in the back of this Hummer with John.

Threat level wasn't high, but we were still watching our rear, security. And we crossed this -- I remember going over this dirt bridge, and then we took a right down this road. And we went down the road about a hundred meters, and we saw these kids come out in the road -- what looked to be kids. And one of them had a gun in the air or what appeared to be a -- it could have been a toy. It appeared to be a gun.

2.2

2.5

Now, the rules of engagement were, especially at that time, that was "OIF I," were that if you're engaged with a threat or you think that there's an enemy that's going to attack or shows their weapon, woman, child, adult, you're allowed to engage, because women, children, and adults were shooting at us. They were shooting at us during the invasion, they were shooting at us after, so I was nervous.

The kid came out, the young boy came out waving the gun. We both -- I remember bearing down and locking in. And I remember John saying, "Easy, easy, easy" beside me. "Easy." And this is a matter of, like, five or six seconds. And then we noticed the kids put the gun down and they ran back behind the house and it was over. And

actually really didn't think all that much about it until later. But what I realize what that shows about John -- and it's just who he was, it was his reputation in the team, was he showed an enormous amount of professionalism, of judgment, of control, and of tact while operating as a Recon Marine.

- O. In some of the worst conditions?
- A. In some of the worst conditions.
- Q. Would you share with the jury your level of trust in John and his judgments and decisions with regards to you in your life?
- A. Well, I mean, I think given that experience, he said "easy, easy," I stopped. I was -- I was at ease. So I would have given my life for him. I mean, he's somebody that I would honestly lay down for, no question about it.
 - O. You trust him that much?
 - A. That's right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Thank you, sir.
- MR. BLONIGEN: Your Honor --
- 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
- MR. BLONIGEN: -- may we approach?
- There's a legal issue that's arisen given the last
- 25 statements about character.

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(The following proceedings were held at the bench between the Court and counsel, out of the hearing of the jury:)

2.2

2.5

MR. BLONIGEN: Judge, under Wyoming law, even if something --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BLONIGEN: Under Wyoming law,
Judge, once they open the issue of character,
you're allowed to inquire into other convictions
and arrests, even if they have no knowledge of
them or if they do have knowledge of the details
of them. Counsel asked a very specific question,
what was his reputation for peacefulness. That
was the word used, and it's conduct, Judge.

We have multiple Wyoming cases that support this, including McDowell versus State, which just came out this year; Taul versus State, T-A-U-L. In Taul, Judge, they specifically uphold the fact that once a person testifies to conduct generally, he can be impeached on specific incidents of bad conduct. The fact that you rule it out under 404(b) is not relevant in the situation. In Trujillo versus State, there was 404(b) evidence that hadn't been noticed, and the

prosecutor inquired into that after character was opened. And the Court held, in fact, that's right, it's no longer under 404(b).

But given your ruling on this and in the assault, he was in the Marine Corps at the time. He also committed this assault and was -- I note that, Your Honor, there is a conviction on this. I think it was noted in the other decision letter there was not, but we have a conviction. The inquiry into this matter has now been opened, but given the Court's previous ruling, we didn't think we should address it without first approaching the Court.

MR. LOW: Keep in mind, Your

Honor --

2.2

2.5

THE COURT: Is that the only specific incident that you want to make inquiry about?

MR. BLONIGEN: Also, Your Honor, he talked about his conduct as a Marine. He was demoted for bad conduct in the Marines, including a DUI. He was busted down from sergeant to corporal. He has presented this defendant, his character being that as the model Marine. And we would also inquire into his difficulties with

```
1
      that, his difficulties with his performance
 2
      reports, which were at times quite poor. But we
 3
      think these have been opened, Your Honor, by the
      inquiry here. Given the Court's previous ruling,
 4
 5
      we weren't going to just throw them out there.
      wanted to approach the Court.
 6
 7
                    MR. LOW: All these things are after
 8
      this gentleman knew him and served with him.
                                                      So
 9
      all of his information came during the time they
10
      spent together, and all of this is after that.
11
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Well, that would
12
      presume that he has to be aware of these things
13
      for character, Your Honor. He does not under the
14
      case law.
15
                    MR. LOW: He can't, it hasn't
16
      happened yet. It's impossible.
17
                    MR. BLONIGEN: No, he doesn't have
18
      to have knowledge of it.
19
                    MR. LOW: But they haven't yet --
20
      you're going to ask him about something that
21
      happened in the future. That's ridiculous.
2.2
      That's why I was specific.
23
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Well, 2002 is when
24
      the assault was, Judge, when he cracked the guy in
2.5
      the head with the beer bottle. He was in the
```

Marine Corps, and that fits the time frame the 1 2 witness talked about. And as far as the other 3 things, Judge, the fact that they're not within the time frame he knew him is not the point. 4 5 The Taul case says while impeachment on relevant conduct goes to the opinion expressed, 6 7 not, one, whether the person knows about it or not 8 or whether it's contemporaneous with, but whether it goes to the character trait referenced. 9 10 THE COURT: How do you spell Taul? MR. BLONIGEN: T-A-U-L, Judge. 11 And it is at 862 P.2d 649, specifically 12 13 it looks like paragraphs 5 through 10. 14 McDowell is another very good case that's 15 just from this year. I'll get that cite in a 16 moment. But Trujillo, which I cited, 880 P.2d 17 575; DeWitt versus State, 917 P.2d 1144. 18 THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to 19 do. 20 MR. BLONIGEN: And last one is McDowell, 318 P.3d 352. There are other cases as 21 2.2 well, Your Honor, but those are the primary ones. 23 THE COURT: I think I understand the 24 situation that's presented. And if it's not going 2.5 to be an impossible situation for Mr. Lehman, I'd

```
1
      propose we bring him back first thing in the
 2
      morning, and it will give me a chance to take a
 3
      look at this. Any feel as to whether he could
 4
      stay over?
 5
                    MR. LOW: He's available.
 6
                    THE COURT: Okay. We'll do that.
 7
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Okay, Judge.
 8
                    THE COURT: And here's my pretty
 9
      strong feeling. I thought through all of this
10
      404(b), through all of this character evidence
11
      presentations, that there's going to be an
12
      argument about opening the door. And I guite
13
      honestly gave some thought to it; but I couldn't
14
      come up with, in my mind, how I was going to
15
      address that. So now we have a specific
16
      situation, and I think I should -- I think it's
17
      important enough that I should take a look at it.
18
      We'll take it up at 9:00 in the morning sharp.
19
                    MR. BLONIGEN: Okay, Judge.
20
      know, I don't -- where are we at in our case, I
21
      mean, tomorrow is the last day the jury can work.
2.2
      I think we gotta get some better idea where we're
23
      going here.
24
                    MR. LOW: Sure.
                                      That's a good
2.5
                 I'm done.
      question.
```

1 MR. BLONIGEN: You're done? 2 MR. LOW: I was going to call 3 another witness, but he's not here yet. So, I mean, the only thing I can do is ask for a recess 4 5 until tomorrow morning; and I might put one more He's coming in tonight because he got his 6 7 flight canceled. But if I finish right now, I 8 don't have another witness to call. 9 MR. BLONIGEN: But is the defendant 10 going to testify is my question because --11 MR. LOW: Haven't decided yet. Ι 12 can give you an idea how long it would take. 13 ain't going to take that long. Your cross might; 14 but, you know, how much do I really have to ask 15 him about? So it would go fast. 16 THE COURT: How about we do this. 17 How about we do an informal jury conference right 18 For Defense counsel -- I think both Mr. 19 Blonigen and Mr. Itzen are familiar, what we 20 usually do is, off the record, just review all of 21 the proposed instructions. And then tomorrow or 2.2 whenever we get to the end of the case, we can have a formal conference. And hopefully by then, 23 24 I'll have a packet ready, and we can have any 2.5 objections, declined instructions, and handle it

1 that way. 2 MR. NEWCOMB: I could not hear. 3 MR. LOW: I got it. I'll tell you. Can I ask one last question? Are you going to 4 5 have a rebuttal case; and, if so, can you tell me how many so I can prepare? 6 7 MR. BLONIGEN: The only rebuttal --8 well, I had two rebuttals briefly is Mr. Ellis to 9 accomplish some of the things I was asking, his 10 would be very brief, and then the police officer from Green River who investigated this case. 11 12 MR. LOW: Understood. 13 (The following proceedings were 14 held in open court, in the presence of the jury:) THE COURT: After conference at the 15 16 bench, Mr. Lehman, we're going to allow you to be 17 excused for the day. You're free to go. But 18 we're going to ask you to be back here at nine 19 o'clock tomorrow morning. I know that's probably 20 a little bit of an inconvenience, but your patience will be appreciated. So you're free to 21 2.2 go. We'll recall you first thing tomorrow 23 morning. 24 Ladies and gentlemen, one of the things 2.5 that we talked about was whether we're on

1 schedule. It appears that we're at least close. 2 I hate to make any promises, but we hope that the 3 case can go to the jury tomorrow. I'm going to meet with the attorneys directly concerning jury 4 5 instructions in the case. We still have some evidence to go, so I'll ask that you be back at 6 7 nine o'clock tomorrow morning. We can start again 8 at nine o'clock tomorrow morning. 9 As we break, please keep in mind the 10 rules and admonitions. And thank you so much for another very long day of service. You are excused 11 12 and free to go. 13 And counsel, you can meet with Ms. Koski, 14 and she'll take you back to chambers for a jury instruction matter. 15 16 MR. LOW: Yes, Your Honor. 17 (The trial proceedings 18 recessed at 4:49 p.m., December 22, 2014.) 19 20 21 22 2.3 24 25

CERTIFICATE I, JONI L. CHANEY, Official Court Reporter within and for the Seventh Judicial District Court of Wyoming, do hereby certify that I reported by machine shorthand the proceedings contained herein and that the foregoing 1841 pages constitute a full, true, and correct transcript. Dated this 8th day of June, 2015, at Casper, Wyoming. JONI L. CHANEY, RPR Official Court Reporter